# **Supporting Information**

# FacileConstructionofAIE-ActivePyridinyl-DiphenylacrylonitrileDerivativeswithOpticalProperties Finely-Modulated by the D-A Regulation

Yipu Wang,<sup>a</sup> Yanlang Zhong,<sup>a</sup> Xinyi Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Da-Hui Qu,<sup>a</sup> Dong Mei,<sup>\*b</sup> Ju Mei<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials, Feringa Nobel Prize Scientist Joint Research Center, Frontiers Science Center for Materiobiology and Dynamic Chemistry, Joint International Research Laboratory for Precision Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Institute of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemistry & Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science & Technology, 130 Meilong Road, Shanghai 200237, P. R. China

E-mail: daisymeiju@ecust.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup>Clinical Research Center, Beijing Children's Hospital, Capital Medical University, National Center for Children's Health, Beijing 100045, China

E-mail: meidong11290926@126.com

# **Density Functional Theory (DFT) Calculation**

Theoretical calculation of PyDPACN derivatives on their energy levels was used the density functional theory (DFT) method with a B3LYP/6-31+G\* basis set using the Gaussian 09 package, and theoretical calculation of single crystals of PyDPACN derivatives with RB3LYP/6-31G(d) basis set using the Gaussian 09 package.<sup>S1</sup>

# Singlet-Oxygen Quantum Yield Calculation

Rose Bengal (RB) was utilized as the standard photosensitizer. To eliminate the inner-filter effect, the maximum absorbance was maintained to about 0.2 a.u.. The singlet oxygen generation of photosensitizers (10  $\mu$ M) with ABDA (50  $\mu$ M) were measured under white light irradiation in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)/water (v/v) = 1/99. Singlet oxygen quantum yields of AIEgen were calculated by the equation:

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{AIE}} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{RB}} \, \frac{k_{\mathrm{AIE}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{RB}}}{k_{\mathrm{RB}} \times \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{AIE}}}$$

where  $k_{AIE}$  and  $k_{RB}$  represent the decomposition rate constants of ABDA with AIEgen and RB, respectively. A<sub>AIE</sub> and A<sub>RB</sub> represent the light absorbed by AIEgen and RB, respectively, which are integral areas between absorption curves and axes in the wavelength range of 400–800 nm.  $\Phi_{RB}$  is the singlet oxygen yield of RB, which is 0.75 in water.<sup>S2</sup>

## **Cell Culture**

Human ovarian cancer (SK-OV-3 cell) cell lines, human cervical cancer (HeLa cell) cells, human lung cancer (A549 cell) cells lines, human renal epithelial (293T cell) cells were obtained from the Institute of Basic Medical Science (Beijing, China). HeLa cells, A549 cells, and 293T cells were cultured in DMEM medium. SK-OV-3 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium. All of the above media were contained 10% fetal bovine serum and 1 % (100 U/mL) penicillin-streptomycin and all cells were cultured at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

## **Cell Imaging**

SK-OV-3 cells were seeded and cultured in glass bottom dishes for 24 h. Then cells were incubated with PyDPACN-TCF (10  $\mu$ M) for different time (1 h, 2 h, and 3 h). Afterwards, cells were washed with PBS and replaced with fresh medium. Cells were imaged by CLSM,  $\lambda_{ex} = 488$  nm,  $\lambda_{em} = 580-620$  nm. The procedures of imaging HeLa cells and A549 cells were the same as those of SK-OV-3 cells, except changing the concentration to 20  $\mu$ M.

## Lysosome Colocalization

HeLa cells were seeded and cultured in glass bottom dishes for 24 h. Then, these cells were incubated with PyDPACN-TCF (5  $\mu$ M) for 1 h. And afterwards, the cells were washed with PBS and were stained with Lyso-Tracker Green (50 nM) for 30 min. The cells were imaged by CLSM, Conditions: for Lyso-Tracker Green,  $\lambda_{ex} = 488$  nm;  $\lambda_{em} = 500-550$  nm; for PyDPACN-TCF,  $\lambda_{ex} = 488$  nm,  $\lambda_{em} = 580-620$  nm.

