Supporting Information

for

The oxygen-resistant [FeFe]-hydrogenase CbA5H harbors an unknown radical signal

Melanie Heghmanns^{1‡}, Andreas Rutz^{2‡}, Yury Kutin¹, Vera Engelbrecht², Martin Winkler³, Thomas Happe^{2*}, Müge Kasanmascheff^{1*}

¹ Technical University of Dortmund, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Otto-Hahn-Straße 6, 44227 Dortmund, Germany ² Ruhr University Bochum, Faculty of Biology and Biotechnology, Photobiotechnology, Universitätsstr. 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany

³ Technical University of Munich Campus Straubing for Biotechnology and Sustainability, Professorship for Electrobiotechnology, Uferstrasse 53, 94315 Straubing, Germany

* Contributed equally

* Corresponding authors (thomas.happe@rub.de, muege.kasanmascheff@tu-dortmund.de)

Material and Methods

Cw EPR spectroscopy

All X-band cw EPR spectra recorded at 100 K were obtained using a Bruker EMX-Nano Benchtop spectrometer equipped with a continuous-flow nitrogen cryostat. The experimental conditions were 100 kHz modulation frequency, 4 G modulation amplitude, and variable parameters given in figure captions.

X-band cw EPR measurements shown in Figures S6 and S12 were performed at 15-20 K using a Bruker ELEXSYS E580 X-band EPR spectrometer, equipped with a Bruker ER 4118X-MD5 resonator and an Oxford Instruments ER 4118CF cryostat. The experimental conditions were 100 kHz modulation frequency, 7 G modulation amplitude, and variable parameters given in figure captions. All EPR spectra were baseline-corrected using MATLAB. If not stated otherwise, all spectra were normalized to the given frequency, protein concentration, microwave power, and the number of scans. Spectral simulations were performed with *EasySpin* using the implemented *pepper* function. [1]

Pulsed EPR spectroscopy

Pulsed EPR (electron spin echo detected EPR, ESE-detected EPR) field-sweep experiments, using a two-pulse Hahn spin-echo sequence $\pi/2-\tau-\pi-\tau$ -echo without phase cycling, were carried out on a Bruker ELEXSYS E580 Q-band EPR spectrometer equipped with a Bruker ER 5106QT-2 resonator, an Oxford Instruments CF935 cryostat and Oxford Instruments MercuryiTC temperature controller. The cryogenic temperatures were kept with a sufficiently high flow of liquid He. If not stated otherwise, spectra were acquired in the overcoupled mode with gaussian $\pi/2$ - and π -pulses whose lengths were optimized before each experiment and varied between 10–13 and 20–26 ns, respectively. The interpulse delay τ was usually set between 220–300 ns and the shot repetition time (SRT) between 0.3–25 ms, depending on the sample and temperature. As a rule, the SRT was long enough to prevent saturation. For most measurements at 10 K, however, an SRT of 3 ms was chosen for time-efficient measurements: prolonged SRTs led to higher signal intensities, but no change in line shape was observed. The EPR spectra were baseline-corrected using MATLAB. If not stated otherwise, all spectra were normalized to the given frequency, protein concentration, video gain, shots per point, and number of scans. Spectral simulations were performed with *EasySpin* using the implemented *pepper* function. [1]

Electron Nuclear Double Resonance (ENDOR)

ENDOR experiments were carried out at 17 K and 20 K using a Bruker EN 5107D2 resonator and an AR 600 W radiofrequency (RF) amplifier (AR 600A225A). Davies ENDOR spectra were recorded using the following microwave pulse sequence: $\pi - T - \pi/2 - \tau - \pi - \tau$ -echo. An RF pulse of variable frequency was applied during the time interval *T*. The RF pulse length was chosen based on the nucleus: either ¹H (17 µs) or ²H/⁵⁷Fe (40 µs). The first π -pulse was a rectangular-shaped inversion pulse of 130 ns. Mims ENDOR experiments were carried out at 17 K using the following stimulated-echo pulse sequence: $\pi/2 - \tau - \pi/2 - \tau$

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy

Attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform spectroscopy was performed on a Bruker Tensor II spectrometer (Bruker Optik, Germany), equipped with a nine reflection ZnSe/Si crystal (Microm ATR Vision, Czitek). All measurements were conducted under anoxic conditions ($1.5 \% H_2$, $98.5 \% N_2$) at 25 °C. The FTIR spectra were recorded from $4000 - 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. The protein film was dried with a constant gas stream for around 10 minutes and re-humidified with an aerosol by purging a buffer containing compartment.

Expression and purification

E. coli strain BL21 (DE3) Δ iscR was transformed with the expression plasmid pET21b containing the desired gene for protein expression. The codon-optimized CBA5H gene from *Clostridium beijerinckii* (NCBI GenBank: KX147468.1) and the CPI gene from *Clostridium pasteurianum* (NCBI GenBank: WP_004455619.1) was used to express strep-tagged apo-[FeFe]-hydrogenases (lacking the [2Fe]_H subcluster). [2] Expression and purification was conducted under strictly anaerobic conditions as described previously if not stated otherwise. [3] Affinity chromatography was performed using Strep Tacting High-capacity resin (IBA GmBH). The protein concentration was determined by Bradford assay, and purity was verified by SDS-PAGE (polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis), as shown in the Figure below. [4,5] The metal content of apo-CbA5H protein was quantified by ICP-AES (inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy) measurements to 12 ± 1 Fe-atoms per monomer in agreement with the literature. [6] Proteins were stored at -80 °C in 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 8 with 2 mM sodium dithionite (NaDT), if not stated otherwise. For NaDT-free protein preparations (termed NaDT-free in the following), sodium dithionite was removed via size exclusion chromatography (NAP 5 column, GE healthcare). The protein solution was stored in 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 8. Details of all the samples used in this work are given in Table S1.

Expression of 57Fe labeled apoprotein

Protein expression was performed in M9 minimal media (without iron source) supplemented with ⁵⁷Fe (Euroisotope) dissolved in HCl. Approximately 15 mg ⁵⁷Fe per liter culture was used for the overexpression of the labeled apoprotein. The *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) Δ iscR cells were grown until an OD of 0.6 and then transferred into an anaerobic glovebox. All other steps of expression and purification were conducted as previously described. [3] The ⁵⁷Fe-labeled apoprotein was reconstituted with the [2Fe]_H mimic synthesized with ⁵⁶Fe (see below).



SDS-PAGE and Fe-quantification. (**A**) Lane 1: Protein Marker, Lane 2: CbA5H expressed under standard conditions, Lane 3: ⁵⁷Fe-labeled CbA5H. The molecular weight of the CbA5H monomer is 75 kDa. (**B**) Fe-atoms quantified by ICP-AES measurements for apo-CbA5H.

