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## Supplementary Materials and Methods

## Materials and methods.

## Materials.

10-Methyl-phenothiazine-3,7-dicarbaldehyde (MPTz, 98\%, Jilin Chinese Academy of Sciences-Yanshen Technology Co., Ltd.), 1,3,5-tris(4-aminophenyl)benzene (TAPB, 98\%, Jilin Chinese Academy of Sciences-Yanshen Technology Co., Ltd.), (benzene-1,3,5triyl)triacetonitrile (BTTA, 98\%, Jilin Chinese Academy of Sciences-Yanshen Technology Co., Ltd.), 1,3,6,8-tetrakis(4-aminophenyl)pyrene (TAPP, 98\%, Jilin Chinese Academy of Sciences-Yanshen Technology Co., Ltd.), 1,4-dioxane (99.7\%, Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd), mesitylene (98+\%, Alfa Aesar), 1-butanol ( $n-\mathrm{BuOH}, 99+\%$, Acros Organics), 1,2-dichlorobenzene (o-DCB, 99\%, TCI), acetic acid (AcOH, AR, Shanghai Richjoint Chemical Reagents Co., Ltd.), potassium hydroxide (KOH, 97\%, Energy Chemical), hydrogen peroxide $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}\right.$, Guangzhou Chemical Reagent Factory), $n$-hexane ( $99.5 \%$, Energy Chemical), acetone (AR, Guangzhou Chemical Reagent Factory), tetrahydrofuran (THF, 99.5\%, Energy Chemical), dichloromethane (DCM, 99.9\%, Energy Chemical), acetonitrile (ACN, 99.9\%, Energy Chemical), methanol (MeOH, 99.9\%, Energy Chemical), $\quad N, N$-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.5\%, Energy Chemical), N,Ndimethylacetamide (DMA, 99.5\%, Energy Chemical), methyl methacrylate (MMA, 99\%, Energy Chemical), ethyl $\alpha$-bromophenylacetate (EBP, 97\%, Energy Chemical).
$\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (99.9999\%) was purchased from MULAI Company (China).

Synthesis of PTz-TPB-COF: A 10-mL pyrex tube was charged with MPTz ( $15.35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057$ mmol ), TAPB ( $13.36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-dioxane ( 0.2 mL ), mesitylene ( 0.8 mL ) and aqueous acetic acid $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 6 \mathrm{M})$. This mixture was sonicated for ten minutes, degassed through three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, sealed under vacuum, and heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the precipitate was centrifuged and washed with THF for several times, then further treated using Soxhlet extraction with THF. The solvent was removed under vacuum at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the corresponding product as brown powder in an isolated yield of $82 \%$.

Synthesis of PTz-BTA-COF: A 10-mL pyrex tube was charged with MPTz ( $15.35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057$ mmol ), BTTA ( $7.42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.038 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $n-\mathrm{BuOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous KOH solution ( 0.1 mL , $4 \mathrm{M})$. This mixture was sonicated for ten minutes, degassed through three freeze-pump-thaw
cycles, sealed under vacuum, and heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the precipitate was centrifuged and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and THF for several times, then further treated using Soxhlet extraction with THF. The solvent was removed under vacuum at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the corresponding product as red powder in an isolated yield of $67 \%$.

Synthesis of PTz-Py-COF: A 10-mL pyrex tube was charged with MPTz ( $15.08 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056$ mmol ), TAPP ( $15.87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $o-\mathrm{DCB}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL}), n-\mathrm{BuOH}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous acetic acid $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 6 \mathrm{M})$. This mixture was sonicated for ten minutes, degassed through three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, sealed under vacuum, and heated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the precipitate was centrifuged and washed with THF for several times, then further treated using Soxhlet extraction with THF. The solvent was removed under vacuum at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the corresponding product as orange powder in an isolated yield of $76 \%$.

Synthesis of OPTz-BTA-COF: PTz-BTA-COF ( 60 mg ) was suspended in AcOH ( 20 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ aqueous solution $(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added. The mixture was stirred at 80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h and then cooled to room temperature. Afterwards, the precipitate was centrifuged and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acetone and MeOH for several times, then further treated using Soxhlet extraction with acetone, THF and MeOH. The solvent was removed under vacuum at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the corresponding product as yellow powder in an isolated yield of $90 \%$.

## Methods.

## General instrumental analysis.

Solid-state ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ cross polarization magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ CPMAS NMR) were recorded on a JEOL JNM-ECA600 MHz, 3.2 mm rotor, MAS of 20 kHz , recycle delay of 1 sec . Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on an IFS 66V/S Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer. UV-vis-NIR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-3600 spectrometer. Photoluminescence spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu RF-5301PC spectrofluorophotometer. Temperature-dependent photoluminescence spectra were recorded on a HORIBA Fluorolog-3 module fluorescence spectrometer. PL quantum yields were recorded on a Hamamarsu C11347-11 Quantaurus-QY. The photoluminescence decay profiles were measured by using time-correlated single photon
counting (TCSPC) mode with a picosecond light source. DPV was performed with a Shanghai Chenhua Instruments electrochemical analyzer CHI760E C18477 with a $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(0.01 \mathrm{M}$ in ACN ) reference electrode using ACN as the solvent. Elemental analysis was performed on an Elementar Vario EL elemental analyser. TG measurements were performed on a Rigaku Thermo plus EVO2 under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, by heating to $900{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a rate of $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) was performed on a Hitachi Regulus 8100 operating at an accelerating voltage of 5.0 kV . High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were obtained on a JEOL model JEM-3200 and a TEM JEOL 2100F with an acceleration voltage of 300 kV . PXRD data were recorded on a Rigaku model RINT Ultima III diffractometer by depositing powder on glass substrate, from $2 \theta=1^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ}$ with $0.01^{\circ}$ increment.

## Computational calculations.

The crystalline structures of the COFs were determined using the density-functional tight-binding (DFTB). ${ }^{\text {S1 }}$ The calculations were carried out with the DFTB+ program package version 17.1. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 2} \mathrm{DFTB}^{\mathrm{S} 1}$ is an approximate density functional theory method based on the tight-binding approach and utilizes an optimized minimal LCAO Slater-type all-valence basis set in combination with a two-center approximation for Hamiltonian matrix elements. The Coulombic interaction between partial atomic charges was determined using the selfconsistent charge (SCC) formalism. Lennard-Jones-type dispersion was employed in all calculations to describe van der Waals and possible $\pi$-stacking interactions The lattice dimensions were optimized simultaneously with the geometry. Standard DFTB parameters for $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ element pair ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$ and S ) interactions were employed from the 3 ob set. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 3-}$ S6

The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern simulation was performed using a software package for crystal determination from PXRD pattern, implemented in Reflex module of Materials Studio. We performed Pawley refinement to optimize the lattice parameters iteratively until the $R_{P}$ and $R_{W P}$ values converge. The pseudo-Voigt profile function was used for whole profile fitting and Berar-Baldinozzi function ${ }^{57}$ was used for asymmetry correction during the refinement processes. All simulation works were performed using the computing resources at National Supercomputing Center in Shenzhen.