# **Cytotoxicity Assay**

HeLa cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of  $10^4$  cells/well for 24 h. Then cells were treated with PyDPACN-TCF of different concentrations (0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 µM, 200 µL/well) for 1 h. Afterwards, each 96-well plate was irradiated with white light (72 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) for 0.5 h and incubated for different time (4 h and 12 h) under dark condition. At the same time, 96-well plates were incubated with PyDPACN-TCF of different concentrations (0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 µM, 200 µL/well) for 12 h under dark condition. Then cells were treated with fresh FBS-free medium containing 10% CCK-8 (100 µL/well) for 0.5 h. The absorbance value was measured at 450 nm by a microplate reader. The phototoxicity and dark toxicity assay procedures of SK-OV-3 cells, A549 cells, and 293T cells are the same as those of HeLa cells, except that all these cells were treated with PyDPACN-TCF for 2 h in phototoxicity assay.

# Intracellular ROS Generation Evaluation<sup>S3</sup>

HeLa cells were seeded and cultured in glass bottom dishes for 24 h. Then, the cells were treated with PyDPACN-TCF (10  $\mu$ M) in fresh medium for 1 h. Afterwards, the culture medium was washed with PBS and replaced with fresh FBS-free medium containing DCF-DA (10  $\mu$ M). After incubation for 20 min, the cells were washed with PBS and then irradiated with white light (72 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) for different time (1 min, 5 min, and 10 min). After further incubation for 10 min, the cells were imaged by CLSM. Conditions:  $\lambda_{ex}$ : 488 nm;  $\lambda_{em}$ : 500–550 nm.



Fig. S1 The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 3 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S2 The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of compound 3 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

## **Elemental Composition Report**

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 mDa / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

# Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions $\underline{5}$ formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass) Elements Used: C: 0-13 H: 0-10 N: 0-2 K: 0-1



Fig. S3 High resolution mass (HRMS) spectrum of compound 3.



Fig. S4 The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of PyDPACN-O in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S5 The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of PyDPACN-O in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S6 HRMS spectrum of PyDPACN-O.



Fig. S7 The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of PyDPACN-H in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S8 The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of PyDPACN-H in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

## **Elemental Composition Report**

283.1227

283.1235

-0.8

-2.8

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 2 Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 13 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 0-30 H: 0-28 N: 0-4 J-MEI MJ-WYP-002 33 (0.359) Cm (33:37) 1: TOF MS ES+ 1.14e+004 283.1227 100-%-288,2892 316.3212 256.1133 269.2147 274.2729 289.2934 219.1172 224.0793 233.1331 244.2619 305.1053 317.3263 332.3141 320 330 210 0-220 240 250 260 270 280 300 310 230 290 Minimum: Maximum: -1.5 5.0 5.0 Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT (Norm) Formula Mass i-FIT



207.1

0.0

C20 H15 N2

14.5



Fig. S10 The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of PyDPACN-CHO in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

Page 1



Fig. S11 The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of PyDPACN-CHO in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.







Fig. S13 The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of PyDPACN-TCF in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S14 The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of PyDPACN-TCF in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

### Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0 Element prediction: Off Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 2 Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions 20 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass) Elements Used: C: 32-32 H: 0-30 N: 0-5 O: 0-5 J-MEI MJ-WYP-005 6 (0.057) Cm (6:11) 1: TOF MS ES-1.05e+003 490.1676 100-%-491.1707 492.1726 501.0110 506.1571 509.3425 รายาศักราช สามาร์ สา 500.0 505.0 510.0 515.0 465.2314 467.3210 473.2775 475.2924 481.2845 487.9473 407.3210 407.3210 407.3220 407.3244 407.3244 407.3244 407.3245 407.344 0 Y٣ 495.0 -1.5 Minimum: 5.0 5.0 Maximum: Mass Calc. Mass mDa PPM DBE i-FIT i-FIT (Norm) Formula C32 H20 N5 O 490.1676 490.1668 0.8 1.6 25.5 93.1 0.0

Fig. S15 HRMS spectrum of PyDPACN-TCF.

