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Figure S1. SEM of (a) MAX, (b) as-synthesized $\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ MXene and (c) the corresponding EDS mapping; (d) XRD of MAX and the $\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ MXene; (e) AFM image of the $\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ MXene deposited on mica with height profile along with the blue line; (f) Thickness distribution and (g) lateral size distribution of the $\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ MXene for 65 platelets; (h) TEM image, (i) electron diffraction pattern and (j) HR-TEM image of the $\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ MXene.


Figure S2. The optical photographs of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{AA}_{\mathrm{y}}$ : As increasing the AA ratio ( $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{y}=10: 0$, $10: 1,10: 2,10: 4,10: 6,10: 8,10: 10,10: 15,10: 17,10: 20,10: 25)$, the obtained samples presented "soft to less-soft" texture and gradually darkening color.


Figure S3. The SEM images of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{AA}_{\mathrm{y}}$ (scale bar: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ): Comparing the structures of $10: 1,10: 2$, and $10: 4$, besides the emergence of fibers, there is also an increase of the aspect ratio and the MXene flakes turns to long-strip shaped. In addition, it was worth noting that the length of a single fiber can reach tens or even hundreds of microns.


Figure S4. SEM images of $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ treated with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ : (a) to $\mathrm{pH}=5$, (b) to $\mathrm{pH}=$ 6 , (c) to $\mathrm{pH}=7$, and (d) to $\mathrm{pH}=8$; and the correspondng XRD results. (scale bar: 4 $\mu \mathrm{m})$.


Figure S5. High-resolution XPS spectra: (a) Ti 2 p and (b) F 1s of MXene $\left(\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}\right)$ and $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$; O 1s of MXene treated with HCl (c) and of MXene treated with HAc (d).

Table S1. XPS fitting results for $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$.

| $\operatorname{Peak}\left(\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}\right)$ | Position(eV) | Area(\%) | $\operatorname{Peak}\left(\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}\right)$ | Position(eV) | Area(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti (I,II or IV) ${ }^{\text {a) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 455.412 \\ (461.127) \end{gathered}$ | 27.30 | Ti (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 455.212 \\ (460.903) \end{gathered}$ | 29.30 |
| $\mathrm{Ti}^{+2}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 456.229 \\ (461.651) \end{gathered}$ | 34.70 | $\mathrm{Ti}^{+2}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 456.106 \\ (461.508) \end{gathered}$ | 35.42 |
| $\mathrm{Ti}^{+3}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 457.272 \\ (462.772) \end{gathered}$ | 23.19 | $\mathrm{Ti}^{+3}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 457.189 \\ (462.693) \end{gathered}$ | 21.70 |
| $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 458.528 \\ (463.920) \end{gathered}$ | 14.82 | $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 458.512 \\ (463.806) \end{gathered}$ | 13.58 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ti}$ | 282.217 | 42.87 | C-Ti | 281.990 | 15.07 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ | 283.008 | 11.52 | C-Ti-O | 282.945 | 5.71 |
| $\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ | 284.807 | 33.19 | $\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ | 284.834 | 14.00 |
| C-O | 286.287 | 12.42 | C-O | 286.377 | 28.75 |
|  |  |  | C-O-Ti | 286.949 | 21.96 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 288.683 | 11.57 |
|  |  |  | C-F | 291.931 | 2.94 |
| Ti-O | 530.159 | 51.62 | Ti-O | 529.969 | 10.09 |
| C-Ti-O | 531.123 | 15.80 | C-Ti-O | 530.759 | 1.67 |
| C-Ti-OH | 531.970 | 12.39 | C-O-Ti | 531.522 | 5.40 |
| C-O | 532.813 | 10.70 | C-Ti-OH | 532.231 | 13.01 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\text {ads }}$ | 533.889 | 9.49 | C-O | 533.105 | 38.18 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\text {ads }}$ | 533.810 | 31.65 |
| Ti-F | 685.459 | 71.11 | Ti-F | 685.251 | 64.47 |
| Al-F | 686.455 | 28.89 | Al-F | 686.219 | 35.53 |

