Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for RSC Advances. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Supplementary materials

Structure-based approach: Molecular insight of pyranocumarins against α -glucosidase through computational studies

Muhammad Ikhlas Abdjan^{a,b}, Nanik Siti Aminah^{b,c,*}, Alfinda Novi Kristanti^{b,c}, Imam Siswanto^{a,d}, Baso Ilham^b, Andika Pramudya Wardana,^{a,b}, and Yoshiaki Takaya^e

^aPh.D. Student of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Komplek Kampus C UNAIR, Jl. Mulyorejo, 60115, Surabaya, Indonesia

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia. E-mail: nanik-s-a@fst.unair.ac.id

^cBiotechnology of Tropical Medicinal Plants Research Group, Universitas Airlangga

^dBioinformatic Laboratory, UCoE Research Center for Bio-Molecule Engineering Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

^eFaculty of Pharmacy, Meijo University, 150 Yagotoyama, Tempaku, Nagoya, 468-8503 Japan

Computational detail

Molecular docking

The molecular docking process consists of several stages, those are:

- (i) Creation of cluster spheres on the receptor surface using a dot molecular surface (*DMS*) file through the chimera package version 13. Next, the cluster spheres were analyzed using the *sphgen* tool available in the DOCK6 package with a probe radius of 1.4-4.0 Å on the receptor surface. This step aims to generate a clustered spheres file (*sph*).
- (ii) Selection of cluster spheres based on the *sph* file previously obtained through the *sphere_selector* tool. Selected cluster spheres focused at a radius of 10.0 Å from the GLC coordinates as a reference.
- (iii) Creating a grid-box using the showbox and grid tools available in the DOCK6 package. In addition, the generation is based on selected cluster sphere coordinates with bump_overlap: 0.75 and dielectric_factor: 4.
- (iv) The minimization process is carried out using functional gird scoring (*internal_energy_rep_exp*: 12 and *internal_energy_cutoff*: 100). This step aims to generate a *min_scored.mol* file which is used as the rmsd reference.
- (v) Analysis of interaction energy using flexible conformation with *anchor-and-grow* algorithm (*implex_anchor_max_iterations*: 500 and *simplex_grow_max_iterations*: 500).

Molecular dynamics simulation

The initial coordinates obtained from the molecular docking stage are continued using molecular dynamics simulation through the AMBER22 package. Following are some stages of the molecular dynamics simulation:

- (i) The minimization stage is carried out through three main stages: water molecules and sodium ions, ligand-receptor, and the whole system. Some of the parameters used in the minimization process are imin: 1, maxcyc: 1500, ncyc: 500, and cut: 10.
- (ii) The heating stage was carried out for 200 ps with harmonic restraint of 30 kcal mol⁻¹ (nstlim: 100000, dt: 0.002, tempi: 10.0, temp0: 310.0, and cut: 10.0).
- (iii) The first equilibrated stage is carried out in stages for 300 ps harmonic restraint of 30 kcal mol⁻¹ (nstlim: 150000, dt: 0.002, tempi: 310.0, temp0: 310.0, and cut: 10.0).
- (iv) The second equilibrated stage is carried out in stages for 250 ps harmonic restraint of 20 kcal mol⁻¹ (nstlim: 125000, dt: 0.002, tempi: 310.0, temp0: 310.0, and cut: 10.0).
- (v) The third equilibrated stage is carried out in stages for 250 ps harmonic restraint of 10 kcal mol⁻¹ (nstlim: 125000, dt: 0.002, tempi: 310.0, temp0: 310.0, and cut: 10.0).
- (vi) The fourth equilibrated stage is carried out in stages for 500 ps harmonic restraint of 5 kcal mol⁻¹ (nstlim: 250000, dt: 0.002, tempi: 310.0, temp0: 310.0, and cut: 10.0).
- (vii) The production stage is carried out for 100 ns and each resulting trajectory is saved in 1000 ps (nstlim: 500000, dt: 0.002, tempi: 310.0, temp0: 310.0, and cut: 10.0).

