Supporting Information for

A Dual-Response Fluorescent Probe for N₂H₄ and Viscosity In living Cells and Zebrafish to Evaluate Liver Injury

Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Synthesis of Zhejiang Province, College of Biotechnology and Bioengineering,

Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. China

Email: zhuq@zjut.edu.cn.

Table of contents

- 1. Further experimental details
- 2. Supporting figures and tables

Experimental section

Materials and apparatus

All chemicals were commercially available from Energy Chemical and used without further purification. The concentration of PBS solution is 10 mM. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Brucker Avance 500 MHz spectrometers. The spectra were reported in ppm (δ) and referenced to a tetramethylsilane (TMS) standard in CDCl₃. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) for reaction monitoring was performed on pre-coated silica gel plates (Merck 60 F254 nm) with a UV254 fluorescent indicator and column chromatography was conducted over silica gel (mesh 300-400). The fluorescence and UV–vis spectra were acquired on a SpectraMax M5 (Molecular Devices).

General procedure for viscosity analysis

The parent stock solution was prepared by dissolving probe FNN (5 mM) in DMSO. The test solution was prepared by diluting FNN to PBS/ Glycerol solutions (5 μ M, 1.0 mL). The viscosity solution was prepared by mixing PBS and Glycerol in different proportion. These solutions were ultrasonically treated for 30 minutes. Then, the data of fluorescence spectrum was recorded under the excitation of 500 nm, and the slit width were set at 5 nm. The relationship between the fluorescence emission intensity of the probe and the viscosity of the solvent is well expressed by the Forster Hoffmann equation as follows:

$$Log (If) = c + x \log \eta$$

Where if is the fluorescence intensity, η is the viscosity of the solution, and X and C are constant.

Determination of the lowest detection limit LOD

The detection limit (LOD) of probe **FNN** for N_2H_4 was determined by fluorescence titration. The equation is as follows:

$LOD = 3\delta/m$

Where LOD is the lower detection limit, δ is the standard deviation of the background (obtained by measuring the fluorescence emission intensity of **FNN** and calculating the standard deviation), and M is the sensitivity (slope of the linear curve between the fluorescence intensity and the concentration of N₂H₄).

TD-DFT computing

The density function theory (TD-DFT) method is used to optimize the geometrical space structure of **FNN** and **FNN-1**, and B3-LYP exchange correlation functional and 6-31G basis set are combined. In the calculation, except for the specific instructions, other atom free optimization, the dihedral angle of bond length and bond angle are not fixed, and all optimization is carried out in vacuum environment by default.

Cytotoxicity of probe FNN

The cytotoxicity of probe **FNN** was tested by MTT method. HeLa cells were seeded at a density at 1×10^4 cells per well into 96-well plate, and incubated in 37 °C cell incubator (containing 5% CO₂) for 12 hours. The culture medium was high glucose DMEM with fetal bovine serum and appropriate antibodies (penicillin and streptomycin). Then the probe **FNN** (0-50 µM) was added and incubated for 12 h. 50 µL MTT was added to each pore and the cells were incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for 4 h. Then, removed the medium and replaced it with DMSO (150µL), and detected the absorption values at 450 nm and 488 nm.

Cellular imaging

To prepare the **FNN** solution, 100 μ L of stock solution of **FNN** (DMSO, 10 mM) was added dropwise into 9.9 mL PBS under ultrasonic conditions to obtain the sample solution for cellular imaging.

Cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin, and 1% streptomycin at 37 °C (w/v) in a 5% CO₂ and 95% air incubator MCO-15AC (Sanyo, Tokyo, Japan). The concentrations of counted cells were adjusted to 1×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ for confocal imaging in high-glucose DMEM (4.5 g of glucose/L) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), NaHCO₃ (2.0 ng/L), and 1% antibiotics (penicillin/streptomycin, 100.0 U/mL). Cultures were maintained at 37 °C under a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Live subject statement

All experiments were performed in compliance with the relevant laws and institutional guidelines, All zebrafish tested in compliance with the guidelines, which were approved the experiments by institutional and local ethics committee of Zhejiang University of Technology.

Imaging in zebrafish

We incubated 3-day-old zebrafish with **FNN** (10 μ M) for 30 min, then washed with PBS buffer and imaged as control group. Another group treated with INH (500 μ M/1 mM) for 30 min, and then incubated with **FNN** (10 μ M) for 30 min. Thereafter, the

treated zebrafish was washed with PBS buffer three times and imaged using a confocal microscope. Fluorescence images were acquired with Nikon A1R confocal microscope.



Scheme S1. Synthesis route of FNN.



Fig. S1. Absorbance spectra of the probe FNN (5 μ M) in DMSO/PBS = 1:99 (v/v = 1:1, pH = 7.4) buffer with different concentration of N₂H₄.



Fig. S2. The effect of pH on the fluorescence intensity changes of FNN (5 μ M) in 90% glycerol/PBS

solution. Ex = 520 nm/Em = 620 nm.



Fig. S3. The fluorescent spectra of **FNN** (5.0 μ M) in the absence and presence of N₂H₄ in PBS solution (5 mM, ~0 CP, pH=7.4) and 90% Gly solution (~900 CP). Ex = 460 nm/Ex = 520 nm.



