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5-(4,4,5,5-Tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2,2'-bipyridine (5Bpy-B(OR)₂).

In a 100 mL three necked flask were placed 5-bromo-2,2'-bipyridine (1.0 g, 4.3 mmol) and dry diethyl ether (50 mL). The solution degassed by freeze-thaw cycles was cooled to −78 °C under Ar atmosphere, and n–BuLi (1.57 M in hexane, 4.1 mL, 6.6 mmol) was slowly added to it. After stirred for 1.5 h at −78 °C, the mixture was added by triisopropylborate (1.5 mL, 6.5 mmol) and was slowly warmed to room temperature. The solution was quenched by adding 2 M HCl aqueous solution (ca. 30 mL) to pH =1 and then the pH was adjusted to pH = 11 by careful addition of 3 M NaOH aqueous solution. The aqueous solution was washed with CHCl₃ (ca. 50 mL×3) and adjusted to pH = 6 by adding HCl aqueous solution, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. Pinacol (580 mg, 4.9 mmol) was added to the residue and refluxed in toluene (250 mL) for overnight. The insoluble material was removed by filtration and the solvent was evaporated to dryness, giving a pale brawn solid (960 mg, 79%). ¹H NMR (300 Hz, CDCl₃) δ/ppm = 9.03 (s, 1H), 8.70 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.46 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (td, J = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (ddd, J = 7.8, 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 12H).

5-(4-Iodophenyl)-15-(1-methylimidazol-2-yl)-10,20-bis(3-allyloxypropyl)porphyrin (ImMe-H₂P-PhI).

In a three-necked 1 L flask were placed 1-methyl-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde (220 mg, 2.0 mmol), 4-iodobenzaldehyde (470 mg, 2.0 mmol), and meso-(3-allyloxypropyl)dipyrromethane (990 mg, 4.1 mmol), and CHCl₃ (500 mL). The mixture was degassed by bubbling with Ar gas for 15 min and then trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 0.47 mL, 6.1 mmol) in CHCl₃ (ca. 2 mL) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature in dark and the reaction progress was monitored with silica gel TLC. After being stirred for 3 h, TFA (0.15 mL, 2.0 mmol) was further
added because the starting materials remained. After stirred for overnight, the mixture was added by triethylamine (1.1 mL, 8.0 mmol) and p-chloranil (2.0 g, 8.2 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for overnight. The resulting mixture was concentrated and passed through a Celite column. The solvent of the filtrate was evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified with an alumina column (eluent: CHCl₃/acetone = 10/1) and a silica gel column (eluent: CHCl₃/acetone = 10/1 → 2/1). The collected solution was evaporated to dryness, giving a purple solid (250 mg, 16% yield). TLC (silica gel, CHCl₃/acetone = 10/1) Rₚ = 0.2; MALDI-TOF-mass (dithranol) m/z [M+H]⁺ 789.2458, calcd for [C₄₂H₃₂I₄N₄O₇]⁺ 789.2408; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ/ppm = 9.54 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 9.50 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.85 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.80 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.12 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.97 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.87 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.69 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, Im), 7.48 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, Im), 6.08 (m, 2H, -CH=), 5.42 (dd, J = 17, 1.7 Hz, 2H, =CH₂), 5.27 (dd, J = 10, 1.7 Hz, 2H, =CH₂), 5.11 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), 4.07 (dd, J = 5.5, 1.7 Hz, 4H, -OCH₂-), 3.65 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), 3.40 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.80 (quin, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), -2.71 (s, 2H, NH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ/ppm = 148.81 (C), 143–148 (C, br), 142.02 (C), 135.92 (CH), 135.64 (CH), 134.98 (CH), 131.70 (CH, br), 130.58 (CH, br), 129.20 (CH, br), 128.34 (CH), 127.93 (CH, br), 121.35 (CH), 119.47 (C), 118.98 (C), 116.83 (CH₂), 104.52 (C), 94.09 (C), 71.95 (CH₂), 69.07 (CH₂), 37.77 (CH₂), 34.47 (CH₃), 31.25 (CH₂).

**Zinc(II) 5-(4-iodophenyl)-15-(1-methylimidazol-2-yl)-10,20-bis(3-allyloxypropyl)porphyrin ([ImMe‧ZnP‧PhI]₂).**

Zinc(II) acetate (110 mg, 0.57 mmol) in methanol (ca. 3 mL) was added to a solution of ImMe‧H₂P‧PhI (58 mg, 0.073 mmol) in CHCl₃ (50 mL). The mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The resulting solution was washed with water. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to dryness, affording the titled compound as a purple solid (45
mg, 73% yield). TLC (silica gel, CHCl₃/acetone = 10/1) Rₜ = 0.8; MALDI-TOF-mass (dithranol) m/z [M+H]⁺ 851.1542, calced for [C₄zH₈oIN₈O₂Zn⁺] 851.1543; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ/ppm = 9.64 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 9.01 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.95 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.41 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.27 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.09 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.87 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 6.18 (m, 2H, -CH=), 5.52 (dd, J = 17, 1.8 Hz, 2H, =CH₂), 5.46 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, Im), 5.39 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 5.34 (dd, J = 10, 1.8 Hz, 2H, =CH₂), 5.22 (m, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), 4.22 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 4H, -OCH₂-), 3.91 (m, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), 3.03 (m, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), 2.05 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, Im), 1.61 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ/ppm = 151.24 (C), 150.11 (C), 148.80 (C), 148.15 (C), 146.18 (C), 143.91 (C), 136.59 (CH), 136.41 (CH), 135.61 (CH), 135.44 (CH), 135.42 (CH), 131.83 (CH), 129.53 (CH), 128.73 (CH), 127.28 (CH), 121.46 (CH), 119.78 (C), 119.47 (C), 117.98 (CH), 116.95 (CH₂), 96.42 (C), 93.58 (C), 72.20 (CH₂), 70.18 (CH₂), 38.73 (CH₂), 32.77 (CH₃), 32.19 (CH₂).