In vitro maturation

Apoproteins were incubated on ice for 1 hour with a 10-fold molar excess of the artificially synthesized $[2Fe]_{H}$ cofactor mimics $[2Fe(SCH_2XCH_2S)(CO)_4(CN)_2]^{2-}$ (X = NH (adt), X = CH₂ (pdt)) to reconstitute active protein as described earlier. [7,8] Holoproteins and excess $[2Fe]_{H}$ were separated by size exclusion chromatography (NAP 5 column, GE healthcare).

CbA5H sample preparation

For H₂-treated samples, anaerobically isolated CbA5H protein solution was exposed for 45 minutes in a sealed suba tube to a humidified gas stream of 100 % H₂. All O₂-treated samples were initially treated with H₂ and subsequently exposed to a humidified O₂ (100 %) gas stream.

H₂-O₂ cycle of as-isolated CbA5H

For the H₂-O₂ cycle, an anaerobically isolated protein solution of CbA5H (400 μ M) was first treated with H₂ (45 min) and then gassed with O₂ (20 min) in two repetitive cycles under the same conditions. Samples were taken after the respective treatment. The ESE-detected Q-band EPR spectra shown in Figure 3 in the main text were recorded in the critically coupled mode with rectangular pulses. The integrated absorption spectra were used as an approximation for the overall signal intensities of paramagnetic species in CbA5H. The intensity of the CbA5H^{H2} signal (trace 1, top) decreased by ~40 % after one cycle with O₂ and H₂ (trace 3) that is in accordance with activity data (see Figure S7). The signal intensities are only valid for the temperature of 10 K and SRT of 3 ms. The features marked with an asterisk are assumed to arise from O₂-damaged FeS clusters, H_{ox}-CO, and/or background impurities. They are only detectable in the critically coupled mode and at lower temperatures

(compare with Figures S2 and S4). To isolate the $g \approx 2.01$ signal (termed R^{•ox}) and determine absolute and relative spin concentrations, the same samples were investigated at 100 K via X-band cw EPR (see Figure S4).

Table S1: Information on sample preparations of CbA5H, apo-CbA5H, and CpI with their respective abbreviations (marked in bold), protein concentrations, appearances in figures (M: main text, S: supplemental information), and presence of R^{•ox}.

Sample	Concentration	Figure(s)	R•ox present
аро-СbА5Н ^{№аDT} аро-СbА5H + 10mM №DT, 10 % glycerol	350	M2, S2	-
аро-СbА5Н ^{н2} аро-СbА5Н +Н2	730	S6, S12	-
apo-CbA5H ^{02} apo-CbA5H + H ₂ , + O ₂	730	M4, S6, S8, S12	-
CbA5H ^{NaDT} CbA5H + 10 mM NaDT, 5 % glycerol	530	M2, S1-2	~
CbA5H ^{air} CbA5H + 10mM NaDT, + O ₂ , 5 % glycerol	530	S8	✓
CbA5H + 100 mM NaDT, 5 % glycerol	500	S1	\checkmark
H ₂ -O ₂ -cycle CbA5H + H ₂ , + O ₂ , + H ₂ , + O ₂	400	M3, S3-4	~
aerobically isolated CbA5H ^{H2} CbA5H + H ₂	970	S6, S11-12	~
aerobically isolated CbA5H ⁰² CbA5H + H ₂ , + O ₂	970	S6, S11-12	~
NaDT-free CbA5H ^{H2} CbA5H + H ₂	490	S1-2, S5, S8-11, S13, S15	~
NaDT-free CbA5H⁰² CbA5H + H ₂ , + O ₂	490	M3-4, S5 S8-11, S13, S15, S17, S19-20	~
CbA5H _{D20} CbA5H in deuterated buffer + H_2 , + O_2	500	S19-20	~
СЬА5Н ная CbA5H + 1mM HAR	430	S5-9	~
CbA5H ^{HAR} + H ₂ CbA5H + 1mM HAR, + H ₂	430	S9	~
⁵⁷ Fe-CbA5H ⁰² ⁵⁷ Fe-labeled apo-CbA5H maturated with [2 ⁵⁶ Fe] _н	400	M5, S17-18, S20	~
CbA5H-C367D ⁰² CbA5H variant with Cys to Asp exchange at position 367	700	M4, S15	~
CbA5H(pdt)^{H2} CbA5H maturated with pdt, + H ₂	500	S13	-
CbA5H(pdt) ⁰² CbA5H maturated with pdt, + H _{2,+} O ₂	500	M4, S13	-
СрІн 2 СрІ + Н2	270	S10	-
CpI^{o2} CpI + H ₂ , + O ₂	270	M4, S10	-



Figure S1. Pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 20K) of CbA5H treated with H₂ **(NaDT-free) and 10 or 100 mMNaDT. (A)** Experimental spectra (black traces) and simulations (grey dotted traces) with varying contributions from **(B)** the $g \approx 2.01$ signal (termed R^{•ox}, blue), H_{ox}-CO (light blue), H_{ox} (orange), and H_{hyd} (pink). **(C)** Relative weights of the paramagnetic species used in the simulations for the three samples (for *g*-values, see Table S2). The values are only valid for T = 20 K and an SRT of 0.5 ms. The short SRT was used to suppress R^{•ox} and improve spectral simulations for the remaining species. The full simulations were obtained by simulating four species simultaneously, with their relative weights having an uncertainty of ± 5 %. While the spectrum of CbA5H^{H2} shows contributions from R^{•ox} and H_{hyd}, the 10 mM NaDT-reduced spectrum has additional features of H_{ox} and H_{ox}-CO. Under stronger reducing conditions (100 mM NaDT), H_{hyd} predominates, while the contribution of H_{ox} is negligible. This observation is in accordance with H_{hyd} being only observable at low potentials (< 400 mV) and H_{ox} dominating the hydrogenase EPR spectra at higher potentials. [9] We could isolate R^{•ox} at temperatures above 70 K (see also Figures S2 and S4).

Table S2. Simulation parameters of paramagnetic species observed in CbA5H with $g_1 > g_2 > g_3$. The *g*-values of CbA5H (error given in brackets) were obtained from several different sample preparations recorded at different temperatures and frequencies, where certain species dominated the spectrum (see also Figure S2). Note that *g*-strain was the only line broadening mechanism employed in the simulations. The R^{•ox} * species arising in C367D⁰² reveals slightly different simulation parameters.