Tables:

Table S1 The average value from conformational dynamics of each system: All parameters were calculated using 100 ns trajectories.

Parameters	α-Glu	PC1-α-Glu	PC2-α-Glu	PC3-α-Glu
Energy Total (kcal/mol)	-179222 ± 1557.26	-179099 ± 1563.56	-177613 ± 1550.14	-180626 ± 1571.95
RMSD complex (nm)	0.21 ± 0.03	0.19 ± 0.02	0.23 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.06
RoG (nm)	2.42 ± 0.00	2.41 ± 0.00	2.42 ± 0.02	2.42 ± 0.01
B-Factor (nm ²)	35.98 ± 24.36	30.61 ± 19.74	13.83 ± 9.02	11.25 ± 7.14
RMSF (nm)	1.10 ± 0.38	1.02 ± 0.34	0.68 ± 0.23	0.62 ± 0.20

No	Contact	Frames	AvgDist (Å)	P _{AC} (%)	
PC1-a-Glu					
1	2C= <u>O</u> ND2(N412)	9979	3.05	92.39	
2	2CO(Y155)	9950	3.10	92.12	
3	10aC- <u>O</u> O(Y155)	8055	3.22	74.58	
4	2C= <u>O</u> O(Y155)	7993	3.23	74.00	
5	3CO(Y155)	4772	3.24	44.18	
6	3COE2(E408)	2026	3.32	18.75	
7	5C- <u>O</u> CD(R312)	580	3.35	5.37	
8	ClNH2(R312)	559	3.29	5.17	
9	ClOG1(T303)	511	3.28	4.73	
10	1a'COG(G237)	115	3.40	1.06	
11	7CNE2(H277)	64	3.40	0.59	
12	3'CCD(K153)	40	3.38	0.37	
13	2'CO(S154)	22	3.41	0.20	
PC2-	α-Glu				
1	6CO(Y155)	5272	3.21	48.81	
2	7CO(Y155)	3852	3.19	35.66	
3	8aCO(Y155)	2387	3.34	22.10	
4	1"C= <u>O</u> NH1(R312)	2064	3.25	19.11	
5	7COE2(E408)	1458	3.32	13.50	
6	6COE2(E408)	1339	3.35	12.39	
7	3COE1(Q276)	1089	3.22	10.08	
8	4aCCE2(Y155)	848	3.23	7.85	
9	8bCN(R312)	796	3.37	7.37	
10	2COH(Y155)	623	3.32	5.76	
11	7"CCZ(R312)	620	3.33	5.74	
12	3CNE2(H277)	585	3.32	5.41	
13	1a'COD1(D239)	552	3.21	5.11	
14	BrOD2(D239)	423	3.33	3.91	
15	7"CNH2(R312)	414	3.36	3.83	
16	3CCD2(H277)	396	3.39	3.66	
17	2C= <u>O</u> CD2(H277)	224	3.36	2.07	
18	3'CO(L310)	120	3.28	1.11	
PC3-α-Glu					
1	3CO(Y155)	7052	3.15	65.29	
2	2CO(Y155)	5714	3.21	52.90	
3	2C= <u>O</u> O(Y155)	5258	3.22	48.68	
4	1a'COG(G237)	2364	3.34	21.88	
5	2C= <u>O</u> ND2(N412)	2099	3.08	19.43	
6	4"CNH1(R312)	1857	3.33	17.19	
7	3"CNH1(R312)	1353	3.33	12.52	
8	3''CCZ(R312)	466	3.40	4.31	
9	4CCD(R312)	403	3.41	3.73	
10	8bCOD1(D239)	276	3.35	2.55	

Table S2 Atom contacts detail of each system (The cut value of the first distance is 3.5 Å).

Figures:



Fig. S1 Cluster spheres visualization on the receptor surface area. The selected cluster spheres (blue color) show the possibility of receptor active sites based on GLC coordinates.



Fig. S2 Docking Analysis: The interaction type of each complex is shown by a 2D-diagram.



Fig. S3 The energy total of each system was plotted along 100 ns simulation time.