# Single Crystal Analysis and Data



11

Page 1





e)

C)



**Fig. S16** Intramolecular and intermolecular interactions of a) PyDPACN-N, b) PyDPACN-O, c) PyDPACN-H, d) PyDPACN-CHO, e) PyDPACN-TCF.

Compound name	PyDPACN-N				
CCDC number	No. 2157128				
Empirical formula	$C_{22}H_{19}N_3$				
Formula weight	325.40				
Temperature	293(2) K				
Wavelength	0.71073 Å				
Crystal system	Monoclinic				
Space group	P 2(1)/c				
Unit cell dimensions	a = 25.826(3)  Å	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$			
	b = 5.9172(6) Å	$\beta = 114.54^{\circ}$			
	c = 25.826(3)  Å	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$			
Volume	3590.3(6) Å <sup>3</sup>				
Z	8				
Density (calculated)	$1.204 \text{ mg/m}^3$				
Absorption coefficient	$0.072 \text{ mm}^{-1}$				
F(000)	1376				
Crystal size	$0.180\times0.120\times0.080~mm^3$				
Theta range for data collection	2.237 to 25.498°				
Index ranges	-31<=h<=27, -7<=k<=7, -16<=l<=31				
Reflections collected	16045				
Independent reflections	6619 [R(int) = 0.0763]				
Completeness to theta = $25.242^{\circ}$	99.2 %				
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equ	ivalents			
Max. and min. transmission	0.7456 and 0.6557				
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares	on F <sup>2</sup>			
Data / restraints / parameters	6619 / 0 / 456				
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	0.999				
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0670, wR2 = 0.1212				
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.2159, $wR2 = 0.1823$				
Extinction coefficient	0.0020(5)				
Largest diff. peak and hole	$0.130 \text{ and } -0.117 \text{ e. } \text{\AA}^{-3}$				

 Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for PyDPACN-N.

Compound name	PyDPACN-O			
CCDC number	No. 2157129			
Empirical formula	$C_{21}H_{16}N_2O$			
Formula weight	312.36			
Temperature	293(2) K			
Wavelength	0.71073 Å			
Crystal system	Monoclinic			
Space group	P 2(1)/c			
Unit cell dimensions	a = 7.6270(3)  Å	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$		
	b = 33.7252(11) Å	$\beta = 109.9900(10)^{\circ}$		
	c = 6.7050(2)  Å	$\gamma=90^\circ$		
Volume	$1620.77(10) \text{ Å}^3$			
Z	4			
Density (calculated)	1.280 mg/m <sup>3</sup>			
Absorption coefficient	$0.080 \text{ mm}^{-1}$			
F(000)	656			
Crystal size	$0.180 \times 0.150 \times 0.110 \text{ mm}^3$	3		
Theta range for data collection	ange for data collection 2.842 to 25.996°			
Index ranges -9<=h<=9, -41<=k<=35, -7<=l<=8				
Reflections collected				
Independent reflections $3148 [R(int) = 0.0227]$				
Completeness to theta = $25.242^{\circ}$	98.5 %			
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equiv	alents		
Max. and min. transmission	0.7456 and 0.6316			
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares or	n F <sup>2</sup>		
Data / restraints / parameters	3148 / 0 / 219			
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.049			
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	ices [I>2sigma(I)] $R1 = 0.0441, wR2 = 0.1099$			
R indices (all data) $R1 = 0.0578$ , wR2 = 0.1224				
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.138 and –0.118 e. ${\rm \AA}^{-3}$			

 Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for PyDPACN-O.