Species	g-tensor			g-strain		
R•ox	2.019(4)	2.010(3)	2.006(3)	0.030	0.010	0.002
R•ox *	2.015(3)	2.012(3)	2.007(2)	0.012	0.001	0.004
FS4 (axial)	2.09(1)	1.92(1)	1.92(1)	0.03	0.03	0.06
FS4 (rhombic)	2.03(1)	1.94(1)	1.84(1)	0.03	0.01	0.05
Hox	2.099(1)	2.039(1)	1.999(1)	0.007	0.005	0.002
Hox-CO	2.060(4)	2.008(1)	2.006(2)	0.011	0.008	0.002
H _{hyd}	2.050(20)	1.948(1)	1.862(1)	0.006	0.029	0.013

Table S3. *g*-values of paramagnetic H-cluster states observed in CbA5H with $g_1 > g_2 > g_3$ in comparison to literature values. The asterisks mark the species in which *g*-tensors arise from the H_{hyd} state.

Protein	H _{hyd} or H _{trans}		H _{ox}			H _{ox} -CO			Ref.	
CbA5H*	2.050(20)	1.948(1)	1.862(1)	2.099(1)	2.039(1)	1.999(1)	2.060(4)	2.008(1)	2.006(2)	this work
CrHydA1*	2.07	1.93	1.88	2.100	2.037	1.996	2.052	2.007	2.007	[10-12]
DdH	2.06	1.96	1.89	2.10	2.04	2.00	2.06	2.00	2.00	[13]
DdV	2.07	1.96	1.89	2.11	2.05	2.00	2.06	2.00	2.00	[14-16]
CpI				2.097	2.0392	1.999	2.0725	2.006	2.006	[17]
<i>Me</i> HydA				2.093	2.041	1.999	2.061	2.007	2.007	[18]



Figure S2. Temperature-dependent pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz) of reduced apo- and holo-CbA5H. (A) reduced (10 mM NaDT) apo-CbA5H, (B) reduced (10 mM NaDT) CbA5H and (C) H₂-treated, NaDT-free CbA5H. The apo-CbA5H^{NaDT} spectrum at 10 K in A (dark blue) was simulated as a sum (dotted black line) of two contributions from [4Fe4S] clusters (FS4, dotted lines) with rhombic (62 % weight, dark red) and axial (38 % weight, light red) line shape. The simulation parameters are displayed in Table S2. Although there probably exist three [4Fe4S] clusters in the apo-CbA5H, our attempts to simulate the spectrum with three species failed. This might be due to the incomplete reduction of [4Fe4S]_H. Note that redox potentiometry or cluster knockouts are necessary to confirm and assign the spectral simulations to their respective cluster. The spectra in B and C were normalized to their maximum signal intensities, and Mn²⁺ impurity signals are marked with asterisks. The EPR spectrum of apo-CbA5H is broadened beyond detection above 20 K, indicating (i) the absence of H-cluster states, (ii) the presence of fast-relaxing [4Fe4S]¹⁺ clusters, [19,20], and (iii) lack of accessory [2Fe2S]¹⁺ clusters found, e.g., in CpI. [21] In B and C, the rhombic H_{hyd} state is observable up to 25 K, the rhombic signal from H_{ox} is observed below 50 K, and the axial signal of H_{ox}-CO is observed below 60 K. The $g \approx 2.01$ signal (termed R^{•ox}) was the only detectable species above 70 K (see Figure S4 and Table S2 for more details).



Figure S3. IR-vibrational spectra of CbA5H monitored *via* **ATR-FTIR-spectroscopy.** (**A**) As-isolated CbA5H protein solution (400 μ M, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8) was purged alternately with H₂ and O₂. The vibrational frequencies are associated with different redox states and are colored accordingly. The contributions of H_{red}H⁺ and H_{hyd} decreased by approximately 39 % and 47 % during the second H₂ treatment, respectively. The signal intensity of H_{inact} decreased by about 40 % in the second O₂ cycle, indicating that H_{inact} is not directly associated with the *g* ≈ 2.01 species (termed R^{•ox}), as the latter only decreases by approximately 5–10 % throughout the cycle (see Figure 3 in main text and S4). Asterisks represent unassignable bands, which are suggested to arise from oxygenation of bridging thiolates and were observed to induce a shift of 6–19 cm⁻¹. [22] Swanson *et al.* reported similar shifts for HydA1 after prolonged exposure to O₂. [23] (**B**) IR-vibrational spectra of ⁵⁷Fe-CbA5H samples utilized for EPR measurements. As-isolated samples were purged with H₂ and subsequently with O₂. Aliquots were taken for EPR and FTIR measurements to verify redox state populations. Approximately 50 % of the cluster intensities remain after O₂ purging compared to the H₂-treated sample. H₂-reduced cluster intensities of ⁵⁷Fe-CbA5H decrease by about 28 % after treatment with O₂.



Sampla	Spin concentration	Relative weight /%			
Sample	/spins mol ⁻¹	R•ox	Hox-CO		
H ₂ (1)	0.077	22	78		
02 (1)	0.084	100	0		
H ₂ (2)	0.078	23	76		
02 (2)	0.079	92	8		

Figure S4. Spectral analysis and simulation of cw EPR spectra (9.7 GHz, T = 100 K) within the H₂-O₂ cycle. (A) H_2-O_2 cycle of as-isolated CbA5H (see Figure 3 in the main text for pulsed EPR spectra at T = 10 K). (B-E) The experimental spectra were simulated with varying contributions of two species, R^{•ox} (blue) and H_{ox}-CO (light blue), with the respective weights (in %) given in the table (see Table S2 for respective *g*-values). The spin concentrations were quantitated by double integration of the cw EPR spectra recorded under non-saturating conditions at X-band and 100 K. The standards for spin quantification were 1 mM CuEDTA and tyrosyl radical, Y_{122} , residing in *E. coli* class Ia ribonucleotide reductase with a known concentration. We determined R^{+ ox} concentrations of at least three distinct CbA5H⁰² samples from different sample preparations. The highest and lowest amounts were 0.15 and 0.085 spins/mol, respectively, and are in the expected error range of 30 %. [24] The signal intensity of R^{0x} observed in the shown H₂-O₂ cycle grows by a factor of five upon treatment with O₂ and loses approximately 13 % intensity throughout the cycle. Note that the detected spin concentrations are only valid for the given experimental temperatures. The relative contributions of R^{•ox} and H_{ox}-CO to CbA5H^{H2} at 100 K are 22 % and 78 %, while these values change to 51 % and below 5 %, respectively, when the experiment is conducted at 20 K (Figure 3 and S1). Similar spin concentrations of H-cluster states and variation in optimal temperatures for their EPR detection are observed in other hydrogenases. [13,25] Experimental conditions: 3-10 mW power; 1.28 ms time constant; 80 ms conversion time; 100 s sweep time, 4–5 scans.