## Gas sorption measurements.

$\mathrm{N}_{2}$ sorption measurements were performed on BELSORP-mini (Bel Japan, Inc.) automated volumetric sorption analysers. The desired temperature of 77 K were controlled by liquid nitrogen bath. Before measurement, the samples were degassed in vacuum at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 11 h . By using the non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) model, the pore sizes were derived from the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ sorption curve.

## Exciton binding energy ( $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ):

The $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}$ was measured by temperature-dependent photoluminescence technology, from which the $E_{b}$ can be estimated from the integral emission intensity by fitted with equation (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(T)=\frac{I_{0}}{1+A e^{-E_{B} / k_{B} T}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{0}$ is the integral intensity at $0 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}$, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzmann constant.

## Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) measurements.

The number- $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and weight- $\left(M_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ average molecular weights and dispersity $(\mathrm{P}=$ $M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) of the polymers were estimated by a Waters e2695 gel permeation chromatography system. $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{LiBr}$ solution ( 0.05 M LiBr ) was used as eluent at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$. A set of monodispersed polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), covering the $M_{\mathrm{w}}$ range of $10^{3}-10^{7} \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, were utilized as standards for molecular weight calibration.

## Photocatalytic polymerization experiments

A $5-\mathrm{mL}$ vial was charged with a small stir bar and photocatalyst and transferred into an argon-atmosphere glovebox. Solvent (DMA, 1 mL ), monomer (MMA, $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and initiator (EBP) were then added sequentially via pipette. The amount of EBP used was 16.9 $\mu \mathrm{L}(93.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, except for entry $4(33.8 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and entry $6(8.45 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The vial was then sealed, placed inside a darkroom ( $315 \times 300 \times 450 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) illuminated by Xe light ( $300 \mathrm{~W}, 300-1600$ nm ), and stirred for given hours. Afterwards, the photocatalyst (for entry 2-8) was centrifuged and washed with THF for several times, meanwhile the supernate was collected and vacuumed at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to removed THF. The remanent liquid or the reaction (for entry 1) was poured into 200 mL MeOH and stirred overnight. The resulting precipitate was then isolated by vacuum filtration and washed with excess MeOH . The product was dried under vacuum to reveal a white powder. The product was re-dissolved in DMF for analysis of $M_{w}$ and $M_{n}$ by GPC.

Supporting Figures


Figure S1. FT-IR spectra of the monomers and PTz-TPB-COF.


Figure S2. FT-IR spectra of the monomers and PTz-BTA-COF.


Figure S3. FT-IR spectra of the monomers and PTz-Py-COF.


Figure S4. FT-IR spectra of PTz-TPB-COF and its oxidized product.


Figure S5. FT-IR spectra of PTz-Py-COF and its oxidized product.


Figure S6. SEM images of (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, and (c) PTz-Py-COF.


Figure S7. (a) High-resolution TEM image and (b) SAED patterns for PTz-TPB-COF. (c) High-resolution TEM image and (d) SAED patterns for PTz-BTA-COF. (e) High-resolution TEM image and (f) SAED patterns for PTz-Py-COF.


Figure S8. PXRD patterns of (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, and (c) PTz-Py-COF in comparasion with their monomers.


Figure S9. Simulated AB stacking modes for (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, and (c) PTz-Py-COF.


Figure S10. PXRD patterns of PTz-BTA-COF and OPTz-BTA-COF.


Figure S11. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-sorption profiles of (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, (c) PTz-Py-COF, and (d) OPTz-BTA-COF at 77 K .


Figure S12. BET plots for (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, (c) PTz-Py-COF, and (d) OPTz-BTA-COF.


Figure S13. Pore volume and pore-size distribution profiles for (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, (c) PTz-Py-COF, and (d) OPTz-BTA-COF.


Figure S14. TG curves of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTACOF.

In the temperature range of 200 to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF showed the weight loss of $2.8,4.3,3.5$, and $4.3 \mathrm{wt} \%$, which were very close to the weight percentages of the $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups in every $\operatorname{COF}(3.2,4.1,2.9$, and $4.0 \mathrm{wt} \%$ for PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF). Therefore, the weight loss before $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was because of the removal of the $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups from the COF skeletons. Moreover, for PTz-BTA-COF and OPTz-BTA-COF, the continuous weight loss (13.1 and $13.8 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) from 300 to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was because of the removal of -CN groups (14.3 and 14.2 $\mathrm{wt} \%)$ from its skeleton.


Figure S15. PXRD patterns of (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, (c) PTz-Py-COF, and (d) OPTz-BTA-COF before and after immersion into concentrated HCl or NaOH solutions at room temperature for 3 d .


Figure S16. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-sorption profiles of (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, and (c) PTz-PyCOF before and after immersion into concentrated HCl or NaOH solutions at room temperature for 3 d .

PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, and PTz-Py-COF upon treated with HCl exhibited the BET surface areas of $245.3,532.1$, and $538.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, whereas they showed the BET surface areas of $250.7,533.1$, and $537.9 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ upon base treatments.


Figure S17. (a) UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF. The insets are the photos of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF under sunlight. (b) Tauc plots of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF.


Figure S18. (a) Positive and (b) negative DPV profiles of PTz-TPB-COF. (c) Positive and (d) negative DPV profiles of PTz-BTA-COF. (e) Positive and (f) negative DPV profiles of PTz-Py-COF. (g) Positive and (h) negative DPV profiles of OPTz-BTA-COF.


Figure S19. Electrochemical bandgaps of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF.


Figure S20. Photoluminescence spectra of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF powders in air. The insets are the photos of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTACOF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF under 365-nm UV light.


Figure S21. Photoluminescence decay profiles of (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, (c) PTz-Py-COF, and (d) OPTz-BTA-COF powders in air.


Figure S22. (a) Photoluminescence spectra and (b) photoluminescence decay profiles of PTz-TPB-COF dispersed in different organic solvents (excitation: 365 nm for hexane and 405 nm for other solvents).