**Zinc(II)** 5-{4-(2,2'-bipyrid-5-yl)phenyl}-15-(1-methylimidazol-2-yl)-10,20-bis(3-allyloxy propyl)porphyrin ([Im⁶⁺ZnP-Ph-5Bpy]₂).

In a 20 mL Schlenk tube were placed [Im⁶⁺ZnP-Ph]₂ (45 mg, 0.053 mmol), 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2,2'-bipyridine (45 mg, 0.16 mmol), Cs₂CO₃ (140 mg, 0.43 mmol), toluene (4.0 mL), N,N-dimethylformamide (2.0 mL), and water (250 µL). The mixture degassed by freeze-thaw cycles and replaced with Ar gas was added by Pd(PPh₃)₄ (12 mg, 0.010 mmol) and stirred at 90 °C for 18 h. CHCl₃ (ca. 50 mL) and water (ca. 25 mL) were added to the resulting solution, and the organic layer was washed with water and passed through Phase Separator paper (Whatman). The solvent was evaporated to dryness and the crude residue was purified with a flush silica gel column (eluent: CHCl₃ → CHCl₃/pyridine = 1/1). Further purification by precipitation with CHCl₃ and methanol afforded 39 mg (84% yield) of the titled compound as a dark purple solid. TLC (silica gel, CHCl₃/pyridine = 10/1) Rₜ = 0.5; MALDI-TOF-mass (dithranol) m/z [M+H]⁺ 879.4359, calced for [Cs₂H₈oIN₈O₂Zn⁺] 879.3108; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ/ppm = 9.38 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, bpy 6), 9.12 (d, J =
4.6 Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrole), 8.98 (d, \( J = 4.6 \) Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrole), 8.83 (dd, \( J = 7.7 \) and 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.80 (d, \( J = 5.0 \) Hz, 1H, bpy 6'), 8.69 (d, \( J = 7.9 \) Hz, 1H, bpy 3), 8.59 (d, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 1H, bpy 3'), 8.45 (dd, \( J = 7.9 \), 2.5 Hz, 1H, bpy 4), 8.29 (dd, \( J = 7.8 \), 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.25 (dd, \( J = 7.7 \), 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.07 (dd, \( J = 7.8 \), 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.92 (td, \( J = 7.6 \), 2.0 Hz, 1H, bpy 4'), 7.39 (dd, \( J = 7.6 \), 5.0 Hz, 1H, bpy 5'), 6.19 (m, 2H, \(-CH=\)), 5.53 (d, \( J = 14 \) Hz, 2H, \( =CH_2 \)), 5.51 (1H, Im), 5.43 (d, \( J = 4.6 \) Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrole), 5.34 (d, \( J = 10 \) Hz, 2H, \( =CH_2 \)), 5.25 (m, 4H, \(-CH_2CH_2CH_2-\)), 4.23 (d, \( J = 5.0 \) Hz, 4H, \(-OCH_2-\)), 3.97 (m, 4H, \(-CH_2CH_2CH_2-\)), 3.06 (m, 4H, \(-CH_2CH_2CH_2-\)), 2.15 (d, \( J = 2.0 \) Hz, 1H, Im), 1.66 (s, 3H, CH).\(^3\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta / ppm = \) 156.18 (C), 155.25 (C), 151.25 (C), 150.09 (C), 149.52 (CH), 149.07 (C), 148.17 (C), 148.13 (CH), 146.24 (C), 144.48 (C), 137.23 (CH), 136.72 (C), 136.37 (C), 135.67 (CH), 135.51 (CH), 135.45 (CH), 132.03 (CH), 129.50 (CH), 128.66 (CH), 127.27 (CH), 125.19 (CH), 124.97 (CH), 123.96 (CH), 121.54 (CH), 121.41 (CH), 121.34 (CH), 120.57 (C), 119.42 (C), 117.98 (CH), 116.93 (CH), 96.38 (C), 72.19 (CH), 70.20 (CH), 38.72 (CH), 32.78 (CH), 32.20 (CH).\(^2\)

**Dimer of Zn porphyrin-Re complex dyad (\([\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}-\text{ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re}]_2\).**