Figure S5. Temperature-dependent EPR spectra of two differently oxidized CbA5H samples with cryoannealing at T = 220 K and in dry ice. (A) Temperature-dependent cw EPR spectra (9.7 GHz, T = 100–220 K) of CbA5H treated with 1 mM HAR were plotted with constant spacing. (As shown in Figure S9, HAR has a similar effect on CbA5H as O₂.) (**B**) Spectra of the same sample were recorded alternately at 100 K and 220 K. Spectra *a* and *b* are the first and last traces shown in A. Spectrum *c* showed a 32 % increase in intensity, possibly due to remaining, previously unreacted HAR from the sample preparation. Spectrum *d* was obtained after 10 min at 220 K. The spectrum *e*, recorded subsequently, showed no signal intensity or line shape change. Experimental conditions: 10 mW power, 1.28 ms time constant, 81 ms conversion time, 77 s sweep time, 5–11 scans. (**C**) Temperature-dependent cw EPR spectra (9.7 GHz, T = 100–220 K) of O₂-oxidized, NaDT-free CbA5H. Experimental conditions: 3 mW power; 1.28 ms time constant; 50 ms conversion time; 50 s sweep time, 3–12 scans. (**D**) Pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 10 K) were recorded in a critically coupled cavity with rectangular pulses after 0, 10, 20, 30, and 40 min storage on dry ice (~195 K). The top trace corresponds to a cumulative storage time of 100 min. The measurements shown in panel D were conducted before the ones in C using the same sample. The signal amplitude and shape remained unchanged.



Figure S6. Comparison of cw EPR spectra (9.7 GHz, T = 15–20 K, blue) and pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 10 K, black) of anaerobically isolated apo-CbA5H and aerobically isolated holo-CbA5H treated with H₂ or O₂. (A) Spectra of CbA5H^{H2} and apo-CbA5H^{H2} and (B) spectra of CbA5H⁰² and apo-CbA5H⁰² (multiplied by five) with the inset showing the full spectrum of CbA5H⁰². All spectra were plotted with a common *g*-scale. The intensities of the pulsed EPR spectra were adjusted to the cw EPR spectra for better comparison. The distinct spectral patterns of apo-CbA5H^{H2} observed at X- and Q-band are a strong indicator of spin-spin interaction between FS4A, FS4B, and [4Fe4S]_H. [26,27] This observation is not surprising as these clusters are adjacent to each other (\leq 15 Å apart). [28] In contrast, the spectral line shapes of CbA5H⁰² and apo-CbA5H⁰² do not change with the frequency. The cw EPR intensity of CbA5H⁰², originating from R^{•ox}, is one order of magnitude higher than that of apo-CbA5H⁰², which only exhibits residual [3Fe4S]⁺ clusters due to oxidation damage to [4Fe4S] clusters. [29] Experimental conditions for (i) H₂-reduced samples: 20 K; 1.5 µW power; 100 ms conversion time and 205 s sweep time and (ii) for O₂-oxidized samples: 10 K; 0.47 mW power; 80 ms conversion time and 40 s sweep time.



Figure S7: H₂-production activities of CbA5H (wild type and ⁵⁷Fe-labeled) prior and after exposures to H₂, air and O₂. Hydrogen production activities were determined with a standard assay, as reported earlier. [8] (A) H₂-production activities of wild-type CbA5H prior and after air and H₂ exposures. The enzyme stock solution was prepared without NaDT to ensure the absence of oxygen-scavenging reagents. Before further treatment, one aliquot was taken from the stock solution, termed "as isolated" (as is.). Next, the enzyme solution was incubated for 20 min in the air. Aliquots were taken and termed "air". The remaining protein solution was reactivated with 100 % H₂ (45 min) and incubated for an additional 20 min in the air to prepare the aliquot termed "H₂ + air". To determine the hydrogen production activity of previously prepared aliquots, 400 ng enzyme was mixed with 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), 100 mM NaDT and 10 mM methyl viologen. The assay solution was incubated in a sealed suba vessel for 20 min at 37° C under constant shaking. The H₂ production rate was determined via GC-FID (gas chromatography-flame ionization detector). Error bars represent the standard deviations from three technical replicates. (B, C) H₂-production activities of wild type and ⁵⁷Fe-labeled CbA5H before and after exposure to H₂ and O₂. The protein solution was treated with 100 % H₂ (60 min) and incubated for an additional 20 min with 100 % O₂. 400 ng enzyme was mixed with 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), 100 mM NaDT and 10 mM methyl viologen. The assay solution was incubated in a sealed suba vessel for 20 min at 37° C under constant shaking. The H₂ production rate was determined via GC-FID. Activities for H₂treated CbA5H: 2907 ± 107 μ mol H₂ × min⁻¹ × mg protein⁻¹ and for ⁵⁷Fe-CbA5H: 2734 ± 163 μ mol H₂ × min⁻¹ × mg protein⁻¹. After O₂ treatment, the activity decreased by 42 % for CbA5H (1690 ± 60 μ mol H₂ × min⁻¹ × mg protein⁻¹) and by 33 % for ⁵⁷Fe-CbA5H (1825 \pm 108 μ mol H₂ × min⁻¹ × mg protein⁻¹).



Figure S8. Temperature-dependent pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz) of oxidized holo- and apo-CbA5H and comparison of air and O₂ for oxidation. (A) Spectra of apo-CbA5H⁰² (SRT: 3.0 – 0.3 ms); (B) spectra of air-oxidized holo-CbA5H^{air} (SRT: 25.0 – 0.5 ms); (C) spectra of O₂-treated, NaDT-free, holo-CbA5H⁰² (SRT: 25.0 – 0.5 ms). Note that the third sample had undergone cryo-annealing (see Figure S5 C–D) and thawing. Therefore, it exhibits a contribution from H_{ox}-CO that arises from degraded FeS clusters. This is usually not observed in O₂-oxidized samples (compare Figures S4, S6, and S11). The insets show the spectra normalized to their maximum signal intensity (apo-CbA5H⁰² (A) are observable up to 60 K showing characteristics of an oxidized [3Fe4S]⁺ cluster ($g_{max} \approx 2.03$). [30] In addition to R^{+ox}, two more species were detected in holo-CbA5H^{air} (B). First, a minor contribution of the [3Fe4S]⁺ cluster signal was present at 10 K. Second, using air for oxidation led to the formation of H_{ox}-CO, which is observable up to 50 K. At temperatures ≥ 60 K, R^{+ox} is the only observable EPR signal.