Figure S23. (a) Photoluminescence spectra and (b) photoluminescence decay profiles of PTz-BTA-COF dispersed in different organic solvents (excitation: 470 nm for each solvent).


Figure S24. (a) Photoluminescence spectra and (b) photoluminescence decay profiles of PTz-Py-COF dispersed in different organic solvents (excitation: 365 nm for hexane and 405 nm for other solvents).


Figure S25. (a) Photoluminescence spectra and (b) photoluminescence decay profiles of OPTz-BTA-COF dispersed in different organic solvents (excitation: 405 nm for each solvent).


Figure S26. Photostability of the COFs. (a) Photoluminescence spectra after irradiation with a white-light Xe lamp ( 300 W ) for 3 h in air. (b) PLQYs of the COFs before and after irradiation with a white-light Xe lamp ( 300 W ) for 3 h in air.







Figure S27. Synthesis of TPB-1P-COF, Py-BTA-COF, and Py-1P-COF.


Figure S28. Integrated photoluminescence intensity as a function of temperature from 80 to 300 K of (a) Py-BTA-COF and (b) Py-1P-COF. The red curve represents the fitting by using the Arrhenius equation. The inset is the temperature-dependent photoluminescence spectra for each COF.


Figure S29. Integrated photoluminescence intensity as a function of temperature from 80 to 300 K of (a) PTz-TPB-CMP, (b) PTz-BTA-CMP, and (c) PTz-Py-CMP. The red curve represents the fitting by using the Arrhenius equation. The inset is the temperature-dependent photoluminescence spectra for each polymer.


Figure S30. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 1 in Table 1.


Figure S31. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 2 in Table 1.


Figure S32. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 3 in Table 1.


Figure S33. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 4 in Table 1.


Figure S34. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 5 in Table 1.


Figure S35. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 6 in Table 1.



|  | Mn | $\mathrm{M} v$ | MP | Mz | $\mathrm{Mz}+1$ | Mv | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 301365 | 471085 | 617997 | 637055 | 758937 |  | 1.563170 |

Figure S36. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 7 in Table 1.


Figure S37. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 8 in Table 1.


Figure S38. FT-IR spectra of PTz-TPB-COF before and after the polymerization reaction.


Figure S39. FT-IR spectra of PTz-BTA-COF before and after the polymerization reaction.


Figure S40. FT-IR spectra of PTz-Py-COF before and after the polymerization reaction.


Figure S41. FT-IR spectra of OPTz-BTA-COF before and after the polymerization reaction.


Figure S42. PXRD patterns of (a) PTz-TPB-COF, (b) PTz-BTA-COF, (c) PTz-Py-COF, and (d) OPTz-BTA-COF before and after the photocatalytic polymerization reactions.


Figure S43. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 9 in Table 1.


Figure S44. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 10 in Table 1.


Figure S45. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 11 in Table 1.


Figure S46. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 12 in Table 1.


Figure S47. GPC profile for the PMMA product after the polymerization reaction in a condition of Entry 13 in Table 1.

Supporting Tables
Table S1. Screening of conditions for COF synthesis.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Reactant } 1 \\ & (15.35 \mathrm{mg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Reactant 2 } \\ & (13.36 \mathrm{mg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Acid } \\ (0.1 \mathrm{~mL}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Solvent } \\ (1 \mathrm{~mL}) \end{gathered}$ | Ratio | Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (d) | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o$-DCB/ $n$ - BuOH | 1/9 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/4 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 3/7 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 2/3 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 3/2 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 7/3 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 4/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o$-DCB | - | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/9 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/4 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 3/7 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 2/3 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/1 | 120 | 7 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 3/2 | 120 | 7 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 7/3 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 4/1 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 9/1 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | $o$-DCB | - | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | mesitylene | - | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/9 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/4 | 120 | 3 | Highly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 3/7 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 2/3 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 3/2 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 7/3 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 4/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane | - | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | mesitylene | - | 120 | 7 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/9 | 120 | 7 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/4 | 120 | 7 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 3/7 | 120 | 7 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | $2 / 3$ | 120 | 7 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/1 | 120 | 7 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 3/2 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 7/3 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 4/1 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 9/1 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPB | 6 M AcOH | dioxane | - | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Reactant } 1 \\ & (15.35 \mathrm{mg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Reactant } 2 \\ & (7.42 \mathrm{mg}) \end{aligned}$ | Base | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Solvent } \\ (1 \mathrm{~mL}) \end{gathered}$ | Ratio | Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (d) | Result |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $o$-DCB | - | 120 | 3 | No product |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $o$-DCB/DMA | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $n$ - BuOH | - | 120 | 3 | Highly crystalline |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | DMA | - | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | dioxane | - | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | dioxane/DMA | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |


| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | mesitylene/DMA | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $n$ - $\mathrm{BuOH} / o-\mathrm{DCB}$ | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $n-\mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{DMA}$ | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $n$ - $\mathrm{BuOH} /$ dioxane | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $n-\mathrm{BuOH} /$ mesitylene | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $n-\mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | 0.1 mL 4 M KOH | $n$-BuOH/NMP | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | $36 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | dioxane | - | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | $36 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | $36 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | mesitylene | - | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | $36 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $o$-DCB | - | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | $36 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | BTTA | $36 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cs} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | - | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Reactant } 1 \\ & (15.08 \mathrm{mg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Reactant 2 } \\ & (15.87 \mathrm{mg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Acid } \\ (0.1 \mathrm{~mL}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Solvent } \\ (1 \mathrm{~mL}) \end{gathered}$ | Ratio | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> (d) | Result |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/9 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/4 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 3/7 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 2/3 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 3/2 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 7/3 | 120 | 3 | Highly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 4/1 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o$-DCB | - | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/9 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/4 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 3/7 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 2/3 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 1/1 | 120 | 7 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 3/2 | 120 | 7 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 7/3 | 120 | 7 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 4/1 | 120 | 7 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o-\mathrm{DCB} / n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ | 9/1 | 120 | 7 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | $o$-DCB | - | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | mesitylene | - | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/9 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/4 | 120 | 3 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 3/7 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 2/3 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/1 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 3/2 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 7/3 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 4/1 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 9/1 | 120 | 3 | Poorly crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane | - | 120 | 3 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | mesitylene | - | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/9 | 120 | 7 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/4 | 120 | 7 | Crystalline |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 3/7 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 2/3 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 1/1 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 3/2 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 7/3 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 4/1 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane/mesitylene | 9/1 | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |
| MPTz | TAPP | 6 M AcOH | dioxane | - | 120 | 7 | Amorphous |