Table S2. XPS fitting results for MXene treated with HCl and HAc .

| Peak(HCl) | Position(eV) | Area(\%) | Peak(HAc) | Position(eV) | Area(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti (I,II or IV) $)^{\text {a) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 455.350 \\ (461.100) \end{gathered}$ | 31.62 | Ti (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 455.380 \\ (461.134) \end{gathered}$ | 35.41 |
| $\mathrm{Ti}^{+2}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 456.230 \\ (461.650) \end{gathered}$ | 29.39 | $\mathrm{Ti}^{+2}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 456.240 \\ (461.621) \end{gathered}$ | 22.41 |
| $\mathrm{Ti}^{+3}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 457.270 \\ (462.770) \end{gathered}$ | 18.00 | $\mathrm{Ti}^{+3}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 457.111 \\ (462.862) \end{gathered}$ | 23.91 |
| $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 458.528 \\ (463.920) \end{gathered}$ | 20.98 | $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 458.530 \\ (463.926) \end{gathered}$ | 18.26 |
| C-Ti | 282.165 | 41.50 | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ti}$ | 282.194 | 43.19 |
| C-Ti-O | 282.917 | 10.95 | C-Ti-O | 283.049 | 7.65 |
| $\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ | 284.800 | 30.10 | $\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ | 284.800 | 38.03 |
| C-O | 286.246 | 17.46 | C-O | 286.385 | 9.10 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ads}}$ | 289.131 | 2.03 |
| Ti-O | 529.972 | 45.72 | Ti-O | 530.045 | 51.81 |
| C-Ti-O | 530.898 | 17.73 | C-Ti-O | 531.142 | 15.42 |
| C-Ti-OH | 531.784 | 13.52 | C-Ti-OH | 531.946 | 11.08 |
| C-O | 532.681 | 8.81 | C-O | 532.856 | 10.32 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\text {ads }}$ | 533.726 | 14.22 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ads}}$ | 533.876 | 11.36 |
| Ti-F | 685.207 | 85.61 | Ti-F | 685.208 | 77.90 |
| Al-F | 686.455 | 14.39 | Al-F | 686.326 | 22.10 |

${ }^{\text {a) }}$ (I refers to Ti atoms bonded to C atoms and one O atom; II refers to Ti atoms bonded to C atoms and an OH group; IV refers to Ti atoms bonded to OH terminations that physisorbed to water molecules). ${ }^{42}$


Figure S6. Raman spectra of $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ : (a) ranged from 140 to $260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and (b) the corresponding peak shifts as statistically derived from (a); (c) FTIR spectra of $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ and AA.

In particular, Raman results of $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ both show characteristic peaks of $\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ MXene (Figure 3b), where the $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ mode around $204 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ represents the overall out-of-plane vibrations of titanium atoms, carbon atoms and surface groups. The region $230-470 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ mode, which represents the in-plane vibrations of the surface groups attached to the titanium atoms. The region 580-730 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is mainly due to carbon vibrations (both the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{lg}}$ and $\mathrm{Eg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ mode). ${ }^{46}$

The FTIR spectrum of pure AA admits characteristic peaks at $1757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching), $1667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right.$ stretching), $1455 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bending), $1320 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}$ ), $1120 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (C-O-C stretching) and $1026 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (C-O bending). ${ }^{36,49} \mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}$ $\left(\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ admits a characteristic peak at $1633 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned to the functional group -OH on the surface of $\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}} \cdot{ }^{36} \mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ shows characteristic peaks from both AA and $\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}$; moreover, two peaks at $1143 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1114 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ located close to C-O-C stretching vibration of AA, possibly overlapped with peaks due to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration of C-O-Ti bidentate complexes. ${ }^{47}$


Figure S7. XRD results of MXene treated with HAc and HCl .


Figure S8. SEM image of MXene:AA (10:10) without sonication treatment and XRD results of MXene:AA (10:10) with and without sonication treatment (Scale bar: 4 $\mu \mathrm{m})$.




