Supporting information

Silver particles coated with conductive polymers: expanding the concept of hybrid particle sinter-free conductive materials

Juraj Drzic,¹ Alberto Escudero^{1,2}, Lola González-García^{1,3}, and Tobias Kraus^{1,4}

¹INM – Leibniz Institute for New Materials, Campus D2 2, D-66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

²Departamento de Química Inorgánica and Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas (IIQ), Universidad de Sevilla – CSIC, Calle Américo Vespucio 49, E-41092 Seville, Spain

³Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Saarland University, Campus D2 2, 66123, Saarbrücken, Germany

⁴Colloid and Interface Chemistry, Saarland University, D-66123 Saarbrücken, Germany

Effect of the e-Ahx concentration:



Figure S1. DLS data and SEM image of **A**) sample Ag1 (0 mg mL⁻¹ of ε -Ahx); **B**) sample Ag2 (11.1 mg mL⁻¹ of ε -Ahx); **C**) sample Ag3 (22.1 mg mL⁻¹ of ε -Ahx); **D**) sample Ag4 (27.7 mg mL⁻¹ of ε -Ahx). All samples were prepared in pure ethylene glycol (EG).

Effect of the water concentration:

Effect of the Br:Ag ratio:



Figure S2. DLS data and SEM image of **A**) sample Ag5 (10 vol% H₂O); **B**) sample Ag6 (5 vol% H₂O); **C**) sample Ag7 (2.5 vol% H₂O); **D**) sample Ag8 (1 vol% H₂O). All these samples were prepared with a ε -Ahx concentration of 11.1 mg/mL.

Ag10 0.005 Br:Ag **Ag9** 0.0023 Br:Ag В Δ Intensity ntensity 1000 10 100 1000 100 d (nm) d (nm) **Ag11** 0.01 Br:Ag **Ag12** 0.02 Br:Ag С D Intensity 1000 100 100 1000 d (nm) d (nm)

Figure S3. DLS data and SEM image of **A)** sample Ag9 (0.0023 Br:Ag); **B)** sample Ag10 (0.005 Br:Ag); **C)** sample Ag11 (0.01 Br:Ag)); **D)** sample Ag12 (0.2 Br:Ag). For all these samples the final %vol H2O was 2.5% and the ϵ -Ahx concentration was 11.1 mg/mL.



Figure S4: Graphical representation of cross-sectional profile of deposited patterns of AgNP@PEDOT:PSS (Ag13 LE). Seven samples on glass were measured over entire surface using a 3D confocal microscope to obtain profile height. The obtained topography data of profile heights was converted into Abbott Firestone histograms and layer thickness was calculated as difference between peaks.

sample #	Layer thickness (µm)	Av. cross section surface (μm ²)
1	5.57	5473
2	5.93	6580
3	5.75	8817
4	6.36	6133
5	4.57	4076
6	5.33	4596
7	4.95	4203

Table S1. Confocal microscopy data: Layer thickness calculated from difference in topography histograms peaks and measured average cross section surface for each sample.