Fluorinated leaning pillar[6]arene: synthesis, structure and selective iodide anion binding by anion $-\pi$ interactions

Weichun Li,^[a] Peng Qin,^[a] Xing-Xing Zhao,^[a] Wen-Juan Qu,^[a] Qi Lin,^[a] Hong Yao,^[a] Tai-Bao Wei,^[a] You-Ming Zhang,^[a] Yuezhou Liu,^{*[b]} and Bingbing Shi^{*[a]}

^aKey Laboratory of Eco–Environment–Related Polymer Materials, Ministry of Education of China; College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730070. P. R. China. Email: bingbingshi@nwnu.edu.cn ^bXi'an Modern Chemistry Research Institute, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710065, China. Email: 1263153726@qq.com

Supporting Information (12 pages)

1.	Materials and instrumentations	S2
2.	Synthesis and characterization of compounds	S2
3.	Crystallographic data for 1	S 7
4.	Host–guest complexation study between 1 and halide anions	S9
5.	Association constant determination	S10
6.	Calculated potential profile	S11
7.	References	S12

1. Materials and instrumentations

All reagents were commercially available and used as supplied without further purification. ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and ¹⁹F NMR were recorded with Agilent 600 MHz and 400 MHz Direct Drive instrument with use of the deuterated solvent as the lock and the residual solvent or TMS as the internal reference. High-resolution mass spectrometric (HRMS) experiments were performed with a Bruker 7-Tesla FT-ICR mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray source (Billerica, MA, USA). Single crystal X-ray data were obtained on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Atlas Gemini captra instrument. The electrostatic potential surfaces (ESP) of computed species were generated with Gaussview 6.0. UV-vis spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV–2550 spectrometer.

2. Synthesis and characterizations of compounds



Scheme S1. Synthetic route to 1.

Synthesis of compound 2.

1,4-Dimethoxybenzene (8.29 g, 60 mmol), 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-1,4-benzenedimethanol (2.10 g, 10.0 mmol), 0.500 mL of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid and 40 mL of hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) were added in a 100 mL pressure flask and the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 24 h. Then, the solvent was concentrated by rotary evaporation and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a mixture of petroleum ether and dichloromethane (v : v = 2 : 1) as the eluent to give 3.42 g (76 % yield) of **2** as a white solid. Mp: 135.8–136.6 °C. The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **2** is shown in Fig. S1. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm): 6.77–6.79 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2 H), 6.71–6.73 (m, 2 H), 6.60–6.61 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2 H), 4.01 (s, 4 H), 3.80 (s, 6 H), 3.72 (s, 6 H). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **2** is shown in Fig. S2. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm): 156.1, 154.2, 130.0, 118.8, 114.2, 113.9, 56.6, 25.7. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **2** is shown in Fig. S3. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum

of **2** (565 MHz, 298 K) in CDCl₃ δ (ppm): -144.13. The HR ESI-MS of **2** is shown in Fig. S4. HR ESI-MS of **2** calcd for [M + H⁺] C₂₄H₂₃F₄O₄⁺, 451.1532, found 451.1580.





Fig. S4 HR ESI-MS spectrum of 2.

Synthesis of 1.

To a 100 mL round bottom flask, compound **2** (540 mg, 1.20 mmol), paraformaldehyde (108 mg, 3.60 mmol) and 60 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane were added. Then, $BF_3 \cdot O(C_2H_5)_2$ (1.00 mL, 7.80 mmol) was added to the solution and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h. Then, the reaction was quenched with Na₂CO₃ solution. The organic layer was washed with water and saturated NaCl solution, and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After concentrating the dried organic layer to a minimum volume by rotary evaporation, the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a mixture of petroleum ether and dichloromethane

(v : v = 3 : 2) as the eluent to give 293 mg (53 % yield) of **1** as a white solid. Mp: 276.4–277.9 °C. The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **1** is shown in Fig. S5. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm): 6.72 (s, 4 H), 6.58 (s, 4 H), 3.91 (s, 8 H), 3.83 (s, 4 H), 3.73 (s, 12 H), 3.60 (s, 12 H). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **2** is shown in Fig. S2. ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ (ppm): 153.8. 131.0, 126.7, 116.4, 58.7, 32.9, 26.4. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **1** is shown in Fig. S7. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of **1** (565 MHz, 298 K) in CDCl₃ δ (ppm): –144.81. The HR ESI-MS of **1** is shown in Fig. S8. HR ESI-MS of **1** calcd for [M + Na⁺] C₅₀H₄₄F₈O₈Na⁺, 947.2801, found 947.2782, error –2 ppm.