Corrigan et al. [6] observed an EPR signal similar to $R^{\bullet ox}$ in an as-isolated CbA5H sample upon oxidation. They ascribed it to a [3Fe4S]⁺ cluster arising from degraded FeS clusters based on free Fe³⁺ present in the oxidized sample. When compared, the spectral features of $R^{\bullet ox}$ are indeed reminiscent of signals typical for oxidized [3Fe4S]¹⁺ clusters, including the one observed in apo-CbA5H⁰². However, numerous significant properties distinguish it from typical [3Fe4S]¹⁺ clusters. First, $R^{\bullet ox}$ is detectable above 100 K (see C). Second, its line shape and temperature dependence are entirely different from those of the [3Fe4S]¹⁺ clusters shown in (A) and reported in the literature. [19,30–33] Third, the contribution of $R^{\bullet ox}$ in CbA5H⁰² is an order of magnitude higher compared to the [3Fe4S]¹⁺ cluster observed in apo-CbA5H⁰² (see also Figure S6). Last, similar amounts of a *g* = 4.30 signal related to the oxidative degradation of FeS clusters are found in CbA5H⁰² and apo-CbA5H⁰² (see Figure S12).



Figure S9. Comparison of EPR spectra of NaDT-free H₂- and O₂-treated CbA5H (CbA5H^{02/H2}) with spectra of CbA5H treated with 1 mM HAR (CbA5H^{HAR}), which was subsequently gassed with H₂ (CbA5H^{HAR}H₂). (A) Pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 10 K). Using the mild oxidant HAR, a dominant formation of Hox is observed compared to CbA5H^{H2}. Treating CbA5H^{HAR} with H₂ reduces the signal intensity of R^{•ox}. (B) Cw EPR spectra recorded at 9.7 GHz and T = 100 K. Spectra normalized to their maximum signal intensity are shown with constant spacings in the inset for better comparison. Suppression of fast-relaxing species at 100 K reveals R^{•ox} in all samples, while CbA5H^{H2} and both HAR-treated samples reveal minor contributions from the H_{ox}-CO state (see $g \approx 2.06$). The signal intensity of R^{•ox} obtained from spectral simulations is approximately 80 % lower in the HAR-treated sample than CbA5H⁰². This might arise from different sample preparations, considering the differences between HAR and O₂ regarding the molar ratio, accessibility, and reactivity with the protein. X-band experimental conditions: 10 mW power; 1.28 ms time constant; 81 ms conversion time; 61 s sweep time, 3–9scans.



Figure S10. Comparison of EPR spectra of NaDT-free, O₂-oxidized CbA5H⁰² (black), and CpI⁰² (blue). The spectra were measured (**A**) via pulsed EPR (34 GHz, T = 10 K) and (**B**) via cw EPR (9.7 GHz, T = 100 K). The latter spectra normalized to their maximum signal intensity are shown in the inset. In contrast to CbA5H⁰² showing R^{•ox} at both temperatures, the spectrum of CpI⁰² at 10 K shows contributions from H_{ox} (g =2.10, 2.04, 2.00) and H_{ox}-CO (g =2.074, 2.008, 2.006) (simulations shown with grey dotted traces). At 100 K, only a weak signal of H_{ox}-CO is observable in CpI (see the grey dotted trace in the inset). X-band experimental conditions for CpI: 3 mW power; 1.28 ms time constant; 80 ms conversion time; 100 s sweep time, 15 scans; for CbA5H⁰², see Figure S9.



Figure S11. Comparison of EPR spectra of H₂**- and O**₂**-treated CbA5H purified aerobically (blue) and NaDTfree, anaerobically (black).** (A) Pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 10 K) were normalized to their maximum signal intensities to compare the line shapes better. (B) Cw EPR spectra (9.7 GHz, T = 100K). At 100 K, spectra of CbA5H^{H2} show a minor contribution from H_{ox}-CO ($g \approx 2.06$). The signal intensities of R^{•ox} obtained via spectral simulations in aerobically purified samples is approximately 22 % higher compared to the anaerobically purified samples. X-band experimental conditions: 10–32 mW power, 1.28–5.12 ms time constant; 60–81 ms conversion time; 45–70 s sweep time, 1–3 scans.



Figure S12. Comparison of cw EPR spectra (9.7 GHz, T = 15–20 K) of apo-CbA5H (730 μ M) and holo-CbA5H (970 μ M) treated with H₂ and O₂. The grey lines indicate *g* = 5.3 (left), *g* = 4.3 (middle, adventitiously bound Fe³⁺ (S = 5/2) [34]) and *g* = 4 (right, resonator impurity). All spectra were normalized to the resonator impurity signal. The spectrum of CbA5H^{H2} exhibits the resonator impurity only, while apo-CbA5H^{H2} is the only spectrum having a signal at *g* = 5.3. This indicates the presence of a half-integer high-spin species, as discussed in Ref. [34] and observed in another [FeFe]-hydrogenase under illumination. [13] Spectra of the oxidized samples show varying contributions from adventitiously bound Fe³⁺. Apo-CbA5H⁰² has a significantly higher signal intensity at *g* = 4.3 than holo-CbA5H⁰². The decreased signal intensity of holo-CbA5H⁰² at *g* = 4.3 is noteworthy regarding the R^{+ox} signal, which exhibits one order of magnitude higher signal intensity than the [3Fe4S]⁺ clusters in apo-CbA5H⁰² (see Figure S5). Thus, it can be concluded that R^{+ox} is unrelated to the signal intensity at *g* = 4.3 arising due to degraded FeS clusters. Experimental conditions for (i) H₂-reduced samples: 15 K; 0.47 mW power; 100 ms conversion time and 205 s sweep time and (ii) for O₂-oxidized samples: 15–20 K; 0.047 mW power; 80 ms conversion time and 164 s sweep time.



Figure S13. EPR spectra of CbA5H^{H2} and CbA5H⁰² maturated with adt (490 μ M, CbA5H(adt), NaDT-free, black traces) and pdt (500 μ M, CbA5H(pdt), blue traces). For pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 10 K), the intensities of CbA5H(pdt) were multiplied by two for a better comparison of the line shapes. The inset shows the cw EPR spectra (9.7 GHz, T = 100 K) of the same samples. At 10 K, CbA5H(pdt)^{H2} yields H_{ox} as the only paramagnetic H-cluster state and shows contributions from accessory FeS clusters at $g \approx 1.93$. CbA5H(pdt)⁰² reveals a signal reminiscent of O₂-damaged FeS clusters at lower temperatures. Strikingly, no signal is observed at elevated temperatures in CbA5H(pdt) samples, proving the absence of R^{•ox}. X-band experimental conditions: 10 mW power; 1.28 ms time constant; 81 ms conversion time; 77 s sweep time, 3–10 scans.