In a 20 mL Schlenk tube were \([\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}-\text{ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}]_2\) (11 mg, 0.013 mmol), Re(CO)\(_3\)Br (5.4 mg, 0.013 mmol), and toluene (6.0 mL). The mixture was heated to 90 °C and stirred for 18 h. The resulting precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed with toluene (ca. 5 mL\(\times\)3). The solid was dried in vacuo, giving the titled compound as a purple solid (10 mg, 62% yield). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, DMF-\(d_7\)) \( \delta / ppm = \) 9.86 (d, \( J = 4.0 \) Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrole), 9.31 (d, \( J = 5.5 \) Hz, 1H, bpy), 9.20 (d, \( J = 4.6 \) Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrole), 9.16 (m, 2H, bpy), 9.12 (d, \( J = 4.0 \) Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrole), 9.06 (d, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, 1H, bpy), 9.00 (dd, \( J = 7.5 \), 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.67 (dd, \( J = 7.5 \), 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.56–8.48 (m, 2H, phenylene and bpy), 8.46 (dd, \( J = 7.5 \), 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.94 (dd, \( J = 7.9 \), 5.5 Hz, 1H, bpy), 6.23 (m, 2H, \(-CH=\)), 6.07 (d, \( J = 2.0 \) Hz, 1H, Im), 5.57 (d, \( J = 17 \) Hz, 2H, \( =CH_2 \)), 5.48 (d, \( J = 4.6 \) Hz, 2H, \( \beta \)-pyrrole), 5.27 (m, 4H, \(-CH_2CH_2CH_2-\)), 5.33 (d, \( J = 11 \) Hz, 2H, \( =CH_2 \)), 4.29 (d, \( J = 5.2 \) Hz, 4H, \(-OCH_2-\)), 4.04 (m, 4H, \(-CH_2CH_2CH_2-\)), 3.25–2.96
(m, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), 2.18 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Im), 1.80 (s, 3H, CH₃);¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMF-d₇) δ ppm = 198.09 (CO), 198.00 (CO), 189.90 (CO), 155.93 (C), 154.84 (C), 153.62 (CH), 151.27 (CH), 150.28 (C), 148.80 (C), 148.27 (C), 145.83 (C), 145.81 (C), 140.49 (CH), 139.79 (C), 138.40 (CH), 136.19 (CH), 135.90 (CH), 135.68 (CH), 133.80 (C), 131.77 (CH), 129.96 (CH), 129.14 (CH), 128.36 (C), 127.97 (CH), 127.34 (CH), 125.80 (CH), 125.68 (CH), 124.98 (CH), 124.82 (CH), 121.13 (CH), 120.14 (C), 119.99 (C), 119.14 (CH), 115.78 (CH₂), 97.04 (C), 71.77 (CH₂), 70.09 (CH₂), 39.25 (CH₂), 32.25 (CH₃), 31.94 (CH₂).

5-(4-Tolyl)-15-(1-methylimidazol-2-yl)-10,20-bis(3-allyxypropy)porphyrin (Im³⁺H₂P-PhCH₃).

In a 500 mL three-necked flask were placed 1-methyl-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde (170 mg, 1.5 mmol), p-tolualdehyde (190 mg, 1.5 mmol), meso-(3-allyxypropyldipyrromethane (740 mg, 3.0 mmol), and CHCl₃ (300 mL), and the mixture was degassed by bubbling with Ar gas for 15 min. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 0.34 mL, 4.4 mmol) in CHCl₃ (ca. 2 mL) was slowly added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature in dark. After stirred for 3 h, the mixture was added by triethylamine (0.63 mL, 4.5 mmol) and p-chloranil (1.2 g, 4.7 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for overnight. The resulting mixture was evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified with an alumina column (eluent: CHCl₃/acetone = 10/1) and silica gel columns (eluent: CHCl₃ → CHCl₃/acetone = 10/1). The collected solution was evaporated to dryness, giving a purple solid (120 mg, 12% yield). TLC (silica gel, CHCl₃/acetone = 10/1) Rₖ = 0.3; MALDI-TOF-mass (dithranol) m/z [M+H]⁺ 677.3547, calcd for [C₄₃H₄₅N₇O₇]⁺ 677.3598;¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm = 9.54 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 9.47 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.90 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.79 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.12 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.01 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.69 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, Im), 7.58 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.54 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.48 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, Im), 6.08 (m, 2H, -CH=), 5.41 (dd, J = 17, 1.7 Hz, 2H, =CH₂), 5.26 (dd, J = 10, 1.7 Hz, 2H, =CH₂), 5.11 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), 4.07 (dd, J = 5.5, 1.7 Hz, 4H, -OCCH₂-), 3.65 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), 3.40 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.79 (m, 4H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂-), 2.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), −2.66 (s, 2H, NH).
Zinc(II) 5-(4-tolyl)-15-(1-methylimidazol-2-yl)-10,20-bis(3-allyloxypropy)porphyrin ([ImMe-ZnP-PhCH3]2, D).

Zinc(II) acetate (120 mg, 0.64 mmol) in methanol (ca. 3 mL) was added to a solution of ImMe-H2P-PhCH3 (120 mg, 0.18 mmol) in CHCl3 (100 mL). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The resulting solution was washed with water. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 and evaporated to dryness, affording the titled compound as a purple solid (120 mg, 92% yield). TLC (silica gel, CHCl3/acetone = 10/1) Rf = 0.9; MALDI-TOF-mass (dithranol) m/z [M]+ 738.2666, calcd for [C43H42N6O2Zn]+ 738.2660; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ/ppm = 9.62 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 9.05 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.94 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 8.55 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 8.04 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.74 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 7.57 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H, phenylene), 6.18 (m, 2H, CH2), 5.52 (m, 3H, =CH2 and Im), 5.40 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H, β-pyrrole), 5.33 (dd, J = 11, 1.7 Hz, 2H, =CH2), 5.21 (m, 4H, -CH2CH2CH2-), 4.22 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 4H, -OCH2-), 3.93 (m, 4H, -CH2CH2CH2-), 3.04 (m, 4H, -CH2CH2CH2-), 2.81 (s, 3H, CH3), 2.12 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, Im), 1.65 (s, 3H, CH3).

Covalent linked dimer of Zn porphyrin (C-[ImMe-ZnP-PhCH3]2, D').