Figure S9. High-resolution XPS spectra: C 1 s , O 1 s and Ti 2 p of MXene $\left(\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}\right)$, $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ without sonication treatment.

Table S3. XPS fitting results for $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}, \mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ without sonication treatment.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \left(\mathbf{M}_{10} \mathbf{A} A_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Position <br> (eV) | Area (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \left(\mathbf{M}_{10} \mathbf{A A _ { 1 0 }}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Position <br> (eV) | Area <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \left(\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10},\right. \\ \text { w/o } \\ \text { sonication) } \end{gathered}$ | Position <br> (eV) | Area (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti (I,II or IV) ${ }^{\text {a) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 455.142 \\ & (460.75) \end{aligned}$ | 24.68 | Ti (I,II or IV) ${ }^{\text {a) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 455.311 \\ (460.835) \end{gathered}$ | 15.24 | Ti (I,II or IV) ${ }^{\text {a) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 455.386 \\ (460.711) \end{gathered}$ | 15.96 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ti}^{+2} \quad(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II} \\ & \text { or IV) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 455.916 \\ (461.339) \end{gathered}$ | 46.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ti}^{+2} \quad(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II} \\ & \text { or IV) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 456.007 \\ (461.516) \end{gathered}$ | 47.76 | $\mathrm{Ti}^{+2}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{gathered} 456.025 \\ (461.606) \end{gathered}$ | 46.42 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ti}^{+3} \quad(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II} \\ & \text { or IV) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 457.163 \\ (462.405) \end{gathered}$ | 26.29 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ti}^{+3} \quad(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II} \\ & \text { or IV) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 457.305 \\ & 462.498 \end{aligned}$ | 33.70 | $\mathrm{Ti}^{+3}$ (I,II or IV) | $\begin{aligned} & 457.234 \\ & 462.489 \end{aligned}$ | 31.00 |
| $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 458.709 \\ (463.113) \end{gathered}$ | 2.77 | $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 459.26 \\ 463.597 \end{gathered}$ | 3.30 | $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 458.742 \\ & 463.583 \end{aligned}$ | 6.62 |
| C-Ti | 282.026 | 40.87 | C-Ti | 282.215 | 17.64 | C-Ti | 282.357 | 28.90 |
| C-Ti-O | 282.678 | 10.62 | C-Ti-O | 283.085 | 6.80 | C-Ti-O | 282.956 | 7.42 |
| $\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ | 284.807 | 35.95 | sp2 C | 284.838 | 23.05 | sp2 C | 284.694 | 26.28 |
| C-O | 286.263 | 12.56 | C-0 | 286.642 | 25.81 | C-O | 286.558 | 22.78 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti}$ | 287.024 | 18.36 | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti}$ | 287.076 | 11.30 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=0$ | 288.931 | 7.31 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=0$ | 289.279 | 3.32 |
|  |  |  | C-F | 292.225 | 1.04 |  |  |  |
| Ti-O | 529.874 | $\begin{gathered} 41.79 \\ (50.03) \end{gathered}$ | Ti-O | 530.091 | $\begin{gathered} 14.52 \\ (31.66) \end{gathered}$ | Ti-O | 530.21 | $\begin{gathered} 22.45 \\ (34.35) \end{gathered}$ |
| C-Ti-O | 530.938 | $\begin{gathered} 27.97 \\ (33.48) \end{gathered}$ | C-Ti-O | 530.795 | $\begin{gathered} 1.96 \\ (4.27) \end{gathered}$ | C-Ti-O | 530.847 | $\begin{gathered} 1.70 \\ (2.61) \end{gathered}$ |
| C-Ti-OH | 532.019 | $\begin{gathered} 13.77 \\ (16.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti}$ | 531.58 | $\begin{gathered} 11.73 \\ (25.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti}$ | 531.531 | $\begin{gathered} 8.27 \\ (12.65) \end{gathered}$ |
| C-O | 533.159 | 11.77 | C-Ti-OH | 532.601 | $\begin{gathered} 17.64 \\ (38.48) \end{gathered}$ | C-Ti-OH | 532.665 | $\begin{gathered} 32.92 \\ (50.38) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\text {ads }}$ | 533.942 | 4.70 | C-O | 533.33 | 22.66 | C-O | 533.74 | 1.48 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \\ & / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Oads} \end{aligned}$ | 533.725 | 31.49 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \\ & / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Oads} \end{aligned}$ | 533.732 | 33.17 |

*Note: In O 1 s , atomic ratios given in brackets are calculated with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Oads}$ excluded.