Table S2. Peak assignments of FT-IR spectra for monomers, PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF.

| structure | peak ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPTz | 2900 (w) | C-H asymmetric stretching vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 2831 (w) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ symmetric stretching vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 2810 (w), 2728 (w) | C-H stretching vibration of CHO group |
|  | 1679 (s) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration of CHO group |
|  | $1604(\mathrm{~m}), 1570(\mathrm{~s}), 1466$ <br> (s), 1414 (m) | skeleton vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 1437 (w) | C-H asymmetric deformation vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 1376 (m) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ symmetric deformation vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 1334 (s) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ asymmetric stretching vibration |
|  | 1259 (s) | C-N symmetrical stretching vibration |
|  | 1199 (s) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ stretching vibration between benzene ring and CHO group |
|  | 1104 (m) | C-S stretching vibration |
|  | $1132 \text { (m), } 1052 \text { (w), } 1011$ <br> (w) | C-H in-plane bending vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 894 (m), 818 (s) | C-H out-of-plane bending vibration of benzene ring |
| TAPB | 3434 (m) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ asymmetric stretching vibration of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 3355 (s) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ symmetric stretching vibration of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 3209 (w) | overtone for deformation vibration of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 3053 (w), 3029 (w) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 1621 (s) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ deformation vibration of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1607 \text { (s), } 1515 \text { (s), } 1448 \\ \text { (w), } 1406 \text { (w) } \end{gathered}$ | skeleton vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 1280 (s), 1241 (w) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration |
|  | $1128 \text { (w), } 1066 \text { (w), } 1012$ <br> (w) | C-H in-plane bending vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 872 (w), 829 (s), 707 (w) | C-H out-of-plane bending vibration of benzene ring |
| BTTA | 3042 (w) | C-H stretching vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 2948 (m) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ asymmetric stretching vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 2919 (m) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ symmetric stretching vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 2252 (s) | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration of cyano group |
|  | 1609 (s), 1467 (s) | skeleton vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 1414 (s) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ deformation vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group |
|  | $1287 \text { (w), } 1209 \text { (w), } 1166$ <br> (w) | C-H out-of-plane wagging vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 830 (s), 686 (s) | C-H out-of-plane bending vibration of benzene ring |
| TAPP | 3421 (w) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ asymmetric stretching vibration of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 3345 (m) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ symmetric stretching vibration of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 3216 (w) | overtone for deformation vibration of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 3055 (w), 3025 (w) | C-H stretching vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 1618 (s) | N -H deformation vibration of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group |
|  | 1608 (s), 1519 (s), 1494 (s), | skeleton vibration of benzene ring |


|  | $1458 \text { (m), } 1425 \text { (w), } 1382$ <br> (w) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1305 (m), 1279 (s) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration |
|  | $1126 \text { (w), } 1078 \text { (w), } 1003$ <br> (w) | C-H in-plane bending vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 834 (s) | C-H out-of-plane bending vibration of benzene ring |
| PTz-TPB-COF | 3024 (w) | C-H stretching vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 2869 (w) | C-H stretching vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 1683 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1593 \text { (s), } 1578 \text { (s), } 1504 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}), 1468(\mathrm{~s}), 1397(\mathrm{w}) \end{aligned}$ | skeleton vibration of benzene ring |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1334(\mathrm{~s}), 1281(\mathrm{~m}), 1259 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | C-N stretching vibration |
|  | 1105 (w) | C-S stretching vibration |
| PTz-BTA-COF | 2211 (w) | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration of cyano group |
|  | 1668 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretching vibration |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1594 \text { (m), } 1574 \text { (s), } 1472 \\ \text { (s), } 1399 \text { (w) } \end{gathered}$ | skeleton vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 1340 (m), 1264 (w) | C-N stretching vibration |
|  | 1109 (w) | C-S stretching vibration |
| PTz-Py-COF | 3054 (w), 3025 (w) | C-H stretching vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 2882 (w), 2817 (w) | C-H stretching vibration of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 1684 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration |
|  | 1599 (w), 1577 (m), 1547 |  |
|  | (w), 1507 (w), 1469 (s), | skeleton vibration of benzene ring |
|  | $1399 \text { (m) }$ |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1335(\mathrm{~s}), 1281(\mathrm{~m}), 1261 \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | C-N stretching vibration |
|  | 1105 (w) | C-S stretching vibration |
| OPTz-BTA-COF | 2214 (w) | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ stretching vibration of cyano group |
|  | 1669 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ stretching vibration |
|  | 1591 (s), 1479 (s), 1415 (w) | skeleton vibration of benzene ring |
|  | 1347 (m) | C-N stretching vibration |
|  | 1291 (w) | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ asymmetric stretching vibration |
|  | 1149 (w) | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ symmetric stretching vibration |

Table S3. Peak assignments of solid-state ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra for PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTACOF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF.

| structure | chemical shift (ppm) | assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTz-TPB-COF | 35 | C of - $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 115, 128, 131 | other C of benzene ring |
|  | 141 | C of benzene ring connecting another benzene ring |
|  | 148 | C of benzene ring connecting N |
|  | 158 | C of $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ group |
| PTz-BTA-COF | 35 | C of - $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 106 | C of $-\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{R})=$ group |
|  | 109 | C of - CN group |
|  | 115, 128, 135 | other C of benzene ring |
|  | 143 | C of benzene ring connecting N |
|  | 145 | C of $-\mathrm{CH}=$ group |
| PTz-Py-COF | 35 | C of - $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 115, 126, 132 | other C of benzene ring |
|  | 136 | C of benzene ring connecting pyrene |
|  | 147 | C of benzene ring connecting N |
|  | 155 | C of - $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ group |
| OPTz-BTA-COF | 36 | C of - $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group |
|  | 106 | C of $-\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{R})=$ group |
|  | 109 | C of -CN group |
|  | 119, 128, 135 | other C of benzene ring |
|  | 137 | C of benzene ring connecting N |
|  | 140 | C of $-\mathrm{CH}=$ group |

Table S4. Elemental analysis results of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF.

| COF |  | $\mathrm{C} \%$ | $\mathrm{H} \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} \%$ | $\mathrm{~S} \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTz-TPB-COF | Calcd. | 79.63 | 4.53 | 8.99 | 6.86 |
|  | Found | 77.85 | 3.34 | 9.02 | 7.11 |
| PTz-BTA-COF | Calcd. | 76.01 | 3.61 | 11.56 | 8.82 |
|  | Found | 74.91 | 4.43 | 10.01 | 7.45 |
| PTz-Py-COF | Calcd. | 81.37 | 4.29 | 8.13 | 6.21 |
|  | Found | 78.45 | 3.64 | 9.86 | 6.93 |
| OPTz-BTA-COF | Calcd. | 69.86 | 3.31 | 10.63 | 8.11 |
|  | Found | 68.13 | 4.14 | 9.52 | 7.25 |

Table S5. Atomistic coordinates for the refined unit cell parameters for PTz-TPB-COF optimized via Pawley refinement (Space group: P31M; $a=b=44.6434 \AA, c=3.7300 \AA ; \alpha=$ $\left.\beta=90^{\circ}, \gamma=120^{\circ}\right)$.