In a 200 mL flask were placed [ImMe-ZnP-PhCH3]2 (9.7 mg, 6.0×10^-6 mol), Grubbs catalyst (1st generation, 9.8 mg, 1.2×10^-6 mol), and CHCl3 (100 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under Ar atmosphere. The reaction progress was monitored with UV–vis absorption
spectra in pyridine and MALDI-TOF mass spectra. After being stirred for 3.5 h, the resulting solution was washed with water and subsequently brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$ and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified with a silica gel column (eluens: CHCl$_3$). The collected solution was evaporated to dryness, giving a purple solid (6.3 mg, 74% yield). MALDI-TOF-mass (dithranol) m/z [M]$^+$ 1420.4938, calcd for [C$_{82}$H$_{76}$N$_{12}$O$_4$Zn$_2$]$^+$ 1420.4695; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$/ppm = 9.57–9.49 (m, 2H, $\beta$-pyrrole), 9.06–8.87 (m, 4H, $\beta$-pyrrole), 8.62–8.51 (m, 1H, phenylene), 8.06–7.94 (m, 1H, phenylene), 7.77–7.69 (m, 1H, phenylene), 7.60–7.48 (m, 1H, phenylene), 6.43 (t, $J$ = 2.8 Hz, 1.5H), 6.09 (t, $J$ = 2.8 Hz, 0.5H), 5.03–5.32 (m, 7H, $\beta$-pyrrole, Im, -CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$-), 4.66 (d, $J$ = 2.8 Hz, 1H, -OCH$_2$-), 4.41 (d, $J$ = 2.8 Hz, 3H, -OCH$_2$-), 4.29–4.09 (m, 4H, -CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$-), 3.34–2.90 (m, 4H, -CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$-), 2.80 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.10 (m, 1H, Im), 1.68–1.65 (m, 3H, CH$_3$).

2-(4,4-Dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl chloride.

![Chemical Structure](image)

FINEOXOCOL180® (10 g, 39 mmol) and dry DMF (0.6 mL) were placed in a 50 mL flask, and SOCl$_2$ (5.0 mL, 69 mmol) was added to it. The mixture was heated to 80 °C and stirred for 6h. After SOCl$_2$ was removed in vacuo, DMF was completely removed by repeating addition of toluene (5 mL) and evaporation three times. The brown residue was purified with a flush silica gel column (eluens: hexane) to give the titled compound (11 g, 94% yield). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$/ppm = 3.71–3.27 (m, 2H, CH$_2$), 1.96–1.74 (m, 1H, CH), 1.51–0.78 (m, 16H), 0.90 (s, 9H, tBu), 0.89 (s, 9H, tBu).

1-{2-(4,4-Dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl]imidazole.

![Chemical Structure](image)

Imidazole (3.7 g, 54 mmol) and NaH (60% in oil, 2.1 g, 54 mmol) were placed in a 1 L three-necked flask. After being purged with Ar gas, dry DMF (500 mL) was added to it at 0 °C and then 2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl chloride (11 g, 37 mmol) was added by
dissolving in dry DMF (200 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at 80 °C. The reaction was quenched by adding water (200 mL) and the product was extracted with diethyl ether (100 mL×3). The organic layer was washed with 3 M HCl aqueous solution (280 mL), K2CO3 aqueous solution (pH 9, 280 mL) and brine (300 mL), and dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. The solvent was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was purified with a flush silica gel column (eluent: hexane → CHCl3) to give the titled compound (9.5 g, 81% yield). TLC (silica gel, CHCl3/acetone = 10/1) \(R_f = 0.4\); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) \(\delta/\text{ppm} = 7.44\) (s, 1H, Im), 7.05 (s, 1H, Im), 6.88 (s, 1H, Im), 4.00–3.50 (m, 2H, CH2), 1.89–0.74 (m, 35H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) \(\delta/\text{ppm} = 137.58\) (CH), 129.52 (CH), 119.09 (CH), 51.39 (CH2), 51.34 (CH2), 51.17 (CH2), 51.01 (CH2), 48.84 (CH2), 48.61 (CH2), 48.55 (CH2), 48.50 (CH2), 48.42 (CH2), 47.48 (CH), 47.40 (CH), 47.34 (CH), 38.00 (C), 37.95 (C), 37.42 (C), 31.40 (C), 31.37 (C), 31.18 (C), 31.13 (C), 31.10 (C), 30.09 (CH or CH3), 30.06 (CH or CH3), 29.77 (CH or CH3), 29.61 (CH or CH3), 29.54 (CH or CH3), 29.48 (CH or CH3), 29.05 (CH or CH3), 28.93 (CH or CH3), 28.45 (CH or CH3), 26.98 (CH2), 25.50 (CH2), 25.38 (CH2), 22.79 (CH3), 22.76 (CH3), 22.59 (CH3), 22.40 (CH3), 17.95 (CH3), 17.83 (CH3), 17.61 (CH3).

1-(2-(4,4-Dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethylloctyl)imidazole-2-carbaldehyde (ImIS-CHO).

