Supplementary Materials



Fig. S1 SEM images of (a-b) $CoO_X@11$ -CS and (c-d) $CoO_X@12$ -CS.



Fig. S2 TEM images of (a, b) CoO_X@11-CS and (c, d) CoO_X@12-CS.



Fig. S3 SEM images of 12-CS.



Fig. S4 XPS survey spectra of $CoO_X@CS$.



Fig. S5 High resolution XPS spectra: (a, b) Co 2p region and (c, d) O 1s region.



Fig. S6 High resolution XPS spectra: (a-c) C 1s region.



Fig. S7 (a-c) CV curves at different scan rates in a potential window (0-0.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl) and(d)thecorrespondingdouble-layercapacitancecalculated.

Air catalysts	E _{OER} (j=10 mA cm ⁻²)	E _{ORR1/2}	E= E _{OER} - E _{ORR1/2}	Power /Energy density (mW cm ⁻²)/ (Wh kg _{Zn} ⁻¹)	Stability	ΔE	Ref.
Co ₃ O ₄ microspheres/C u nanoparticles hybrid	-	-	1.0 V	-	110 h (0.05 mA cm ⁻²)	1.0 V (0.05 mA cm ⁻²)	24
CoOx nanoplates	1.536 V	-	-	-	10000 s (5 mA cm ⁻²)	-	58
SC CoO NRs	1.56 V	0.85 V	0.71 V	-	3000 cycles	-	53
3DOM Co ₃ O ₄	1.7 V (21.17 mA cm ⁻²)	0.64 V	-	-	200 cycles (400 h)	0.85 V (50 mA cm ⁻²)	59
Co ₃ O ₄ /N-rmGO	1.54 V	0.83 V	0.71 V	-	25000 s	-	17
Graphene– Co ₃ O ₄ nanocomposite	1.65 V (1.5 mA cm ⁻²)	0.77 V	-		100 h	0.97 V (80 mA g ⁻¹)	14
Ni-Doped CoO nanosheets	-	-	-	377 mW cm ⁻²	400 h (5 mA cm ⁻²)	0.63 V (charge/dischar ge density: 2/20 mA cm ₋₂)	22
Ultrathin Co ₃ O ₄ /CC	-	-	-	546 W h kg ⁻¹ (2 mA cm ⁻²)	-	0.92 V (2 mA cm ⁻²)	26
Co ₃ O ₄ /N-rGO nanosheets	1.72 V	0.79 V	0.93 V	36.1 mWh cm ⁻³	25 h	0.8 V (3 mA cm ⁻²)	27
Ultrathin CoOx layers	1.6 V	0.896 V	0.704 V	$300 \text{ W g}_{cat}^{-1}$	10 h	0.57 V (6 mA cm ⁻²)	28
NC-Co ₃ O ₄ /CC	1.588 V	0.87 V	0.718 V	82.0 mW cm ⁻³ (227mA cm ⁻³)	210 h	-	39
CoO-NSC	1.7 V	0.83 V	0.86 V	65 mW cm^{-2}	35 h	0.88 V	45

Table S1 Performance comparison of cobalt oxide-based catalysts for ORR and OER