Compound name	PyDPACN-H	
CCDC number	No. 2157127	
Empirical formula	$C_{20}H_{14}N_2$	
Formula weight	282.33	
Temperature	293(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	
Space group	P 2(1)/n	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 6.7926(5)  Å	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$
	b = 7.0902(5) Å	$\beta = 95.003(2)^{\circ}$
	c = 31.308(2)  Å	$\gamma=90^\circ$
Volume	1502.07(19) Å <sup>3</sup>	
Z	4	
Density (calculated)	$1.248 \text{ mg/m}^3$	
Absorption coefficient	$0.074 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	
F(000)	592	
Crystal size	$0.190\times0.150\times0.080~mm^3$	
Theta range for data collection	2.612 to 25.497°	
Index ranges	-8<=h<=8, -7<=k<=8, -37<	=l<=37
Reflections collected	13996	
Independent reflections	2781 [R(int) = 0.0759]	
Completeness to theta = $25.242^{\circ}$	99.6 %	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equiva	alents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7456 and 0.4709	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares or	$h F^2$
Data / restraints / parameters	2781 / 0 / 200	
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.034	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0521, wR2 = 0.1353	3
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0709, wR2 = 0.1530	)
Extinction coefficient	0.048(8)	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.196 and $-0.172 \text{ e.}\text{\AA}^{-3}$	

 Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for PyDPACN-H.

Compound name	PyDPACN-CHO	PyDPACN-CHO				
CCDC number	No. 2157126					
Empirical formula	$C_{21}H_{16}N_2O_2$	$C_{21}H_{16}N_2O_2$				
Formula weight	328.36					
Temperature	293(2) K	293(2) K				
Wavelength	0.71073 Å					
Crystal system	Monoclinic					
Space group	Рс					
Unit cell dimensions	a = 3.7948(18) Å	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$				
	b = 17.822(8) Å	$\beta = 94.084(16)^{\circ}$				
	c = 12.105(6)  Å	$\gamma=90^\circ$				
Volume	816.6(6) Å <sup>3</sup>					
Z	2					
Density (calculated)	$1.335 \text{ mg/m}^3$					
Absorption coefficient	$0.087 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	$0.087 \text{ mm}^{-1}$				
F(000)	344	344				
Crystal size	$0.150\times0.120\times0.060~\mathrm{r}$	$0.150\times0.120\times0.060\ mm^3$				
Theta range for data collection	2.286 to 24.999°	2.286 to 24.999°				
Index ranges	-4<=h<=4, -21<=k<=21	-4<=h<=4, -21<=k<=21, -14<=l<=14				
Reflections collected	7311					
Independent reflections	2483 [R(int) = 0.1268]					
Completeness to theta = $25.242^{\circ}$	5.242° 90.1 %					
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from eq	uivalents				
Max. and min. transmission	0.7456 and 0.5032					
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-square	es on F <sup>2</sup>				
Data / restraints / parameters	2483 / 2 / 230					
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.067	1.067				
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0708, wR2 = 0.1	R1 = 0.0708, $wR2 = 0.1400$				
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1676, wR2 = 0.1	R1 = 0.1676, wR2 = 0.1887				
Absolute structure parameter	-0.4(10)					
Extinction coefficient	0.018(8)					
Largest diff. peak and hole						

**Table S4.** Crystal data and structure refinement for PyDPACN-CHO.

Compound name	PyDPACN-TCF	PyDPACN-TCF			
CCDC number	No. 2157130	No. 2157130			
Empirical formula	$C_{32}H_{21}N_5O$				
Formula weight	491.54	491.54			
Temperature	293(2) K	293(2) K			
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å			
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic			
Space group	P -1				
Unit cell dimensions	a = 10.5108(4)  Å	$\alpha = 63.8500(10)^{\circ}$			
	b = 17.0035(6) Å	$\beta = 83.372(2)^{\circ}$			
	c = 17.7169(9) Å	$\gamma = 86.6710(10)^{\circ}$			
Volume	2823.2(2) Å <sup>3</sup>				
Z	4				
Density (calculated)	1.156 mg/m <sup>3</sup>				
Absorption coefficient	$0.072 \text{ mm}^{-1}$				
F(000)	1024				
Crystal size	$0.140 \times 0.120 \times 0.090$	mm <sup>3</sup>			
Theta range for data collection	1.951 to 24.999°				
Index ranges	-12<=h<=12, -19<=k<=20, -20<=l<=21				
Reflections collected	40082				
Independent reflections	9911 [R(int) = 0.0353]				
Completeness to theta = $25.242^{\circ}$	97.0 %				
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from e	equivalents			
Max. and min. transmission	0.7456 and 0.6503				
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squar	res on $F^2$			
Data / restraints / parameters	9911 / 96 / 754				
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.105				
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0883, wR2 = 0	.2629			
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1164, wR2 = 0.2924				
Extinction coefficient	0.053(7)				
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.824 and -0.312 e.Å <sup>-</sup>	0.824 and -0.312 e.Å <sup>-3</sup>			