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.70117 | 0.33963 | 0.48651 |
| C | 0.67284 | 0.30565 | 0.48735 |
| C | 0.73744 | 0.34621 | 0.47571 |
| C | 0.61250 | 0.23543 | 0.32740 |
| C | 0.58490 | 0.20166 | 0.30927 |
| C | 0.55179 | 0.19353 | 0.43595 |
| C | 0.57560 | 0.25401 | 0.60457 |
| N | 0.52235 | 0.16051 | 0.39372 |
| H | 0.63715 | 0.24069 | 0.21299 |
| H | 0.58880 | 0.18190 | 0.18083 |
| C | 0.03597 | 0.46720 | 0.24027 |
| C | 0.06801 | 0.49537 | 0.33430 |
| C | 0.09815 | 0.49262 | 0.34890 |
| C | 0.09459 | 0.46006 | 0.27572 |
| H | 0.33970 | 0.88282 | 0.28705 |
| C | 0.54765 | 0.22052 | 0.58020 |
| C | 0.52308 | 0.13200 | 0.42914 |
| H | 0.52251 | 0.21478 | 0.68381 |
| H | 0.57140 | 0.27368 | 0.72998 |
| H | 0.54668 | 0.13155 | 0.51973 |
| C | 0.56571 | 0.59802 | 0.16694 |
| H | 0.47979 | 0.54964 | 0.38919 |
| C | 0.43158 | 0.06252 | 0.19247 |
| H | 0.59299 | 0.65443 | 0.14682 |
| H | 0.67766 | 0.28405 | 0.48601 |
| H | 0.64002 | 0.61735 | -0.24935 |
| H | 0.64306 | 0.64306 | 0.12871 |
| C | 0.62520 | 0.62520 | -0.07833 |
| S | 0.47435 | 0.00000 | 0.19934 |
| N | 0.40512 | 0.00000 | 0.07286 |

Table S6. Atomistic coordinates for the AB-stacking mode of PTz-TPB-COF optimized by using DFTB+ method (Space group: P31C; $a=b=43.5281 \AA, c=6.6567 \AA ; \alpha=\beta=90^{\circ}, \gamma$ $=120^{\circ}$ ).

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.03517 | 1.00543 | 0.73554 |
| C | 0.00530 | 0.97098 | 0.73673 |
| C | 0.07200 | 1.01107 | 0.71999 |
| C | -0.05681 | 0.89776 | 0.70878 |
| C | -0.08542 | 0.86378 | 0.67623 |
| C | -0.12013 | 0.85828 | 0.65159 |
| C | -0.09610 | 0.92172 | 0.70398 |
| N | -0.14873 | 0.82617 | 0.58223 |
| H | -0.03066 | 0.90035 | 0.72213 |
| H | -0.08042 | 0.84172 | 0.66051 |
| C | -0.63166 | 1.12689 | 0.25746 |
| C | -0.59572 | 1.15272 | 0.26431 |
| C | -0.57119 | 1.15349 | 0.12215 |
| C | -0.58392 | 1.12606 | -0.02157 |
| H | -0.30894 | 1.56577 | -0.13132 |
| C | -0.12480 | 0.88807 | 0.66865 |
| C | -0.15429 | 0.79461 | 0.62204 |
| H | -0.15129 | 0.88453 | 0.65321 |
| H | -0.10153 | 0.94352 | 0.71405 |
| H | -0.13869 | 0.78931 | 0.73583 |
| C | -0.09969 | 1.25554 | 0.10898 |
| H | -0.17340 | 1.23994 | 0.37856 |
| C | -0.22517 | 0.74006 | 0.23795 |
| H | -0.07873 | 1.29372 | -0.13761 |
| H | 0.00944 | 0.94834 | 0.73530 |
| H | -0.04597 | 1.23304 | -0.12665 |
| C | -0.32712 | 1.36848 | -0.03721 |
| C | -0.36199 | 1.33950 | -0.03731 |
| C | -0.32034 | 1.40594 | -0.03443 |
| C | -0.42968 | 1.26869 | -0.19194 |
| C | -0.46447 | 1.24034 | -0.18915 |
| C | -0.47707 | 1.21707 | -0.02304 |
| C | -0.41823 | 1.24948 | 0.12682 |
| N | -0.51260 | 1.18857 | -0.02475 |
| H | -0.42065 | 1.28684 | -0.31949 |
| H | -0.48241 | 1.23680 | -0.31248 |
| C | -0.20683 | 0.70194 | 0.39878 |
| C | -0.18493 | 0.72980 | 0.52756 |
| C | -0.18128 | 0.76383 | 0.50799 |
| C | -0.20291 | 0.76783 | 0.36482 |
| H | 0.20677 | 1.00489 | 0.35108 |
| C | -0.45337 | 1.22179 | 0.13466 |
| C | -0.53408 | 1.18279 | 0.12391 |


| H | -0.46231 | 1.20358 | 0.26186 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| H | -0.40030 | 1.25273 | 0.24983 |
| H | -0.52598 | 1.20069 | 0.25718 |
| C | -0.06683 | 1.22622 | 0.24230 |
| H | -0.10511 | 1.16950 | 0.64127 |
| C | -0.61955 | 1.09959 | -0.02580 |
| H | -0.01383 | 1.24149 | 0.13224 |
| H | -0.38429 | 1.34429 | -0.03675 |
| H | -0.06940 | 1.25273 | -0.22348 |
| H | -0.02881 | 1.27927 | -0.09421 |
| C | -0.05334 | 1.25318 | -0.09599 |
| S | -0.20877 | 0.66020 | 0.43720 |
| N | -0.24509 | 0.68120 | 0.09198 |