Figure S14. IR-vibrational spectra of CbA5H(pdt) monitored *via* **ATR-FTIR-spectroscopy.** The upper part of the figure shows CbA5H(pdt) (500 μ M, 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8) under a constant stream of N₂ populating the H_{ox} state. The lower part depicts the IR vibrations after purging the same protein film with air (~5 min), showing the presence of H_{inact}.



Figure S15. Comparison of EPR spectra of O₂-treated samples of CbA5H (CbA5H^{o2}, NaDT-free, black traces) and its variant C367D (C367D^{o2}, blue traces). (A) Pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 10 K) were normalized to their maximum signal intensities to compare the line shapes better. (B) Cw EPR spectra (9.7 GHz, T = 100K). The C367D variant has a narrower line shape with smaller *g*-values (g = 2.015(3), 2.012(3), 2.007(2)). Additionally, its spin concentration decreased by approximately 35 % compared to wild-type CbA5H^{o2}. X-band experimental conditions for C367D: 32 mW power, 1.28 ms time constant; 82 ms conversion time; 62 s sweep time, 2 scans; for CbA5H^{o2}, see Figure S9.



Figure S16. UV-vis and UV-vis difference spectra of as-isolated (black) and air-treated (red) CbA5H. (A) Normalized UV-vis spectra (280 nm) of as-isolated protein (CbA5H^{as is}) and air-treated (10 min) protein solution (CbA5H^{air}). The peak at 280 nm represents the absorbance of aromatic amino acids in the protein backbone. Absorbance plateau around 400 nm indicates the presence of FeS clusters. (B) UV-vis difference spectra of CbA5H^{air} – CbA5H^{as is}. Dashed vertical lines indicate expected peak positions for tyrosyl (411 nm) and DOPA (383 nm) radicals which are absent in this spectrum. No decay was observed at 420 nm due to loss of sulfur to iron charge transfer bands. This result shows that the FeS clusters in CbA5H^{air} remain stable upon oxidative treatment and thus, supports the conclusions derived in S8 and S12.



Figure S17. Comparison of pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 20 K) of O₂-treated samples of CbA5H (CbA5H⁰², NaDT-free, black trace) and ⁵⁷Fe-labeled CbA5H (⁵⁷Fe-CbA5H⁰², blue traces). The spectra were normalized to their maximum signal intensities to better compare the line shapes. The hyperfine interactions with ⁵⁷Fe nuclei lead to a broadening of the line shape.



Figure S18. Davies ⁵⁷Fe ENDOR spectra (Q-band, T = 20 K) of ⁵⁷Fe-labeled CbA5H⁰² at different field positions and respective spectral simulations. a: g = 2.008 b: g = 2.012 c: g = 2.018 d: g = 2.022. The simulations were performed with a global fitting function using *salt* in Easy spin and employing (A) 3 ⁵⁷Fe nuclei or (B) 4 ⁵⁷Fe nuclei. The obtained simulation parameters in comparison to literature parameters are displayed in Table S4.

Species	Nuclei	$A_{\rm x}$	Ay	Az	Aiso	φ (deg)	θ (deg)	ψ (deg)	Reference
3Fe-	Fe1	28.5	24.9	30.3	27.9	101	10	6	this work
	Fe2	29.8	34.2	37.0	33.7	89	103	81	
K	Fe3	23.5	33.1	35.6	30.7	105	80	59	
	Fe1	28.0	32.4	28.5	29.6	62	199	2	
4Fe-	Fe2	28.3	24.8	30.4	27.8	88	0	0	.1. 1
R•ox	Fe3	30.0	34.1	36.5	33.6	89	62	74	this work
	Fe4	24.5	33.2	35.4	31.0	82	98	60	
	Fe1	29.9	35.1	25.1	30.0	8	0	0	
H _{ox} -CO ^a	Fe2	31.2	37.3	31.2	33.2	0	0	0	[35]
	Fe3	28.6	24.7	30.8	28.0	110	0	0	
	Fe4	23.5	29.6	29.8	27.6	20	0	0	
	Fe1	-35.4	-35.0	-30.4	33.6	90	185	-	
H_{ox} -CO ^b	Fe2	-34.5	-38.4	-30.7	34.5	90	5	-	[0.4]
	Fe3	+27.8	+21.8	+30.3	26.7	5	110	-	[36]
	Fe4	+26.7	+23.8	+30.2	27.0	76	-93	-	

Table S4. Simulation parameters for 57 Fe nuclei found in R*ox from 57 Fe-labeled CbA5H and in H_ox-CO from different organisms.

^a from *C. reinhardtii*

^b from *D. desulfuricans*



Figure S19. Comparison of EPR and ENDOR spectra of O₂-treated CbA5H (CbA5H^{o2}, NaDT-free, thawed once, black traces) and air-treated CbA5H (CbA5Hair, thawed once, blue traces). (A) Pulsed EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 17 K) were normalized to their maximum signal intensities to compare the line shapes better. The simulation of CbA5Hair comprises contributions from R^{•ox} (56 % relative weight, not shown) and H_{ox}-CO (44 % relative weight, grey pattern). The field positions **a** (g = 2.008), **b** (g = 2.012) and **c** (g = 2.018) at which the ENDOR spectra were recorded are indicated. (B) Proton Davies ENDOR (Q-band, T = 17 K) of CbA5H⁰² and CbA5H^{air} recorded at the three field positions given in (A). The maximum hyperfine coupling value A_{max} of CbA5H⁰² is approximately 9 MHz (red arrow). Comparing ¹H ENDOR data of CbA5H⁰² and CbA5H^{air} recorded at positions **c** and **b** revealed only insignificant differences. Yet, ¹H ENDOR data of CbA5Hair recorded at position **a** shows additional features compared to those of CbA5H⁰². These additional low-intensity signals arise from the underlying Hox-CO present in the CbA5Hair sample. These data demonstrate unambiguously that the hyperfine couplings detected for CbA5H⁰² belong solely to R^{•ox}. Note that the differences between CbA5H⁰² and CbA5H^{air} are only prominent at position **a** because the ratio of H_{ox} -CO to R^{•ox} contributing to the ENDOR spectrum at this orientation is the highest, as can be seen in (A). The identical multiplication factors used to normalize the EPR, and ¹H ENDOR spectra of ⁵⁷Fe-CbA5H⁰² to those of CbA5H⁰² strongly support the conclusion that the hyperfine couplings observed for CbA5H⁰² are due to R^{•ox} (see S20).



