Supporting Information

Visible-light photoelectrocatalysis/ H_2O_2 synergistic degradation of organic pollutants by magnetic $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2@mesoporous$ TiO₂ catalyst-loaded photoelectrode

Bo Zhang, Xuemei Li^{*}, Yongshan Ma, Tianyi Jiang, Yanyan Zhu, Huixue Ren School of Municipal and Environmental Engineering, Shandong Jianzhu University, Jinan 250101, Shandong, China.

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: ch1889l@sdjzu.edu.cn (X. Li),

Preparation of FST and TiO₂

Preparation of FST: (1) Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were prepared by a co-precipitation method. Briefly, 25 mL 1.00 mol·L⁻¹ FeCl₃ and 0.50 mol·L⁻¹ FeSO₄ solutions were evenly mixed in a beaker. Under stirring, 50 mL of 1.00 mol/L NaOH solution was added dropwise, then about 10 mL of NH3·H2O was added until the solution completely turned black and the pH was 10.0. Finally, the mixed solution was stirred at 30 °C for 2 h. The black solids obtained by magnetic separation were washed thrice using absolute ethanol and ultrapure water, and were dried at 80 °C to obtain Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. (2) Magnetic Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ was prepared. 0.5 g of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were dispersed ultrasonically in 100 mL absolute ethanol. Then 100 mL ethanol, 50 mL ultrapure water and 2.5 mL NH₃·H₂O were added successively, and the pH value was adjusted to 9.0. After stirring the suspension for 0.5 h at 80 °C, 0.5 g of TEOS was slowly added dropwise, and then the reaction solution was stirred and refluxed for 2 h at 80°C. The reacted solution was centrifuged to obtain a solid product, which was washed thrice using absolute ethanol and ultrapure water, and dried after magnetic separation to obtain magnetic Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ cores. (3) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mesoporous TiO₂ crystals were synthesized using a hydrothermal method with PPG 1000 as the template reagent. Under vigorous stirring, 4 g titanium butoxide was added dropwise to 50 mL 10% (v/v) acetic acid solution, followed by 0.4 g magnetic Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ cores and the mixture stirred in a sealed state for 4 h to form a solution (A). Under intense mixing, the PPG 1000 was dissolved in 45 mL ethanol to obtain solution (B) (containing 10% (v/v) PPG). Solution (B) was added dropwise to solution (A), after which the mixture was sealed and stirred at 25 °C for 24 h, and subjected to a hydrothermal reaction at 120 °C in a Teflon reactor for 48 h. The obtained colloid after centrifugation of the reaction product was dried at 80 °C for 24 h, and was calcined in a muffle furnace at 400 °C for 2 h to remove the template agent and to obtain FST (Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mesoporous TiO₂).

Preparation of TiO₂: As mentioned at the above step (3) of synthesizing FST, magnetic $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$ core was not added when preparing the solution (A), all other operations were identical, and finally TiO₂ catalyst was obtained.

Analysis of mesoporous structure

Fig. S1 showed the isotherms of N₂ adsorption-desorption of FST. FST exhibited adsorption-desorption isotherms characteristic of type IV, indicating that it had a representative mesoporous structure. The specific surface area and pore volume of FST were 117.54 m²·g⁻¹ and 0.3485 cm³·g⁻¹, respectively. Based on the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method, the pore size distribution (Fig. S1 insert) was centered at 6.5 nm for the adsorption branch. FST microspheres maintained alarge specific surface area and a high pore volume, which were beneficial for adsorbing more pollutant molecules. These have been reported in the literature that the small radial size and large specific surface area of the catalyst promote rapid diffusion of photogenerated h⁺-e⁻ to the catalyst surfaces prior to recombination, thereby improving the separation efficiency of charge carriers¹.



Fig S1 N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms, Inset: pore size distribution of FST microspheres

Magnetic response

As shown inFig. S2, FST microspheres exhibited the typical ferromagnetic hysteresis loop. Magnetic saturation (Ms) of Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, and FST were 44.24, 19.02, and 9.39 emu·g⁻¹, respectively. With the encapsulation of SiO₂ and TiO₂ in the outer layer of Fe₃O₄, the Ms of these composites decreased gradually. But the magnetization of the FST was strong enough that the applied magnetic field could effectively separate FST from the suspension (Fig. S2 insert). Through oscillation and ultrasonic vibration, FST could be uniformly dispersed again. The FST photocatalysts could be fixed on the ITO electrode surface by magnets, and FST-loaded ITO working electrodes would be reused. When FST catalysts were fixed, the addition of other adhesives was not necessary. This method will not affect the effective working area of the FST photocatalysts, and overcome the limitations of high cost, complicated operation and easy peeling of the materials by other fixing methods.



Fig. S2 Magnetic hysteresis loop of Fe_3O_4 , Fe_3O_4 @SiO₂, and FST, Inset: Magnetic separation photo

XPS analysis

The FST catalysts were characterized using XPS to identify surface elements and valence states (Fig. S3). Fig. (a) displayed the high-resolution full spectrum of FST. The prepared FST was composed of four elements: Fe, Si, Ti and O. The C peak was attributed to the use of C as the binding energy reference calibration during the test process. As seen in O 1s spectra (Fig. (b)), the binding energy of O 1s could be fitted into two distinctive peaks, 530.01 eV for O²⁻, 532.69 eV for the surface hydroxyl groups (OH⁻) ², respectively. The Fe 2p spectra (Fig. (c)) revealed characteristic peaks at 710.43 eV and 724.61 eV for Fe 2p_{3/2} and Fe 2p_{1/2}. As previously reported³, these

two characteristic peaks indicated that the surface of the FST composites contained Fe_3O_4 . Fig. (d) displayed a peak at 102.15 eV for Si 2p while a peak at 532.69 eV for O 1s shown in Fig. (b), which could be ascribed to SiO₂. Double peaks of Ti at 456.68 eV and 464.33 eV in Fig. (e) corresponded to Ti $2p_{1/2}$ and Ti $2p_{3/2}$ in anatase TiO₂. The distance between the two peaks was 5.7 eV, indicating that its existence form was Ti⁴⁺⁴.



Fig. S3 XPS spectra: (a) survey, (b) O 1s, (c) Fe 2p, (d) Si 2p, (e) Ti 2p for the FST photocatalysts



Fig. S4 Cyclic voltammetry curve $(1.00 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ and } 0.500 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1} \text{ N}a_2\text{SO}_4$ solution, scan rate 0.05 V·s⁻¹)

References:

- A. I. Hochbaum and P. Yang, Semiconductor nanowires for energy conversion, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 110, 527-546.
- 2 D. Li, X. Zhu, Y. Zhong, W. Huang and P. Peng, Abiotic transformation of hexabromocyclododecane by sulfidated nanoscale zerovalent iron: Kinetics, mechanism and influencing factors, *Water Res.*, 2017, **121**, 140-149.
- 3 T. Yamashita and P. Hayes, Analysis of XPS spectra of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ ions in oxide materials, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2008, **254**, 2441-2449.
- 4 S. Mortazavi-Derazkola, M. Salavati-Niasari and O. Amiri, Fabrication and characterization of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@TiO₂@Ho nanostructures as a novel and highly efficient photocatalyst for degradation of organic pollution, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2017, 26, 17-23.