# **Electronic supplementary information**

# Influences of nitrogen base excess on ARGET ATRP of styrene with ascorbic acid acetonide and traces of oxygen and water

Niccolò Braidi,\* Francesca Parenti, Giulia Scurani, Francesco Tassinari, Mirko Buffagni, Luisa

Bonifaci, Gianfranco Cavalca, Nicolò Pettenuzzo, and Franco Ghelfi

# Contents

Preparation of stock solutions of catalyst and initiator2	
Characterizations	;
Fig. S1 – Comparison between the spectra of the catalyst after overnight reaction	ŀ
Fig. S2 – Copper-catalyzed oxidation of aliphatic amines by molecular oxygen5	)
Table S1 – ARGET ATRP promoted by tertiary amines in absence of H <sub>2</sub> AAIPI6	;
Fig. S3 – MWD of Entry 5, Table 17	,
Fig. S4 – Comparison between the MWDs of entries 6 and entry 10 of Table 18	;
Fig. S5 – MWD of entry 12 of Table 19	)
Fig. S6 – MWD of entry 13 of Table 110	)
Fig. S7 – <sup>1</sup> H-NMR of entry 12 of Table 111	•
Fig. S8 – <sup>1</sup> H-NMR of Entry 16 of Table 1	
Table S2 – Full Factorial Design of TMP-promoted ARGET ATRP of styrene with H <sub>2</sub> AAIPI	;

## Preparation of stock solutions of catalyst and initiator

### Preparation of CuCl<sub>2</sub>/TPMA solution in EtOH

In a 10 mL volumetric flask,  $CuCl_2$  (70.2 mg, 0.522 mmol) and TPMA (151.6 mg, 0.522 mmol) were weighted. Absolute EtOH (~9 mL) was added, and the flask sonicated to aid dissolution. More EtOH was added to the solution, up to a total volume of 10 mL.

#### Preparation of ECiB solution in EtOAc

400  $\mu$ L of ECiB (410 mg, 2.72 mmol) were added to a 10 mL volumetric flask, employing a 500  $\mu$ L microsyringe. EtOAc was added, up to a total volume of 10 mL.

#### Characterizations

#### Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) procedure

All samples were analyzed using a conventional GPC system. The molecular weight distributions (MWDs) were determined using a Waters GPC system composed of a Waters Alliance 2695 separation module and a Waters 2414 differential refractometer detector. Empower 2 (Waters) was used as the chromatographic analysis software. The system was calibrated with 20 narrow distribution standards of polystyrene with molecular weights ranging from 1300 Da to 7 000 000 Da. Four GPC Phenogel (Phenomenex) columns (size:  $300 \times 7.6$  mm, particle size: 5 µm, porosity  $10^6$ ,  $10^5$ ,  $10^4$ , and  $10^3$  Å) were connected and housed in an oven at 30 °C. Tetrahydrofuran for HPLC was used as a mobile phase (flow rate= 1 mL/min, injection volume= 200 µL, sample concentration =2.5 mg/mL) with toluene as the internal standard. From the MWDs the number and mass average molar masses ( $M_n$  and  $M_w$ , respectively) and subsequently dispersity ( $\hat{D} = M_w/M_n$ ) were obtained.

#### Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) procedure

All NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AvanceNeo-600MHz spectrometer (Larmor resonance frequency for 1H: 600.10 MHz) equipped with Prodigy Platform Unit, a 5 mm cryoprobe BBO 600S3 BB-H&F-D-05 Z XT and TopSpin 4.1 software package. Typical acquisition parameters: 256 transients, spectral width 7.5 kHz, and a delay time of 7.0 s. All the spectra were acquired using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent at 298 K and processed with software MestReNova. Chemical shifts were referred to tetramethylsilane peak (added to CDCl<sub>3</sub> in a concentration of 0.1% v/v) at 0.0 ppm.