Fig. S4. The fluorescent spectra of **FNN** (5.0 μ M) in the presence of N₂H₄ in PBS solution (5 mM, ~0 CP, pH=7.4) 20%, 40%, 60%, 80% and 90% Gly solution (~900 CP). Ex = 460 nm. Slit width: 10 nm/10 nm.



Fig. S5. The effect of pH on the fluorescence intensity changes of FNN (5 μ M) in the presence of N₂H₄ in PBS solution and 90% glycerol/PBS solution. Ex = 460 nm/Em = 520 nm.



Fig. S6. The fluorescent responses of probe FNN (5.0 μ M) to N₂H₄ (20.0 μ M) in the presence of various relevant species at 520 nm (1, Serine; 2, Asparticacid; 3, Arginine; 4, Alanine; 5, Lysine; 6, Glutamicacid; 7, TBHP; 8, H₂O₂; 9, HClO; 10, NO; 11, Glutamicacid; 12, Tyrosine; 13, Histidine; 14, Tryptophan; 15, Valine, 16, GSH; 17, GSH (10 mM); 18, Homoncysteine; 19, Cysteine, 20, SO₃²⁻; 21,S₂O₃²⁻, 22, aqueous ammonia; 23, methylamine; 24, H₂S; 25, N₂H₄; 100 μ M, incubated 30min) in DMSO/PBS buffer (1:99, v/v, pH 7.4) at 37 °C.



Fig. S7. The fluorescent responses of probe FNN (5.0 μ M) to N₂H₄ (20.0 μ M) in the presence of various relevant species at 520 nm (1, Serine; 2, Asparticacid; 3, Arginine; 4, Alanine; 5, Lysine; 6, Glutamicacid; 7, TBHP; 8, H₂O₂; 9, HClO; 10, NO; 11, Glutamicacid; 12, Tyrosine; 13, Histidine; 14, Tryptophan; 15, Valine, 16, GSH; 17, GSH (10 mM); 18, Homoncysteine; 19, Cysteine, 20, SO₃²⁻; 21,S₂O₃²⁻, 22, aqueous ammonia; 23, methylamine; 24, H₂S; 25, N₂H₄; 100 μ M, incubated 30min) in DMSO/PBS buffer (1:99, v/v, pH 7.4) at 37 °C.



Fig. S8. HPLC results for probe FNN (5.0 μ M, black line), probe FNN (5.0 μ M, red line) incubated with N₂H₄ (2 eq., 10.0 μ M) for 30 min, probe FNN (5.0 μ M, blue line) incubated with N₂H₄ (4 eq., 20.0 μ M) for 30 min. The HPLC method for the determination of the above-mentioned analytes with isocratic elution was established, and the mobile phase is 80% methanol and 20% water.



Fig. S9 HRMS spectrum of FNN.



Fig. S10 HRMS spectrum of FNN after adding N₂H₄.



Fig. S11. MTT results of Huh7 viabilities after incubation with FNN for 24 h. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD (experiment times n = 3).



Fig. S12. The quantitative analysis of Huh7 cells in channel 1 and channel 2. (a) The cells only stained with **FNN** (10 μ M) as control group. (b) Preincubate the cells with INH (100 μ M) for 4 h then treat them with **FNN** (10 μ M) for 30 min. (c) Preincubate the cells with INH (500 μ M) for 4 h then treat them with **FNN** (10 μ M) for 30 min. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD.



Fig.S13. Fluorescence images of Huh7 cells incubated with **FNN** (10 μ M) for 30 min before (a a) and after (b) treatment of isonicotinic acid (10 μ M) for 30 min. Scale bar: 20 μ m. (1) Bright field. (2) channel 1 (Ex = 460 nm, Em = 500–550 nm) and (3) channel 2 (Ex = 520 nm, Em = 600–650 nm).



Fig. 14. Fluorescence images of Huh7 cells after different continuous scanning time of 5 min, 20 min, 40 min, 60 min. Preincubate the cells with INH (500 μ M) for 4 h and then treat them with **FNN** (10 μ M) for 30 min. The cells were washed briefly with 1.0 mL of PBS twice before recorded by fluorescence confocal microscopic.



Fig. S15. Fluorescence images of HeLa cells labeled with **FNN** (10 μ M) for 30 min (a) before and after treatment of (b) INH (500 μ M) for 4 h. (1) bright field (BF); (2) channel 1 (Ex=460 nm, Em=500–600 nm); (3) channel 2 (Ex=520 nm, Em=600–700 nm); (4) merged images of (1), (2) and (3). Scale bar = 20 μ m.



Fig. S16. The quantitative analysis of N_2H_4 and viscosity in living 3-day-old zebrafish. (a) Incubate zebrafishes with probe FNN (10 μ M) for 2h; (b) Preincubated zebrafishes with INH (500 μ M) firstly for 4 h and then with FNN for 2 h. (c) Preincubated zebrafishes with INH (1 mM) firstly for 4 h and then with FNN for 2h. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD.



Fig. S17. The quantitative analysis living zebrafish embryo. (a) The cells only stained with FNN (10 μ M) as control group. (b) Preincubate the cells with INH (500 μ M) for 4 h then treat them with FNN (10 μ M) for 2 h. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD.













Fig. S19 ¹³C NMR spectrum of FNN in CDCl₃



Fig. S20 ¹H NMR spectrum of FNN-1 in CDCl₃