Figure S20. EPR and ENDOR spectra of $CbA5H^{02}$ in protonated ($CbA5H_{H20}$) and deuterated ($CbA5H_{D20}$) buffer and maturated with 57Fe (57Fe-CbA5H) (T = 17/20 K, 34 GHz). The sample of CbA5H_{H20} had undergone cryo-annealing before the ENDOR experiments (see Figure S5 D). Both CbA5H_{H20} and CbA5H_{D20} were subsequently thawed and transferred into 1.6 mm tubes for the ENDOR measurements shown here and in Figure S19. The spectral intensity of ⁵⁷Fe-CbA5H was multiplied by five in each spectrum. (A) ESE-detected EPR spectra (34 GHz, T = 17/20K). The asterisks mark residual H_{ox}-CO and/or resonator impurity signals. The arrow indicates the field position at which the ENDOR spectra were recorded. (B) Mims ²H ENDOR spectrum (Q-band, T = 17 K) of CbA5H_{D20}. At least two different couplings are observable as marked by black vertical dashed lines showing the perpendicular components of the hyperfine couplings (A_{\perp}). (C) Comparison of Davies ENDOR spectra of CbA5H⁰² (black trace) and ⁵⁷Fe-CbA5H⁰² (blue trace) recorded with a 40 µs RF pulse ranging from 1 to 86 MHz. The inset shows the comparison of hyperfine couplings arising from protons. The almost identical line shapes detected for CbA5H⁰², and ⁵⁷Fe-CbA5H⁰² show that ⁵⁷Fe enrichment does not disturb the H-bonding environment and structure of R^{•ox}. CbA5H⁰² does not exhibit strong ¹H hyperfine couplings (grey area and inset), which could be associated with tyrosyl and natural DOPA radicals and would be observed at positions marked with vertical dashed blue lines. [37,38] Additionally, the typical ¹⁴N hyperfine coupling values observed with natural tryptophanyl radicals are approximately 28 MHz. [39] This coupling would give rise to spectral patterns at $\nu \approx 15$ MHz (blue shaded area). [40] Neither strong ¹H nor ¹⁴N hyperfine couplings are observed in this spectrum, excluding a typical tyrosyl, DOPA, or tryptophanyl radical as the origin of R^{•ox}.

Supporting Discussion on the identity of R^{•ox}

Amino-acid-based radicals, e.g., derived from tyrosine, tryptophan, cysteine, and glycine serve as redox centers, generating important metabolites in radical enzymes, such as galactose oxidase ribonucleotide reductase, cytochrome *c* peroxidase, and pyruvate formate-lyase. [41] As the *g*-values of R^{•ox} and its temperature dependence are reminiscent of organic radicals; we investigated whether a redox-active amino acid residue can be the source of the R^{•ox} signal. However, the characteristics of our EPR and UV-vis data conflict with those of typical amino acid radicals. First, the *g*-shifts ($\Delta g_i = g_i - g_e$) of R^{•ox} are too large for a free tyrosyl/modified tyrosyl (natural DOPA or DOPA radical anion), glycyl or tryptophanyl radical but too small to be associated with a thiyl radical. [24,42] Second, distinct peaks related to either tyrosyl or natural DOPA radicals are not observed in the UV-vis spectra of R^{•ox} (Figure S16). Third, ¹H Davies ENDOR spectra of R^{•ox} in H₂O and D₂O revealed numerous non-exchangeable proton hyperfine couplings smaller than 10 MHz (Figure S19, the largest hyperfine coupling constant value that is approximately 9 MHz is read between vertical dashed lines). The absence of strong proton and nitrogen hyperfine couplings contrasts the literature data of typical tyrosyl, glycyl, thiyl, and tryptophanyl radicals (Figure S20). [24,43]

Next, we considered sulfur-based radicals because the increased $R^{\bullet ox} g$ -anisotropy ($\Delta g = g_3 - g_1 = 13 \cdot 10^{-3}$), usually originating from a large spin-orbit coupling, is indicative of such radicals. [44,45] The *g*-values of $R^{\bullet ox}$ differ from those of disulfide radical anions but agree with *g*-values of sulfinyl or sulfonyl radicals. [44] Similar to $R^{\bullet ox}$, the latter have been observed as reversible intermediates. [46–50] However, the associated proton hyperfine coupling constants are greater than 10 MHz and dominate the line shape at lower frequencies, which is not observed for $R^{\bullet ox}$. Therefore, it is unlikely that $R^{\bullet ox}$ is a typical sulfurbased radical.

Apart from the free amino acid radicals discussed above, several unusual ones have been reported. [41] These include modified amino acids and amino acid radicals having anomalous spectroscopic properties due to their interaction with a close-by metallocofactor. One example is the tryptophan cation radical found in cytochrome *c* peroxidase, namely Trp191^{•+,} having a weak exchange coupling with the oxyferryl-heme. [51], [52] Surprisingly, *g*-values of R^{•ox} and Trp191^{•+} are highly similar, and strong ¹⁴N hyperfine couplings are absent in both. Yet, the largest proton hyperfine coupling component detected for Trp191^{•+} is higher than that of R^{•ox} (ca. 20 MHz *vs.* 9 MHz).

Last, we note that the reversibility of $R^{\bullet ox}$, and its ENDOR spectrum, showing various proton hyperfine couplings, exclude the possibility of a peroxyl radical. In addition, a semiquinone radical is highly unlikely as the *g*-shifts observed for this radical are remarkably small compared to those of $R^{\bullet ox}$.

References

- [1] S. Stoll and A. Schweiger, J. Magn. Reson. **178**, 42 (2006).
- [2] M. K. Akhtar and P. R. Jones, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **78**, 853 (2008).
- [3] J. M. Kuchenreuther, C. S. Grady-Smith, A. S. Bingham, S. J. George, S. P. Cramer, and J. R. Swartz, PLoS One **5**, 4 (2010).
- [4] M. Bradford, Anal. Biochem. **72**, 248 (1976).
- [5] U. K. Laemmli, Nature **227**, 680 (1970).
- [6] P. S. Corrigan, J. L. Tirsch, and A. Silakov, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **142**, 12409 (2020).
- [7] H. Li and T. B. Rauchfuss, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **124**, 726 (2002).
- [8] J. Esselborn et al., Nat. Chem. Biol. **9**, 607 (2013).
- [9] D. W. Mulder, Y. Guo, M. W. Ratzloff, and P. W. King, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 83 (2017).
- [10] G. Rao and R. D. Britt, Inorg. Chem. 57, 10935 (2018).
- [11] A. Adamska, A. Silakov, C. Lambertz, O. Rüdiger, T. Happe, E. Reijerse, and W. Lubitz, Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed. **51**, 11458 (2012).
- [12] H. Land, M. Senger, G. Berggren, and S. T. Stripp, ACS Catal. 10, 7069 (2020).