Table S7. Atomistic coordinates for the refined unit cell parameters for PTz-BTA-COF optimized via Pawley refinement (Space group: P31M; $a=b=30.3605 \AA, c=3.5701 \AA ; \alpha=$ $\left.\beta=90^{\circ}, \gamma=120^{\circ}\right)$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x} / \boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{y} / \boldsymbol{b}$ | $\boldsymbol{z} \boldsymbol{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.51142 | 0.14512 | 0.40457 |
| C | 0.46270 | 0.13912 | 0.35375 |
| C | 0.41910 | 0.09150 | 0.38280 |
| C | 0.42159 | 0.04742 | 0.47032 |
| C | 0.47073 | 0.05305 | 0.50541 |
| C | 0.51429 | 0.10061 | 0.46745 |
| C | 0.36496 | 0.80591 | 0.39232 |
| C | 0.32616 | 0.75874 | 0.48773 |
| C | 0.27827 | 0.75152 | 0.62535 |
| C | 0.33040 | 0.71183 | 0.47522 |
| C | 0.28594 | 0.66378 | 0.47552 |
| N | 0.74440 | 0.24037 | 0.75149 |
| H | 0.45823 | 0.17130 | 0.28042 |
| H | 0.38265 | 0.08914 | 0.33472 |
| H | 0.55137 | 0.10377 | 0.50242 |
| H | 0.40078 | 0.80868 | 0.30880 |
| H | 0.24927 | 0.66195 | 0.48047 |
| H | 0.69520 | 0.66173 | 0.77757 |
| H | 0.37650 | 0.00000 | 0.51999 |
| S | 0.47885 | 0.00000 | 0.61573 |
|  | 0.69264 | 0.32964 | 0.61360 |
|  |  |  | 0.37523 |

Table S8. Atomistic coordinates for the AB-stacking mode of PTz-BTA-COF optimized by using DFTB+ method (Space group: P31C; $a=b=29.1861 \AA, c=7.1390 \AA ; \alpha=\beta=90^{\circ}, \gamma$ $=120^{\circ}$ ).

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.82312 | 0.81597 | 0.11023 |
| C | 0.77279 | 0.81136 | 0.12781 |
| C | 0.72814 | 0.76316 | 0.17375 |
| C | 0.73089 | 0.71689 | 0.21254 |
| C | 0.78172 | 0.72176 | 0.19352 |
| C | 0.82566 | 0.76928 | 0.13944 |
| C | 0.67841 | 1.46811 | 0.32485 |
| C | 0.64472 | 1.42060 | 0.40784 |
| C | 0.59637 | 1.41061 | 0.49966 |
| C | 0.65635 | 1.37600 | 0.41102 |
| C | 0.61442 | 1.32320 | 0.41224 |
| N | 1.05124 | 0.87564 | 0.18424 |
| H | 0.76727 | 0.84494 | 0.10108 |
| H | 0.69058 | 0.76250 | 0.17832 |
| H | 0.86371 | 0.77103 | 0.12713 |
| H | 0.71452 | 1.47164 | 0.26508 |
| H | 0.57392 | 1.31573 | 0.41511 |
| H | 1.06278 | 1.35502 | 0.36732 |
| C | 0.48281 | 1.15909 | 0.30895 |
| C | 0.47810 | 1.10854 | 0.30891 |
| C | 0.42884 | 1.06258 | 0.29017 |
| C | 0.38081 | 1.06371 | 0.27684 |
| C | 0.38604 | 1.11496 | 0.27201 |
| C | 0.43545 | 1.16066 | 0.28437 |
| C | 1.13623 | 1.00981 | 0.07072 |
| C | 1.08347 | 0.97299 | 0.08560 |
| C | 1.06591 | 0.91870 | 0.13730 |
| C | 1.04111 | 0.98730 | 0.06705 |
| C | 0.98759 | 0.94711 | 0.06623 |
| N | 0.55821 | 1.40170 | 0.57878 |
| H | 0.51299 | 1.10428 | 0.31788 |
| H | 0.42872 | 1.02529 | 0.28458 |
| H | 0.43731 | 1.19888 | 0.28022 |
| H | 1.14542 | 1.04988 | 0.03518 |
| H | 0.97857 | 0.90616 | 0.07008 |
| H | 1.02849 | 1.38916 | 0.40134 |
| N | 0.68520 | 0.66892 | 0.26744 |
| S | 0.79426 | 0.66939 | 0.25115 |
| C | 0.32970 | 0.96597 | 0.30299 |
| H | 1.05191 | 1.38682 | 0.17476 |

Table S9. Atomistic coordinates for the refined unit cell parameters for PTz-Py-COF optimized via Pawley refinement (Space group: CMM2; $a=37.4096 \AA, b=23.3808 \AA, c=$ $3.7389 \AA$ A $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}$ ).

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.48179 | 0.10493 | 0.84897 |
| C | 0.46134 | 0.05360 | 0.77403 |
| C | 0.42351 | 0.05367 | 0.71477 |
| C | 0.40238 | 0.10854 | 0.68020 |
| C | 0.41709 | 0.15840 | 0.51575 |
| C | 0.39888 | 0.21069 | 0.50371 |
| C | 0.36373 | 0.21517 | 0.63733 |
| C | 0.34783 | 0.16519 | 0.78865 |
| C | 0.36706 | 0.11346 | 0.81242 |
| N | 0.34746 | 0.72975 | 0.62073 |
| C | 0.26128 | 0.65842 | 0.44001 |
| C | 0.29840 | 0.66460 | 0.48151 |
| C | 0.31943 | 0.61395 | 0.46171 |
| C | 0.30404 | 0.56021 | 0.38930 |
| C | 0.26648 | 0.55400 | 0.34462 |
| C | 0.24548 | 0.60461 | 0.38065 |
| C | 0.31464 | 0.72224 | 0.52130 |
| H | 0.46845 | 0.14533 | 0.91499 |
| H | 0.44321 | 0.15654 | 0.38909 |
| H | 0.41121 | 0.24805 | 0.37565 |
| H | 0.32101 | 0.16723 | 0.90254 |
| H | 0.35453 | 0.07682 | 0.94821 |
| H | 0.24411 | 0.69627 | 0.45821 |
| H | 0.34827 | 0.61738 | 0.49090 |
| H | 0.21652 | 0.60298 | 0.36028 |
| H | 0.20912 | 0.46203 | -0.04518 |
| H | 0.29736 | 0.75942 | 0.44290 |
| C | 0.48066 | 0.00000 | 0.77597 |
| C | 0.40612 | 0.00000 | 0.67673 |
| H | 0.37778 | 0.00000 | 0.60820 |
| N | 0.25026 | 0.50000 | 0.26477 |
| C | 0.21363 | 0.50000 | 0.12424 |
| S | 0.33368 | 0.50000 | 0.33274 |
| H | 0.19285 | 0.50000 | 0.33541 |

Table S10. Atomistic coordinates for the AB-stacking mode of PTz-Py-COF optimized by using DFTB+ method (Space group: FMM2; $a=36.4595 \AA, b=23.5469 \AA, c=7.0923 \AA ; \alpha$ $=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}$ ).