In a 500 mL flask were placed 1-\{2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethylloctyl\}imidazole (9.5 g, 30 mmol) and dry THF (100 mL), and then the solution was cooled to −40 °C. \(n\)-BuLi (1.59 M in hexane, 57 mL, 90 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution and the mixture was warmed to −20 °C. After being stirred for 3 h at −20 °C, dry DMF (35 mL, 46 mmol) was added to it. The reaction mixture was stirred for overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by adding water (120 mL) and the product was extracted with diethyl ether (100 mL×3). The organic layer was washed with 3M HCl aqueous solution (280 mL), K2CO3 aqueous solution (pH 10, 280 mL), and brine (280 mL), and dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The solvent was evaporated to dryness, and the residue was purified with a flush silica gel column (eluent: CHCl3 → CHCl3/acetone = 10/1). The collected fraction was evaporated to dryness, giving pale-
brown oil (6.8 g, 66% yield). TLC (silica gel, CHCl₃/acetone = 10/1) Rᵣ = 0.7; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ/ppm = 9.815, 9.813, 9.812, 9.810, 9.808, 9.806 (s×6, 1H, CHO), 7.30–7.28 (m, 1H, Im), 7.15–7.13 (m, 1H, Im), 4.57–4.10 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.88–1.62 (m, 1H, CH), 1.89–0.62 (m, 34H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ/ppm = 182.11 (CHO), 182.08 (CHO), 143.87 (C), 143.79 (C), 131.57 (CH), 126.93 (CH), 51.40 (CH₂), 51.33 (CH₂), 51.18 (CH₂), 50.95 (CH₂), 49.62 (CH₂), 49.33 (CH₂), 49.23 (CH₂), 48.65 (CH₂), 48.53 (CH₂), 48.30 (CH₂), 48.11 (CH₂), 46.82 (CH), 46.73 (CH), 46.68 (CH), 37.78 (CH₂), 37.72 (CH₂), 37.37 (CH₂), 31.41 (C), 31.19 (C), 31.15 (C), 31.08 (C), 30.13 (CH or CH₃), 30.08 (CH₃), 30.06 (CH or CH₃), 29.96 (CH₃), 29.77 (CH or CH₃), 29.72 (CH₃), 29.59 (CH or CH₃), 29.48 (CH or CH₃), 29.38 (CH or CH₃), 29.30 (CH or CH₃), 28.50 (CH or CH₃), 26.87 (CH₂), 26.74 (CH₂), 25.31 (CH₂), 22.85 (CH₃), 22.75 (CH₃), 22.58 (CH₃), 22.39 (CH₃), 17.83 (CH₃), 17.74 (CH₃), 17.61 (CH₃), 17.40 (CH₃).
Figure S1. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of Im\textsuperscript{Me}-H\textsubscript{2}P-PhI. Top: found, bottom: simulated by \([C_{42}H_{42}IN_6O_2]^+ ([M+H]^+).\)

Figure S2. \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of Im\textsuperscript{Me}-H\textsubscript{2}P-PhI in CDCl\textsubscript{3} (300 MHz).
Figure S3. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{-H}_2\text{P-PhI}$ in CDCl$_3$ (75 MHz).

Figure S4. DEPT135 spectrum of $\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{-H}_2\text{P-PhI}$ in CDCl$_3$ (75 MHz).
Figure S5. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of [Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-PhI]$_2$. Top: found, bottom: simulated by [C$_{42}$H$_{40}$IN$_6$O$_2$Zn]$^+$ ([M+H]$^+$).

Figure S6. $^1$H NMR spectrum of [Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-PhI]$_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (300 MHz).
Figure S7. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of [Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-PhI]$_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (75 MHz).

Figure S8. DEPT135 spectrum of [Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-PhI]$_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (75 MHz).
Figure S9. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of $[\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}]_2$. Top: found, bottom: simulated by $[\text{C}_{52}\text{H}_{47}\text{N}_8\text{O}_2\text{Zn}]^+ ([\text{M}+\text{H}]^+)$.  

Figure S10. $^1$H NMR spectrum of $[\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}]_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (500 MHz).
Figure S11. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of [Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy]$_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).

Figure S12. DEPT135 spectrum of [Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy]$_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).
Figure S13. $^1$H-$^1$H COSY of [(Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy)$_2$] in CDCl$_3$ (500 MHz).

S17
Figure S14. $^1$H NMR spectrum of [Im$^\text{Me}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy-Re]$\text{2}$ in DMF-$d_7$ (500 MHz).
Figure S15. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of [Im$^\text{Me}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy-Re]$_2$ in DMF-$d_7$ (125 MHz).

Figure S16. DEPT135 spectrum of [Im$^\text{Me}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy-Re]$_2$ in DMF-$d_7$ (125 MHz).
Figure S17. H-1 H COSY of [Im-Ni-ZnP-Ph-Sbpy=Re]2 in DMF-d7 (500 MHz).
Figure S18. HSQC of [ImMe-ZnP-Ph-PbPy=Re]$_2$ in DMF-d$_7$.
Figure S19. HMBC of [ImMe-ZnP-Ph-Sbpy=Re] in DMF-d$_7$. 
Figure S20. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of $1\text{m}^{\text{Me}}$-$\text{H}_2\text{P-PhCH}_3$. Top: found, bottom: simulated by $[C_{43}H_{45}N_6O_2]^{+}$ ([M+H]$^+$).

Figure S21. $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of $1\text{m}^{\text{Me}}$-$\text{H}_2\text{P-PhCH}_3$ in CDCl$_3$ (300 MHz).
Figure S22. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of $\left[\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{-ZnP-PhCH}_3\right]_2$ (D). Top: found, bottom: simulated by $[\text{C}_{43}\text{H}_{42}\text{N}_6\text{O}_2\text{Zn}]^+$ ([M]$^+$) and $[\text{C}_{43}\text{H}_{43}\text{N}_6\text{O}_2\text{Zn}]^+$ ([M+H]$^+$).