**Table S5.** Crystal data and structure refinement for PyDPACN-TCF.

## Cyclic Voltammetry and Theoretical Calculation<sup>S4</sup>



**Fig. S17** Cyclic voltammograms of pyridine–diphenylacrylonitriles (PyDPACNs). Conditions: 1 mM THF solution, 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub>, 200 mV/s.

	$\lambda_{\text{onset}}$	$E^{\mathrm{ox}}$	$HOMO^a$	$LUMO^a$	$E_{\rm g}{}^a/$	HOMO <sup>b</sup>	$LUMO^b$	$E_{\mathrm{g}}^{\ b}$	HOMO <sup>c</sup> /	LUMO <sup>c</sup>	$E_{\rm g}{}^c/$
AlEgen	/nm	/eV	/eV	/eV	eV	/eV	/eV	eV	eV	/eV	eV
PyDPACN-N	464	0.90	-5.30	-2.63	2.67	-5.51	-2.20	3.31	-5.19	-1.56	3.63
PyDPACN-O	397	0.56	-6.84	-3.72	3.12	-6.06	-2.42	3.64	-5.74	-2.01	3.73
PyDPACN-H	373	0.57	-6.91	-3.59	3.32	-6.41	-2.62	3.79	-6.13	-2.14	3.99
PyDPACN-CHO	393	0.53	-6.73	-3.58	3.15	-6.69	-3.19	3.50	-6.41	-2.65	3.76
PyDPACN-TCF	530	1.38	-6.38	-4.04	2.34	-6.62	-3.98	2.63	-6.38	-3.39	2.99

Table S6. Electronic properties of PyDPACN derivatives.

 $\lambda_{\text{onset}}$  = wavelength from onset of the UV-vis absorption spectra,  $E_{\text{ox}}$  = oxidation potential from cyclic voltammetry, HOMO = the highest occupied molecular orbital, LUMO = the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital,  $E_g$  = energy band gap.  $E_g^a$  was calculated by the formula ( $E_g$  = 1241 nm/ $\lambda_{\text{onset}}$ ). HOMO<sup>*a*</sup> and LUMO<sup>*a*</sup> were obtained by the equation:  $E_{\text{HOMO}}$  = -e( $E_{\text{onset}}^{\text{ox}}$  + 4.4 V),  $E_{\text{LUMO}}$  =  $E_{\text{HOMO}}$  +  $E_g$ .

<sup>a</sup>Obtained from cyclic voltammetry tests. <sup>b</sup>Values obtained from DFT calculation on optimized molecular structures. <sup>c</sup>Values obtained from DFT calculation on single crystal structures.

# **Photophysical Properties**



**Fig. S18** a) Absorption spectra of PyDPACN derivatives in the THF solution,  $c = 10 \mu$ M. b) Emission spectra of PyDPACN derivatives in the THF solution,  $c = 10 \mu$ M. c) Emission spectra of PyDPACN derivatives in the solid state.



**Fig. S19** a) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-N in the THF/water mixtures with different water fractions at room temperature,  $c = 10 \mu M$ ,  $\lambda_{ex} = 385 \text{ nm}$ . b) The plot of the emission enhancement ( $I/I_0-1$ ) of PyDPACN-N *versus* the water fraction. c) Corresponding fluorescent photographs of PyDPACN-N in the THF/water mixtures with different water fractions ( $f_w$ s) under a 365 nm-UV lamp.