- [13] S. P. J. Albracht, W. Roseboom, and E. C. Hatchikian, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 11, 88 (2006).
- [14] H. J. Grande, W. R. Dunham, B. Averill, C. Dijk, and R. H. Sands, Eur. J. Biochem. **136**, 201 (1983).
- [15] W. R. Hagen, A. van Berkel-Arts, K. M. Krüse-Wolters, W. R. Dunham, and C. Veeger, FEBS Lett. 201, 158 (1986).
- [16] D. S. Patil, S. H. He, J. J. G. Mouras, N. Ravi, D. V. DerVartanian, H. D. Peck, J. LeGall, and B. H. Huynh, J. Inorg. Biochem. **36**, 251 (1989).
- [17] B. Bennett, B. J. Lemon, and J. W. Peters, Biochemistry **39**, 7455 (2000).
- [18] G. Caserta, A. Adamska-Venkatesh, L. Pecqueur, M. Atta, V. Artero, S. Roy, E. Reijerse, W. Lubitz, and M. Fontecave, Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Bioenerg. 1857, 1734 (2016).
- [19] R. Cammack, Iron—Sulfur Clusters in Enzymes: Themes and Variations, in Advances in Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 38 (1992), pp. 281–322.
- [20] H. Rupp, K. K. Rao, D. O. Hall, and R. Cammack, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Protein Struct. **537**, 255 (1978).
- [21] M. Atta, M. E. Lafferty, M. K. Johnson, J. Gaillard, and J. Meyer, Biochemistry **37**, 15974 (1998).
- [22] T. Liu, B. Li, M. L. Singleton, M. B. Hall, and M. Y. Darensbourg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 8296 (2009).
- [23] K. D. Swanson et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. **137**, 1809 (2015).
- [24] G. Jeschke, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg. 1707, 91 (2005).
- [25] N. Chongdar, K. Pawlak, O. Rüdiger, E. J. Reijerse, P. Rodríguez-Maciá, W. Lubitz, J. A. Birrell, and H. Ogata, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. (2019).
- [26] M. L. Antonkine, M. S. Koay, B. Epel, C. Breitenstein, O. Gopta, W. Gärtner, E. Bill, and W. Lubitz, Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Bioenerg. 1787, 995 (2009).
- [27] P. Rodríguez-Maciá, K. Pawlak, O. Rüdiger, E. J. Reijerse, W. Lubitz, and J. A. Birrell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **139**, 15122 (2017).
- [28] M. Winkler et al., Nat. Commun. **12**, 756 (2021).
- [29] J. A. Imlay, Mol. Microbiol. 59, 1073 (2006).
- [30] J. Telser, H.-I. Lee, and B. M. Hoffman, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 5, 369 (2000).
- [31] S. Agarwalla, R. M. Stroud, and B. J. Gaffney, J. Biol. Chem. 279, 34123 (2004).
- [32] M. Saggu, I. Zebger, M. Ludwig, O. Lenz, B. Friedrich, P. Hildebrandt, and F. Lendzian, J. Biol. Chem. **284**, 16264 (2009).
- [33] M. T. Pellicer Martinez, J. C. Crack, M. Y. Y. Stewart, J. M. Bradley, D. A. Svistunenko, A. W. B. Johnston, M. R. Cheesman, J. D. Todd, and N. E. Le Brun, Elife **8**, 1 (2019).
- [34] W. R. Hagen, *EPR Spectroscopy of Iron—Sulfur Proteins*, in *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, Vol. 38 (1992), pp. 165–222.
- [35] R. Gilbert-Wilson, J. F. Siebel, A. Adamska-Venkatesh, C. C. Pham, E. Reijerse, H. Wang, S. P. Cramer, W. Lubitz, and T. B. Rauchfuss, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **137**, 8998 (2015).
- [36] A. Silakov, E. J. Reijerse, S. P. J. Albracht, E. C. Hatchikian, and W. Lubitz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **129**, 11447 (2007).
- [37] V. Srinivas et al., Nature **563**, 416 (2018).
- [38] S. L. Meichsner, Y. Kutin, and M. Kasanmascheff, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 60, 19155 (2021).
- [39] R. Pogni, M. C. Baratto, C. Teutloff, S. Giansanti, F. J. Ruiz-Dueñas, T. Choinowski, K. Piontek, A. T. Martínez, F. Lendzian, and R. Basosi, J. Biol. Chem. **281**, 9517 (2006).
- [40] S. M. Musser, Y.-C. Fann, R. J. Gurbiel, B. M. Hoffman, and S. I. Chan, J. Biol. Chem. 272, 203 (1997).

- [41] J. A. Stubbe and W. A. Van Der Donk, Chem. Rev. 98, 705 (1998).
- [42] S. Stoll, *High-Field EPR of Bioorganic Radicals*, in *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance*, Vol. 22 (Royal Society of Chemistry, 2011), pp. 107–154.
- [43] M. van Gastel, W. Lubitz, G. Lassmann, and F. Neese, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 2237 (2004).
- [44] N. Babaei Bidmeshki, Y. T. Azar, F. Ziaie, and M. Janbazi, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 23, 6815 (2021).
- [45] S. G. Swarts, D. Becker, S. DeBolt, and M. D. Sevilla, J. Phys. Chem. 93, 155 (1989).
- [46] A. Adrait, M. Öhrström, A.-L. Barra, L. Thelander, and A. Gräslund, Biochemistry **41**, 6510 (2002).
- [47] S. G. Reddy, K. K. Wong, C. V. Parast, J. Peisach, R. S. Magliozzo, and J. W. Kozarich, Biochemistry 37, 558 (1998).
- [48] G. Lassmann, M. Kolberg, G. Bleifuss, A. Gräslund, B.-M. Sjöberg, and W. Lubitz, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **5**, 2442 (2003).
- [49] M. D. Sevilla, D. Becker, and M. Yan, Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 57, 65 (1990).
- [50] M. Kolberg, G. Bleifuss, B.-M. Sjöberg, A. Gräslund, W. Lubitz, F. Lendzian, and G. Lassmann, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **397**, 57 (2002).
- [51] M. Sivaraja, D. B. Goodin, M. Smith, and B. M. Hoffman, Science **245**, 738 (1989).
- [52] A. L. P. Houseman, P. E. Doan, D. B. Goodwin, and B. M. Hoffman, Biochemistry 32, 4430 (1993).