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.48126 | 0.60381 | 0.27609 |
| C | 0.46049 | 0.55273 | 0.24095 |
| C | 0.42156 | 0.55242 | 0.21648 |
| C | 0.40000 | 0.60654 | 0.20824 |
| C | 0.41108 | 0.65271 | 0.09400 |
| C | 0.39376 | 0.70526 | 0.10654 |
| C | 0.36304 | 0.71306 | 0.22529 |
| C | 0.35030 | 0.66585 | 0.33008 |
| C | 0.36872 | 0.61403 | 0.32297 |
| N | 0.34869 | 1.23145 | 0.24408 |
| C | 0.26335 | 1.15633 | 0.36879 |
| C | 0.30069 | 1.16371 | 0.32434 |
| C | 0.32230 | 1.11410 | 0.30195 |
| C | 0.30655 | 1.06000 | 0.30764 |
| C | 0.26804 | 1.05309 | 0.33465 |
| C | 0.24741 | 1.10264 | 0.37438 |
| C | 0.31525 | 1.22134 | 0.29786 |
| H | 0.46701 | 0.64340 | 0.30710 |
| H | 0.43397 | 0.64776 | -0.00354 |
| H | 0.40394 | 0.74077 | 0.02342 |
| H | 0.32701 | 0.67017 | 0.42481 |
| H | 0.35937 | 0.57946 | 0.41357 |
| H | 0.24616 | 1.19322 | 0.39751 |
| H | 0.35163 | 1.11846 | 0.27634 |
| H | 0.21853 | 1.09968 | 0.41029 |
| H | 0.20163 | 0.96277 | 0.21207 |
| H | 0.29490 | 1.25603 | 0.32048 |
| C | 0.48021 | 0.50000 | 0.24153 |
| C | 0.40329 | 0.50000 | 0.20220 |
| H | 0.37376 | 0.50000 | 0.17674 |
| N | 0.25004 | 1.00000 | 0.32204 |
| C | 0.21008 | 1.00000 | 0.29304 |
| S | 0.33650 | 1.00000 | 0.27396 |
| H | 0.19438 | 1.00000 | 0.42571 |

Table S11. PLQY and lifetime of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-BTA-COF powders in air.

|  | $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{n m})$ | PLQY <br> $(\%)$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\mathbf{a}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{3}(\mathbf{n s})$ | $\mathbf{a}_{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text {aver }}(\mathbf{n s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PTz-TPB- <br> COF | 582 | 1.1 | 1.19 | 5550.98 | 3.20 | 910.10 | - | - | 1.81 |
| PTz-BTA- <br> COF | 660 | 6.7 | 1.18 | 535.87 | 2.59 | 508.95 | - | - | 2.13 |
| PTz-Py- <br> COF | 566 | 1.4 | 1.06 | 5191.42 | 2.73 | 892.13 | - | - | 1.57 |
| OPTz-BTA- <br> COF | 526 | 1.2 | $6.50 \times$ | 4070.08 | 0.44 | 5159.69 | 1.90 | 440.23 | 0.83 |

Table S12. PLQY and lifetimes of PTz-TPB-COF, PTz-BTA-COF, PTz-Py-COF, and OPTz-
BTA-COF dispersed in different organic solvents.

|  | solvents | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\mathrm{em}} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PLQY } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\tau_{1}(\mathrm{~ns})$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\tau_{2}$ <br> (ns) | $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | $\tau_{3}$ <br> (ns) | $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \tau_{\text {aver }} \\ & (\mathrm{ns}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PTz-TPB- } \\ \text { COF } \end{gathered}$ | hexane | 488 | 1.0 | 4.72 | 374.56 | 9.40 | 4790.14 | - | - | 9.22 |
|  | tetrahydrofuran | 526 | 15.6 | 0.93 | 5064.44 | 8.76 | 1265.04 | - | - | 6.41 |
|  | acetone | 528 | 15.2 | 1.27 | 3550.42 | 8.13 | 2233.04 | - | - | 6.77 |
|  | dichloromethane | 531 | 17.6 | 1.45 | 4250.55 | 10.05 | 1685.89 | - | - | 7.76 |
|  | acetonitrile | 535 | 11.4 | 1.60 | 2890.76 | 8.29 | 2721.42 | - | - | 7.15 |
|  | methanol | 569 | 2.9 | 0.88 | 4341.75 | 1.69 | 1969.91 | - | - | 1.26 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PTz-BTA- } \\ \text { COF } \end{gathered}$ | hexane | 654 | 7.8 | 1.63 | 803.97 | 2.93 | 325.78 | - | - | 2.18 |
|  | tetrahydrofuran | 650 | 6.3 | 1.35 | 833.15 | 2.81 | 346.30 | - | - | 2.03 |
|  | acetone | 648 | 6.6 | 1.30 | 1397.04 | 2.65 | 592.56 | - | - | 1.93 |
|  | dichloromethane | 650 | 6.8 | 1.03 | 547.15 | 2.46 | 487.20 | - | - | 2.00 |
|  | acetonitrile | 648 | 5.9 | 1.40 | 986.81 | 3.18 | 205.71 | - |  | 1.97 |
|  | methanol | 653 | 5.8 | 1.40 | 946.37 | 3.12 | 183.93 | - | - | 1.92 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PTz-Py- } \\ \text { COF } \end{gathered}$ | hexane | 491 | 0.3 | 3.97 | 127.88 | 9.32 | 5098.79 | - | - | 9.26 |
|  | tetrahydrofuran | 510 | 11.2 | 0.63 | 2941.44 | 1.97 | 2258.54 | 8.49 | 932.07 | 5.43 |
|  | acetone | 526 | 5.9 | $\begin{gathered} 1.39 \times \\ 10^{-3} \end{gathered}$ | 3747.05 | 0.48 | 4236.35 | 8.44 | 2014.60 | 7.58 |
|  | dichloromethane | 530 | 14.1 | 0.59 | 3152.61 | 2.14 | 1536.17 | 10.64 | 1481.76 | 8.41 |
|  | acetonitrile | 537 | 4.4 | $\begin{gathered} 4.96 \times \\ 10^{-4} \end{gathered}$ | 3455.58 | 0.57 | 5368.37 | 8.07 | 1174.05 | 6.25 |
|  | methanol | 484 | 3.9 | 2.89 | 5629.68 | - | - | - | - | 2.89 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { OPTz-BTA- } \\ \text { COF } \end{gathered}$ | hexane | 514 | 3.5 | 0.37 | 5547.77 | 1.26 | 383.36 | 5.30 | 31.01 | 0.83 |
|  | tetrahydrofuran | 510 | 7.7 | 0.43 | 5034.11 | 1.24 | 790.07 | 4.56 | 56.82 | 0.98 |
|  | acetone | 509 | 6.9 | 0.48 | 4810.16 | 1.35 | 837.68 | 3.81 | 106.87 | 1.09 |
|  | dichloromethane | 508 | 5.2 | 0.45 | 5633.86 | 1.38 | 591.64 | 5.29 | 55.60 | 1.05 |
|  | acetonitrile | 510 | 3.8 | 0.38 | 4850.80 | 1.02 | 760.01 | 3.00 | 100.38 | 0.82 |
|  | methanol | 504 | 4.6 | 0.02 | 3952.06 | 0.42 | 4538.06 | 1.73 | 395.91 | 0.75 |