Fig. S1 – Comparison between the spectra of the catalyst after overnight reaction

**Fig. S1** – UV/Vis spectra of solution containing:  $[CuCl_2/TPMA]_0$ :  $[H_2AAIPI]_0$ :  $[base]_0 = 3.3 : 16.7 : 100 mol\%$  with respect to the base (50 mmol/L) in  $V_{styrene}$ :  $V_{EtOAc}$ :  $V_{EtOH} = 1.2 : 0.7 : 0.1 mL$ , after overnight reaction at 50 °C under argon.





Fig. S2 – Proposed mechanism for the copper-catalyzed oxidation of tertiary amines by molecular oxygen.

## Table S1 – ARGET ATRP promoted by tertiary amines in absence of H<sub>2</sub>AAIPI

Table S1 -	S1 – Comparison between nitrogen bases (DBU, DIPEA, and TMP), without the addition of H <sub>2</sub> AAIPI, in the ARGET ATRP of styrene. <sup>A</sup>								
entry	[TPMA]₀ (mol%)	[H₂AAIPI]₀ (mol%)	[Base]₀ (mol%)	<i>Time</i> (h)	Conv (%)	<i>M</i> ₁(kDa)	Δ <i>M</i> n (%)	Ð	
1	0.05	0	DBU (0.50)	4.5	42	27.6	+ 84	2.61	
2	0.05	0	DIPEA (0.50)	4.5	30	3.45	+ 10	1.22	
3	0.05	0	TMP (0.50)	13.5	< 1	-	-	-	
4	0	0	DBU (0.50)	4.5	10	110.3	+ 99	3.00	

<sup>A</sup> Common reaction conditions:  $[styrene]_0 : [ECiB]_0 : [CuCl_2]_0 = 100 \ 1.04 : 0.05 \ mol\%, V_{styrene} : V_{EtOAc} : V_{EtOH} = 6 : 3.5 : 0.5 \ mL, T = 100 \ ^{\circ}C.$ 



**Fig. S3** – MWD of **entry 5** of **Table 1**. Conditions: *V*<sub>St</sub> = 6 mL, *V*<sub>EtOAc</sub> = 3.5 mL, *V*<sub>EtOH</sub> = 0.5 mL, *T* = 100 °C (13.5 h), [styrene]<sub>0</sub>:[ECiB]<sub>0</sub>:[CuCl<sub>2</sub>/TPMA]<sub>0</sub>:[H<sub>2</sub>AAIPI]<sub>0</sub>:[Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 100:1.04:0.025:0.25:0.25.

## Fig. S4 – Comparison between the MWDs of entries 6 and entry 10 of Table 1.



**Fig. S4** – MWDs of polystyrene obtained by ARGET ATRP with H<sub>2</sub>AAIPI and DBU. *Blue*) **entry 6**, **Table 1**. *Orange*) **entry 10**, **Table 1**.



**Fig. S5** – MWD of **entry 12** of **Table 1**. Conditions: *V*<sub>styrene</sub> = 6 mL, *V*<sub>EtOAc</sub> = 3.5 mL, *V*<sub>EtOH</sub> = 0.5 mL, *T* = 100 °C (13.5 h), [styrene]<sub>0</sub>:[ECiB]<sub>0</sub>:[CuCl<sub>2</sub>/TPMA]<sub>0</sub>:[H<sub>2</sub>AA]<sub>0</sub>:[DIPEA]<sub>0</sub> = 100:1.04:0.025:0.125:0.25.

## Fig. S6 – MWD of entry 13 of Table 1



**Fig. S6** – MWD of **entry 13** of **Table 1**. Conditions: *V*<sub>styrene</sub> = 12 mL, *V*<sub>EtOAc</sub> = 3.5 mL, *V*<sub>EtOH</sub> = 0.5 mL, *T* = 100 °C (24 h), [styrene]<sub>0</sub>:[ECiB]<sub>0</sub>:[CuCl<sub>2</sub>/TPMA]<sub>0</sub>:[H<sub>2</sub>AAIPI]<sub>0</sub>:[DIPEA]<sub>0</sub> = 100:0.52:0.0125:0.125:0.25.