Fig. S8 HR ESI-MS spectrum of 1.

3. Crystallographic data for 1

The method to get single crystals of **1** suitable for X-ray single crystal diffraction analysis: Compound **1** (10 mg) was dissolved in acetonitrile to make a hot saturated acetonitrile solution. The resultant solution was allowed to evaporate slowly to give crystals within 2 days.

Formula	1
Crystallization Solvent	acetonitrile
Collection Temperature	169.98 K
Formula	$C_{50}H_{44}F_8O_8$
Mr	924.85
Crystal Size [mm ³]	$0.15\times0.1\times0.05$
Crystal System	triclinic
Space Group	P-1
<i>a</i> [Å]	9.1525(2)
<i>b</i> [Å]	13.3382(3)
<i>c</i> [Å]	20.1751(4)
α [°]	86.3770(10)
β [°]	88.4620(10)
γ [°]	73.9160(10)
<i>V</i> [Å ³]	2361.68(9)
Ζ	2

 Table S1. Experimental single crystal X-ray data for 1.

Dcalcd [g cm ⁻³]	1.301	
$\mu[mm^{-1}]$	0.594	
F(000)	960.0	
2θ range [°]	6.01 - 109.784	
Reflections collected	30529	
Independent reflections, Rint	8894, 0.0476	
Data / restraints / parameters	8894/0/603	
Final <i>R</i> 1 values $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	0.0443	
Final R1 values (all data)	0.0443	
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.026	
Largest difference peak and	0.26/ 0.28	
hole [e.A ⁻³]	0.20/-0.28	
CCDC	2024931	



Fig. S9 Single crystal structure of **1**. C gray, O red, H white, F yellow. C–H···F interactions with H···F distances of 2.507, 2.504 Å; C–H···O interactions with H···O distances of 2.543, 2.572 Å.

4. Host-guest complexation study between 1 and halide anions



Fig. S10 The high-resolution ESI–MS spectrum of the host–guest complex $[1 + I]^-$ in CHCl₃.



TBAC; (d) $\mathbf{1}$ + TBAB.



Fig. S12 (a) Molecular electrostatic potential surfaces maps of the host–guest complex;(b) plots of the IGM of the host–guest complex; (c) plots of RDG of the host–guest complex.

5. Association constant determination

To determine the association constant between **1** and TBAI, UV-vis absorption titration was done with solutions which had a constant concentration of the **1** (1.00×10^{-4} mol/L) and varying concentrations of the guest molecules. By a non-linear curve-fitting method, the association constant (K_a) was determined.

The non-linear curve-fitting was based on a previous report.^{S1}

$$\Delta A = (\Delta A_{\infty}/[H]_{o}) (0.5[G] + 0.5([H]_{o} + 1/K_{a}) - (0.5([G]^{2} + (2[G] (1/K_{a} - [H]_{o})) + (1/K_{a} + [H]_{o})^{2})^{0.5}))$$

Where ΔA is the absorbance change of **1** at different concentrations of the guest TBAI, ΔA_{∞} is the absorbance change when **1** is completely complexed, [H]_o is the fixed initial concentration of 1, and [G] is the concentration of the guest.



Fig. S13 UV-vis absorption titration spectra ($\lambda_{em} = 261.5 \text{ nm}$) of **1** ($1.00 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol/L}$) in the presence of different concentration of TBAI (0-1.00 equiv.) in CHCl₃ solution.

6. Calculated potential profile



Fig. S14 Frontier molecular orbital energy gap of 1.



Fig. S15 Frontier molecular orbital energy gap of the host–guest complex.

7. References

S1. (a) P. R. Ashton, R. Ballardini, V. Balzani, M. Bělohradský, M. T. Gandolfi, D. Philp, L. Prodi,
F. M. Raymo, M. V. Reddington, N. Spencer, J. F. Stoddart, M. Venturi and D. J. Williams. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 4931–4951. (b) Z. Li, J. Yang, G. Yu, J. He, Z. Abliz and F. Huang, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 2066–2069.