**Fig. S20** a) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-O in the THF/water mixtures with different water fractions at room temperature,  $c = 10 \mu M$ ,  $\lambda_{ex} = 350 \text{ nm}$ . b) The plot of the emission enhancement ( $I/I_0$ -1) of PyDPACN-O *versus* the water fraction. c) Corresponding fluorescent photographs of PyDPACN-O in the THF/water mixtures with different  $f_w$ s under a 365 nm-UV lamp.



**Fig. S21** a) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-H in the THF/water mixtures with different water fractions at room temperature,  $c = 10 \mu M$ ,  $\lambda_{ex} = 330 \text{ nm. b}$ ) The plot of the emission enhancement ( $I/I_0$ -1) of PyDPACN-H *versus* the water fraction. c) Corresponding fluorescent photographs of PyDPACN-H in the THF/water mixtures with different  $f_w$ s under a 365 nm-UV lamp.



**Fig. S22** a) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-CHO in the THF/water mixtures with different water fractions at room temperature,  $c = 10 \mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex} = 340 \text{ nm. b}$ ) The plot of the emission enhancement (*I*/*I*<sub>0</sub>–1) of PyDPACN-CHO *versus* the water fraction. c) Corresponding fluorescent photographs of PyDPACN-CHO in the THF/water mixtures with different  $f_{ws}$  under a 365 nm-UV lamp.



**Fig. S23** a) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-TCF in the THF/water mixtures with different water fractions at room temperature,  $c = 10 \mu M$ ,  $\lambda_{ex} = 440 nm$ . b) The plot of the emission enhancement ( $I/I_0$ -1) of PyDPACN-TCF *versus* the water fraction. c) Corresponding fluorescent photographs of PyDPACN-TCF in the THF/water mixtures with different  $f_{ws}$  under a 365 nm-UV lamp.



**Fig. S24** Particle size distribution of PyDPACN-CHO in the THF mixture with different water fraction ( $f_w$ ).



**Fig. S25** Particle size distribution of PyDPACN-TCF in the THF mixture with different water fraction  $(f_w)$ .



**Fig. S26** Emission spectra of a) PyDPACN-N, b) PyDPACN-O, c) PyDPACN-H, and d) PyDPACN-CHO in the THF/EtOH mixtures with different ethanol fractions at room temperature,  $c = 10 \mu$ M. All compounds were excited at their absorption maxima.



# Solvatochromism

**Fig. S27** a) Absorption spectra of PyDPACN-N in different solvents,  $c = 10 \ \mu\text{M}$ . b) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-N in different solvents,  $c = 100 \ \mu\text{M}$ . All solutions were excited at their absorption maxima. c) From left to right: The photographs of PyDPACN-N in toluene (left), DCM, THF, EA, 1,4-dioxane, acetonitrile, *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF), ethanol, methanol, DMSO (right) under a 365 nm-UV lamp.



**Fig. S28** a) Absorption spectra of PyDPACN-O in different solvents,  $c = 10 \ \mu\text{M}$ . b) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-O in different solvents,  $c = 100 \ \mu\text{M}$ . All solutions were excited at their absorption maxima. c) From left to right: The photographs of PyDPACN-O in toluene (left), DCM, THF, EA, 1,4-dioxane, acetonitrile, DMF, ethanol, methanol, DMSO (right) under a 365 nm-UV lamp.



**Fig. S29** a) Absorption spectra of PyDPACN-H in different solvents,  $c = 10 \ \mu\text{M}$ . b) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-H in different solvents,  $c = 100 \ \mu\text{M}$ . All solutions were excited at their absorption maxima. c) The photographs of PyDPACN-H in toluene (left), DCM, THF, EA, 1,4-dioxane, acetonitrile, DMF, ethanol, methanol, DMSO (right) under a 365 nm-UV lamp.



**Fig. S30** a) Absorption spectra of PyDPACN-CHO in different solvents,  $c = 10 \mu$ M. b) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-CHO in different solvents,  $c = 100 \mu$ M. All solutions were excited at their absorption maxima. c) From left to right: The photographs of PyDPACN-CHO in toluene (left), DCM, THF, EA, 1,4-dioxane, acetonitrile, DMF, ethanol, methanol, DMSO (right) under a 365 nm-UV lamp.