Figure S23. $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{-ZnP-PhCH}_3\right]_2$ (D) in CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz).
Figure S24. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of C-\([\text{Im}^\text{Me}-\text{ZnP-PhCH}_3]_2\) (D'). Top: found, bottom: simulated by \([\text{C}_{82}\text{H}_{76}\text{N}_{12}\text{O}_4\text{Zn}_2]^+ ([M]^+)\) and \([\text{C}_{82}\text{H}_{77}\text{N}_{12}\text{O}_4\text{Zn}_2]^+ ([\text{M}+\text{H}]^+)\).

Figure S25. \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of C-\([\text{Im}^\text{Me}-\text{ZnP-PhCH}_3]_2\) (D') in CDCl\(_3\) (400 MHz).
Figure S26. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl chloride in CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz).

Figure S27. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 1-{2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl}-imidazole in CDCl$_3$ (300 MHz).
Figure S28. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 1-\{2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl\}-imidazole in CDCl$_3$ (75 MHz).

Figure S29. DEPT135 spectrum of 1-\{2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl\}-imidazole in CDCl$_3$ (75 MHz).
Figure S30. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 1-(2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl)-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde in CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz).
Figure S31. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 1-(2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl)-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde in CDCl$_3$ (75 MHz).

Figure S32. DEPT135 spectrum of 1-(2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl)-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde in CDCl$_3$ (75 MHz).
Figure S33. $^1$H-$^1$H COSY of 1-(2-(4,4-dimethylpentan-2-yl)-5,7,7-trimethyloctyl)-imidazole-2-carbaldehyde in CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz).
Figure S34. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of Im^{18}-H_2P-PhI. Top: found, bottom: simulated by [C_{59}H_{76}IN_6O_2]^+ ([M+H]^+).

Figure S35. ^1H NMR spectrum of Im^{18}-H_2P-PhI in CDCl₃ (400 MHz).
Figure S36. Comparison of $^1$H NMR spectra between $\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}$-$\text{H}_2\text{P}$-$\text{PhI}$ (top) and $\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}$-$\text{H}_2\text{P}$-$\text{PhI}$ (bottom) in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S37. $\text{^{13}C NMR spectrum of Im}^{\text{IS}}\text{-H}_2\text{P-PhI in CDCl}_3$ (100 MHz).

Figure S38. DEPT135 spectrum of $\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}\text{-H}_2\text{P-PhI}$ in CDCl$_3$ (100 MHz).
Figure S39. H1-H1 COSY of Ins-Hp-Phl in CDCl3 (400 MHz).
**Figure S40.** MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of [Im\textsuperscript{IS}-ZnP-PhI]\textsubscript{2}. Top: found, bottom: simulated by [C\textsubscript{59}H\textsubscript{74}N\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{2}Zn]\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}).

**Figure S41.** \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of [Im\textsuperscript{IS}-ZnP-PhI]\textsubscript{2} in CDCl\textsubscript{3} (400 MHz).
Figure S42. Comparison of $^1$H NMR spectra between [Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-PhI]$_2$ (top) and [Im$^{IS}$-ZnP-PhI]$_2$ (bottom) in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S43. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $[\text{Im}^{15S}\text{-ZnP-PhI}]_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (100 MHz).

Figure S44. DEPT135 spectrum of $[\text{Im}^{15S}\text{-ZnP-PhI}]_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (100 MHz).
Figure S45. $^1$H-$^1$H COSY of [Im$^{18}$-ZnP-PhI]$_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz).
Figure S46. Comparison of UV-vis absorption spectra between Im$^{1S}$-H$_2$P-PhI (blue) and [Im$^{1S}$-ZnP-PhI]$\_2$ (red) in CHCl$_3$. 
Figure S47. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of \([\text{Im}^{1\text{S}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}])_2\). Top: found, bottom: simulated by \([\text{C}_{69}\text{H}_{81}\text{N}_{8}\text{O}_{2}\text{Zn}]^{+}\) ([M+H]^+).

Figure S48. \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of \([\text{Im}^{1\text{S}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}])_2\) in CDCl\(_3\) (500 MHz).
Figure S49. Comparison of $^1$H NMR spectra between $\left[\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}\right]_2$ (top) and $\left[\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}\right]_2$ (bottom) in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S50. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $[\text{Im}^\text{IS-}\text{ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}]_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).

Figure S51. DEPT135 spectrum of $[\text{Im}^\text{IS-}\text{ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}]_2$ in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).
Figure S5. 1H-1H COSY of [Im]-ZnP-Ph-Pt-Bpy2 in CDCl3 (500 MHz).
Figure S53. HSQC of [Im₃-Zn·P·Ph·5Bpy] in CDCl₃.
Figure S54. HMQC of [Im-5ZnP-Ph-5Bpy]2 in CDCl3.
**Figure S55.** UV-vis absorption spectra in CHCl₃ of the products using \([\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}]_2\) and 1.5 equiv of Re(CO)₅Br against the bpy group before (blue solid line) and after (green broken line) refluxing with pyridine, and using \([\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}]_2\) and 0.97 equiv of Re(CO)₅Br (red solid line).

**Figure S56.** IR spectra (KBr) of the product using \([\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}]_2\) and 1.5 equiv of Re(CO)₅Br against the bpy group before (blue solid line) and after (green broken line) refluxing with pyridine.
Figure S57. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: dithranol) of [Im\textsuperscript{IS}-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re\textsubscript{2} (ReDRe). Top: found, bottom: simulated by [C\textsubscript{72}H\textsubscript{61}BrN\textsubscript{8}O\textsubscript{5}ReZn]\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}).