MXene:AA (10:10) without sonication shows different morphology (with curled scrolls, but not tightly structured fibers) from $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$. As shown in the above XRD results, MXene:AA (10:10) without sonication showed two peaks on the right side shoulder, indicating relatively incomplete AA intercalation as compared to $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$;
moreover, the broad shoulder on the left side is only observed in $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$, not in MXene:AA (10:10) without sonication, which means no fiber formation without sonication, consistent with the SEM results.

As shown in the Table S3, probe sonication has several effects: 1 atomic ratio of $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ is slightly decreased from $6.62 \mathrm{at} . \%$ to $3.30 \mathrm{at} \%$ (Ti 2 p ); 2 atomic ratios of C-O-Ti are raised, from $11.30 \mathrm{at} . \%$ to $18.36 \mathrm{at} . \%$ (C 1s) and from $8.27 \mathrm{at} . \%$ to 11.73 at. $\% ~(\mathrm{O} 1 \mathrm{~s}$ ); 3 atomic ratio of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{OH}$ is reduced from $32.92 \mathrm{at} . \%$ to $17.64 \mathrm{at} . \%$ (13.77 at. \% before mixing with AA). Based on the research of Gogotsi et al. ${ }^{50}$,

$$
\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{z}}+\delta \overline{\mathrm{e}}+\delta \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}-\delta}(\mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{y}+\delta} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}
$$

is the electrochemical reaction possibly happening in the $\mathrm{Ti}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}}$ MXene. Therefore, after mixing with MXene, AA can be oxidized into dehydroascorbic acid, producing $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, and at the same time, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ transforms into $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{OH}$, while probe sonication promotes the formation of C-O-Ti. Probe sonication also leads to slight reduction of MXene.

Hence, sonication treatment promotes the AA intercalation as well as the formation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti}$ bonding and is indispensible for successful fiber formation.


Figure S10. SEM images of (a) $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ with AA removed (AA-rm) and (b) then repeating treatment with AA again (AA-rpt) and (c) their XRD results (scale bar: 10 $\mu \mathrm{m})$.


Figure S11. Loss modulus G" vs. frequency of $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{x}$ with different AA ratios at constant stain of $0.1 \%$.

Table S4. Thickness and aspect ratio of pressed $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{x}$ films with different AA ratios for conductivity measurement.

| Sample | Thickness <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Aspect <br> Ratio | Sample | Thickness <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Aspect <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}$ | 5 | 4 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ | 9 | 2.5 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{1}$ | 5 | 4 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{15}$ | 11 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{2}$ | 6 | 3 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{17}$ | 14 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{4}$ | 10 | 2 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{20}$ | 24 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{6}$ | 9 | 3 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{25}$ | 22 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{8}$ | 8 | 5.7 |  |  |  |




Figure S12. (a) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distribution curves of $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{x}$ with different AA ratios.

Table S5. Specific surface area and average pore diameter of $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{x}$ with different AA ratios.

| Sample | Surface <br> Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)$ | Average <br> pore <br> diameter <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | Sample | Surface <br> Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)$ | Average <br> pore <br> diameter <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{0}$ | 76.271 | 3.969 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{10}$ | 10.633 | 1.475 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{1}$ | 57.733 | 3.794 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{15}$ | 8.698 | 2.897 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{2}$ | 32.459 | 2.769 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{17}$ | 7.912 | 2.769 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{4}$ | 29.859 | 1.475 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{20}$ | 4.974 | 2.769 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{6}$ | 15.581 | 2.769 | $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{25}$ | 4.263 | 2.647 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{AA}_{8}$ | 10.431 | 1.475 |  |  |  |