**Fig. S31** a) Absorption spectra of PyDPACN-TCF in different solvents,  $c = 10 \ \mu\text{M}$ . b) Emission spectra of PyDPACN-TCF in different solvents,  $c = 10 \ \mu\text{M}$ . All solutions were excited at their absorption maxima. c) From left to right: The photographs of PyDPACN-TCF in toluene (left), DCM, THF, EA, 1,4-dioxane, acetonitrile, DMF, ethanol, methanol, DMSO (right) under a 365 nm-UV lamp.

		$\Delta v ( \times 10^3  \mathrm{cm}^{-1} )$					
Solvent	$\Delta f$	PyDPACN-	PyDPACN-	PyDPACN-	PyDPACN-	PyDPACN-	
		Ν	Ο	Н	СНО	TCF	
toluene	0.014	4.248	5.456	6.090	6.528	5.122	
DCM	0.218	5.102	5.670	6.731	6.102	5.195	
EA	0.201	5.270	5.176	6.225	6.506	5.475	
1,4-dioxane	0.072	5.301	5.401	6.165	6.843	5.311	
THF	0.210	4.980	5.508	6.038	6.242	5.418	
DMF	0.275	5.797	5.670	5.940	5.837	5.538	
acetonitrile	0.306	6.105	5.844	6.673	6.452	6.016	
EtOH	0.288	4.969	5.480	6.309	6.560	5.642	
MeOH	0.309	5.490	5.371	6.592	6.935	5.846	
DMSO	0.264	5.806	5.505	6.437	5.997	5.835	

**Table S7**. Solvent polarity parameter ( $\Delta f$ ) of different solvents and Stokes shift ( $\Delta v$ ) of PyDPACN derivatives.

**ROS Generating Ability** 



**Fig. S32** Absorption spectra of a) PyDPACN-TCF (10  $\mu$ M) with ABDA (50  $\mu$ M), b) Rose Bengal (10  $\mu$ M) with ABDA (50  $\mu$ M), and c) ABDA (50  $\mu$ M) only in aqueous solution (1 vol% DMSO) with white light irradiation (10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>).



**Fig. S33** Absorption spectra of PyDPACN-TCF (10  $\mu$ M) with ABDA (50  $\mu$ M) in the solution of different water fractions, a) 0 vol%, b) 10 vol%, c) 20 vol%, d) 30 vol%, e) 40 vol%, f) 50 vol%, g) 60 vol%, h) 70 vol%, i) 80 vol%, j) 90 vol%, k) 95 vol%, l) 99 vol% with white light irradiation (10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>).



**Fig. S34** CLSM images of HeLa cells co-stained with Lyso-Tracker Green and PyDPACN-TCF. Scale bar: 50 µm.

## **References:**

S1. M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Jr. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision A02, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.

S2. W. Xu, M. M. S. Lee, J. J. Nie, Z. Zhang, R. T. K. Kwok, J. W. Y. Lam, F. J. Xu, D. Wang and B. Z. Tang, Three-Pronged Attack by Homologous Far-red/NIR AIEgens to Achieve 1+1+1>3 Synergistic Enhanced Photodynamic Therapy, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 9610–9616.

S3. Y. Xu, Y. Zhang, J. Li, J. An, C. Li, S. Bai, A. Sharma, G. Deng, J. S. Kim and Y. Sun, NIR-II Emissive Multifunctional AIEgen with Single Laser-activated Synergistic Photodynamic/photothermal Therapy of Cancers and Pathogens, *Biomaterials*, 2020, 259, 120315.
S4. W. X. Song, L. Gao, T. M. Zhang, J. H. Huang and J. H. Su, [1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]pyridine Based Host Materials for High-performance Red PhOLEDs with External Quantum Efficiencies over 23%, *J. Lumin.*, 2019, 206, 386–392.