Figure S58. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of [Im\textsuperscript{IS}-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re\textsubscript{2} (ReDRe) in CDCl\textsubscript{3} (500 MHz).
Figure S59. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of [Im$^{18}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re]$_2$ (ReDRe) in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).

Figure S60. DEPT135 spectrum of [Im$^{18}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re]$_2$ (ReDRe) in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).
Figure S1. H-H COSY of [Im]+Zn-Pb-Ph-5Bpy=ReI2 (ReDRe) in CDCl3 (500 MHz).
Figure S62. HMQC of [Im\textsuperscript{1S}-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re\textsubscript{2} (ReDRe) in CDCl\textsubscript{3}.
Figure S63. IR spectrum of $[\text{Im}^\text{Is-}\text{ZnP-Ph-5Bpy}=\text{Re}]_2$ (ReDRe) in KBr pellet. Inset: magnification of the CO stretching region.
Figure S64. UV-vis absorption spectra in pyridine of ReDRe before (blue broken line) and after (red solid line) reaction with the Grubbs catalyst (overnight, at rt).

Figure S65. GPC-HPLC charts (column: TSK gel G2500H₉R × 2+G2000H₉R × 1, eluent: pyridine, flow rate: 1.0 mL/min, detection: 567 nm) of ReDRe before (blue broken line) and after (red solid line) reaction with the Grubbs catalyst.
Figure S66. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: DCTB) of C-[Im\textsuperscript{15S}-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re\textsubscript{2} (ReD’Re). Top: found, bottom: simulated by [C\textsubscript{140}H\textsubscript{153}Br\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{16}O\textsubscript{16}Re\textsubscript{2}Zn\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+} ([M+H]\textsuperscript{+}).

Figure S67. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of C-[Im\textsuperscript{15S}-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re\textsubscript{2} (ReD’Re) in CDCl\textsubscript{3} (500 MHz).
**Figure S68.** $^1$H NMR spectra of (a) ReDRe and (b) ReD’Re in CDCl$_3$ (500 MHz). Magnifications for the olefin groups at 6.1–6.7 ppm for (c) ReDRe and (d) ReD’Re.

**Figure S69.** Three isomers of the porphyrin dimer parts in ReD’Re caused by the $E$- and $Z$-isomers. The molar ratio was calculated assuming that the metathesis reaction independently proceeded without being affected by the other reaction sites.
Figure S70. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $C$-[Im$^{\text{IS}}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re]$_2$ (ReD'Re) in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).

Figure S71. DEPT135 spectrum of $C$-[Im$^{\text{IS}}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re]$_2$ (ReD'Re) in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).
Figure S72. 1H-1H COSY of C-[Hm]5, Zn-PH-5Bpy-Re=ReD'Re in CDCl₃ (300 MHz).
Figure S7.3: HSQC of C-[IIm]=Zn-P-Ph5Bpy-Re(D'Pr) in CDCl3.
Figure S74. IR spectrum of $C\text{-}[\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}\text{-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re}]_2 \text{ (ReD'}\text{Re)}$ in KBr pellet. Inset: magnification of the CO stretching region.
**Figure S75.** GPC-HPLC charts (column: TSK gel G2500H<sub>HR</sub> × 2+G2000H<sub>HR</sub> × 1, eluent: pyridine, flow rate: 1.0 mL/min, detection: 440 nm) of the crude product obtained after the metathesis reaction using ReDRe and D (molar ratio 1:4), and the isolated samples by preparative GPC-HPLC.
Figure S76. MALDI-TOF mass (matrix: DCTB) of C-[Im\textsuperscript{Me}-ZnP-PhCH\textsubscript{3}][Im\textsuperscript{IS}-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re] (ReD'). Top: found, bottom: simulated by [C\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{11}BrN\textsubscript{14}O\textsubscript{7}ReZn\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+} ([M]\textsuperscript{+}).

Figure S77. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of C-[Im\textsuperscript{Me}-ZnP-PhCH\textsubscript{3}][Im\textsuperscript{IS}-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re] (ReD') in CDCl\textsubscript{3} (500 MHz).
Figure S78. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $C$-[Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-PhCH$_3$][Im$^{18}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re] (ReD') in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).

Figure S79. DEPT135 spectrum of $C$-[Im$^{Me}$-ZnP-PhCH$_3$][Im$^{18}$-ZnP-Ph-5Bpy=Re] (ReD') in CDCl$_3$ (125 MHz).
Figure S50. H-1 H COSY of C-[Im-ZnP-PhCH3][Im-ZnP-Ph5Bpy=Re (ReD') in CDCl3 (500 MHz).
Figure S8.1: HMOC of C-Im-MeZnP-PhCH$_2$Im-MeZnP-Ph$_2$5Bpy=Re(D') in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S82. IR spectrum of $C\cdot[\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}_{\text{Im}}\text{ZnP-PhCH}_3]\cdot[\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}_{\text{ZnP-Ph}}\text{5Bpy-Re}]$ (ReD') in KBr pellet. Inset: magnification of the CO stretching region.
Figure S83. Comparison of UV-vis absorption spectra between $[\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{-}\text{ZnP-Ph}5\text{Bpy}]_2$ (blue broken line) and $[\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}\text{-}\text{ZnP-Ph}5\text{Bpy}]_2$ (red solid line) in CHCl$_3$. 