Table S13. Polymerization performance metrics of the reported photocatalytic materials.

| No | Photocatalyst (PC) | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{M}]:[\text { initiator }]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ (\mathrm{mol} \%) \end{gathered}$ | Light | Time <br> (hour) | Conversion <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mw} \\ & (\mathrm{kDa}) \end{aligned}$ | Đ <br> (Mw/Mn) | ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\operatorname{eosin} \mathrm{Y}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MMA}]:[\mathrm{CPADB}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 10000: 0: 1 \end{gathered}$ | Blue LEDs | 24 | 5.0 | 950.0 | 3.80 | S8 |
| 2 | TTT-BTDA-COF | $\begin{gathered} \text { [MMA]:[TEA] } \\ 9.39: 0.35 \end{gathered}$ | $420-700 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 12 | 54.0 | 710.4 | 2.92 | S9 |
| 3 | OPTz-BTA-COF | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MMA}]:[\mathrm{EBP}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 1000: 10: 1 \end{gathered}$ | Xe lamp | 9 | 29.0 | 471.1 | 1.56 | This <br> work |
| 4 | perylene | $\begin{gathered} \text { [MMA]:[EBP]:[PC] } \\ 875000: 9000: 1 \end{gathered}$ | White LEDs | 24 | 28.3 | 429.0 | 1.57 | S10 |
| 5 | TX-CMP | $\begin{gathered} \text { [MMA]:[TEA] } \\ 3.13: 0.023 \end{gathered}$ | Visible light | 4 | 15.0 | 343.4 | 1.70 | S11 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{RbTe}_{1.5} \mathrm{~W}_{0.5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | [water]:[MMA]:[pectin] 70:25:5 | White LEDs | 5 | 10.0 | 315.0 | 2.20 | S12 |
| 7 | FL | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MMA}]:[\mathrm{EBPA}]:[\mathrm{PC}]:\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]} \\ 1000: 5: 1: 30 \end{gathered}$ | Yellow LEDs | 22 | 18.6 | 281.0 | 1.57 | S13 |
| 8 | P25 $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | $1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ | 365 nm | 5 | 84.4 | 234.0 | 2.70 | S14 |
| 9 | mpg- $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | [MMA]:[N,N-dimethylaniline] 9.35:0.256 | $>420 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 2 | 10.0 | 216.6 | 2.38 | S15 |
| 10 | PhPTZ | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MMA}]:[\mathrm{BiBSiOEt}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 5000: 10: 1 \end{gathered}$ | 365 nm | 1 | 6.4 | 213.9 | 1.91 | S16 |
| 11 | TT-TPE | $\begin{gathered} \text { [MMA]:[EBI]:[PC] } \\ 800: 2: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 16 | 6.1 | 201.8 | 1.68 | S17 |
| 12 | nano- $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | Adding hole scavenger | 365 nm | 5 | 35.1 | 171.4 | 3.28 | S18 |
| 13 | dimethyl-dihydroacridine- $3$ | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{BA}]:[\mathrm{DBMM}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 1000: 10: 1 \end{gathered}$ | 365 nm | 1 | 42.0 | 154.8 | 4.93 | S19 |
| 14 | pyrene | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BA}]:[\mathrm{EBP}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 100: 0.25: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 0.67 | 52.2 | 141.2 | 1.32 | S20 |
| 15 | TIPS-AN | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MMA}]:[\mathrm{EBP}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 1000: 10: 1 \end{gathered}$ | 365 nm | 4 | 29.0 | 132.0 | 2.56 | S21 |
| 16 | 5,10-diphenyl-5,10- <br> dihydrophenazines-3 | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MMA}]:[\mathrm{EBP}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 1000: 2: 1 \end{gathered}$ | White LEDs | 8 | 95.2 | 85.5 | 1.54 | S22 |
| 17 | Ph-benzoPTZ | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MMA}]:[\mathrm{EBiB}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 1000: 10: 1 \end{gathered}$ | 392 nm | 24 | 78.0 | 73.3 | 1.60 | S23 |
| 18 | structure of N,Ndiaryl dihydrophenazine | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MMA}]:[\mathrm{DBMM}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 10000: 10: 1 \end{gathered}$ | White LEDs | 8 | 63.5 | 62.8 | 1.36 | S24 |
| 19 | MOF-901 | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MMA}]:[\mathrm{EBP}]:[\mathrm{PC}]} \\ 100: 0.61: 0.034 \end{gathered}$ | Visible light | 18 | 87.0 | 43.0 | 1.60 | S25 |
| 20 | PTZ-CMP, $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{MA}]:[\mathrm{EBiB}]:\left[\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}\right]:[\mathrm{PMDETA}]} \\ 1000: 10: 1 \end{gathered}$ | Green LEDs | 4 | 94.0 | 41.6 | 2.06 | S26 |
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