**Fig. S7** – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of **entry 12** of **Table 1**. Conditions:  $V_{\text{styrene}} = 6 \text{ mL}$ ,  $V_{\text{EtOAc}} = 3.5 \text{ mL}$ ,  $V_{\text{EtOH}} = 0.5 \text{ mL}$ ,  $T = 100 ^{\circ}\text{C}$  (13.5 h), [styrene]<sub>0</sub>:[ECiB]<sub>0</sub>:[CuCl<sub>2</sub>/TPMA]<sub>0</sub>:[H<sub>2</sub>AA]<sub>0</sub>:[DIPEA]<sub>0</sub> = 100:1.04:0.025:0.125:0.25.





**Fig. S8** – <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of the reaction conditions:  $V_{St} = 6 \text{ mL}$ ,  $V_{EtOAc} = 3.5 \text{ mL}$ ,  $V_{EtOH} = 0.5 \text{ mL}$ , T = 100 °C (24 h), [Styrene]<sub>0</sub>:[ECiB]<sub>0</sub>:[CuCl<sub>2</sub>/TPMA]<sub>0</sub>:[H<sub>2</sub>AAIPI]<sub>0</sub>:[TMP]<sub>0</sub> = 100:1.04:0.025:0.25:1.5.

Table S2 – Full factorial design of TMP-promoted ARGET ATRP of styrene with H <sub>2</sub> AAIPI								
entry	[CuCl₂/TPMA]₀ (mol%)	[benzalchloride]₀ (mol%)	[H₂AAIPI]₀/[TMP]₀ (mol%/mol%)	<i>Time</i> (h)	Conv (%)	<i>M</i> ₅ (kDa)	∆ <i>M</i> n (%)	Ð
1	0.0625	1	0.125/0.750	20	50.9	5.25	- 4.43	1.33
2 <sup>B</sup>	0.0625	1	0.250/1.50	25	59.3	6.41	+ 0.14	1.32
3	0.250	1	0.250/1.50	20	53.6	6.00	+ 3.92	1.15
4	0.250	1	0.125/0.750	25	53.1	5.32	- 7.38	1.15
5	0.0625	2	0.250/1.50	20	51.9	3.26	+ 11.8	1.32
6	0.0625	2	0.125/0.750	25	50.5	3.32	+ 15.6	1.30
7 <sup>B</sup>	0.250	2	0.125/0.750	20	39.8	2.40	+ 6.68	1.14
8	0.250	2	0.250/1.50	25	54.0	2.88	- 3.60	1.14
9 <sup> в</sup>	0.250	1	0.250/1.50	25	57.6	5.02	- 23.2	1.17
10	0.250	2	0.250/1.50	20	48.5	2.58	-4.51	1.16
11	0.250	2	0.125/0.750	25	46.2	2.48	- 3.87	1.16
12	0.0625	1	0.125/0.750	25	51.0	4.75	- 15.6	1.35
13	0.250	1	0.125/0.750	20	46.3	4.05	- 23.5	1.18
14 <sup>B</sup>	0.0625	2	0.250/1.50	25	54.3	3.49	+ 14.1	1.32
15	0.0625	2	0.125/0.750	20	38.0	2.90	+ 26.0	1.33
16	0.0625	1	0.250/1.50	20	51.3	4.85	- 13.9	1.35
17	0.156	1.5	0.188/1.13	22.5	50.5	3.27	- 12.5	1.21
18	0.156	1.5	0.188/1.13	22.5	52.2	3.71	- 2.40	1.20

## Table S2 – Full Factorial Design of TMP-promoted ARGET ATRP of styrene with H<sub>2</sub>AAIPI

<sup>A</sup> Common reaction conditions:  $V_{\text{styrene}}$ :  $V_{\text{EtOAc}}$ :  $V_{\text{EtOH}}$  = 6 : 3.5 : 0.5 mL, T = 100 °C. <sup>B</sup> The reaction has been conducted twice and the mean values are reported.