\[ R = \text{Me} \rightarrow [\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{-}\text{ZnP-Ph}5\text{Bpy}]_2 \]
\[ R = \text{IS} \rightarrow [\text{Im}^{\text{IS}}\text{-}\text{ZnP-Ph}5\text{Bpy}]_2 \]
Figure S84. (a) UV-vis absorption and (b) fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 568$ nm) of ReDRe (blue broken line) and ReD’Re (red solid line) in CHCl$_3$. 
Figure S85. Energy diagram of ReD’Re and ReD’ in DMA ($\varepsilon_s = 38.3$). The energy levels of the excited singlet ($S_1$) and triplet ($T_1$) states of the dimer were respectively estimated from the intersection between normalized absorption and fluorescence bands (Y. Kuramochi, Y. Kawakami, A. Satake, Inorg. Chem. 2017, 56, 11008), and from the phosphorescence spectrum at 77 K (Y. Kuramochi, S. Hashimoto, Y. Kawakami, M. S. Asano, A. Satake, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2018, 17, 883). The energy level of the CS state was estimated using the first oxidation potential of D’, $E(ZnP+/ZnP) = +0.22$ V, and the first reduction potential of fac-Re(bpy)(CO)$_3$Br, $E(Re/Re^-) = -1.72$ V v.s. Fe$^2$/Fe$^3$ in DMA.
Fluorescence quenching efficiency of ReD’Re estimated from that of ReD’.

The fluorescence quantum yields of D’ (Φf0), ReD’ (Φf1), and ReD’Re (Φf2) are expressed below using the radiative (kr) and nonradiative (knr) rate constants and the CS rate constant (kcs) (Figure S85).

\[
\Phi_f^0 = \frac{k_r}{k_r + k_{nr}} \quad \text{(S1)}
\]

\[
\Phi_f^1 = \frac{k_r}{k_r + k_{nr} + k_{CS}} \quad \text{(S2)}
\]

\[
\Phi_f^2 = \frac{k_r}{k_r + k_{nr} + 2k_{CS}} \quad \text{(S3)}
\]

Combining equations (S1) and (S2) gives:

\[
\frac{\Phi_f^1}{\Phi_f^0} = \frac{k_r + k_{nr}}{k_r + k_{nr} + k_{CS}} = \frac{1}{1 + k_{CS} \tau_f^0} \quad \text{(S4)}
\]

where η is the fluorescence decay of D’. By using the ratio of the fluorescence quantum yields of ReD’ to D’ (Φf1/Φf0 = 0.12), the value of kcs η is estimated to be 7.3. As η is estimated from the time-resolved fluorescence decay to be 2.0 ns (H. Nakagawa, K. Ogawa, A. Satake, Y. Kobuke, *Chem. Commun*. 2006, 1560), the value of kcs was calculated to be \(3.7 \times 10^9\) s\(^{-1}\). The ratio of the fluorescence quantum yields of ReD’Re to D’ is expressed as the following equation:

\[
\frac{\Phi_f^2}{\Phi_f^0} = \frac{k_r + k_{nr}}{k_r + k_{nr} + 2k_{CS}} = \frac{1}{1 + 2k_{CS} \tau_f^0} \quad \text{(S5)}
\]

By using \(k_{cs} \eta = 7.3\) estimated from ReD’, the value of Φf2/Φf0 was calculated to be 0.064.

Fluorescence lifetime of ReD’ and ReD’Re estimated from the quenching efficiencies.

The reciprocals of the fluorescence lifetimes were expressed as the equations S6–S8 using the lifetime of D’ (η = 2.0 ns) and kcs (3.7 \(\times\) 10\(^9\) s\(^{-1}\)):

\[
1/\tau_f^0 = k_r + k_{nr} = 5.0 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(S6)}
\]

\[
1/\tau_f^1 = k_r + k_{nr} + k_{CS} = 4.2 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(S7)}
\]

\[
1/\tau_f^2 = k_r + k_{nr} + 2k_{CS} = 7.9 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1} \quad \text{(S8)}
\]

Thus, the lifetimes for ReD’ and ReD’Re were estimated to be η = 0.24 ns and η = 0.13 ns, respectively.
Figure S86. Stern–Volmer plots for fluorescence quenching by BIH in DMA of D’ (green triangle), ReD’ (red circle), and ReD’Re (blue diamond) at 298 K. From the slope of the plots ($K_{SV} = 3.5 \text{ M}^{-1}$, $0.65 \text{ M}^{-1}$, and $0.32 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for D’, ReD’, and ReD’Re, respectively) and the fluorescence lifetime ($\tau_f = 2.0 \text{ ns}$), the quenching rate constant ($k_q$) of D’ was estimated to be $1.8 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ ($k_q = K_{SV} / \tau_f^0$). Judging from the value in the order of $10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, the electron transfer from BIH to the excited singlet state of the dimer is a diffusion-controlled process. Assuming $k_q = 1.8 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, the fluorescence lifetimes were calculated be 0.37 ns and 0.18 ns for ReD’ and ReD’Re, respectively.
Figure S87. (a) CO formation with time during irradiation at 560 nm from LED lamps with a merry-go-round apparatus for CO$_2$-saturated DMA solutions (total 1.0 mL) containing ZnP-phen=Re (0.05 mM) and BIH (0.1 M) in the absence (green) and presence of additives ([PhOH] = 0.1 M (blue), DMA:TEOA = 5:1 v/v (red)). (b) Comparison with Figure S87a over time for ReD’ (0.025 mM) and BIH (0.1 M) in CO$_2$-saturated DMA-TEOA (5:1 v/v) (black circles).
Table S1. Results of CO\textsubscript{2} reduction in DMA (\(\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 560\) nm, 18 h)\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Photocatalyst</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>CO / (\mu)mol (TON)\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ReD' (0.025 mM)</td>
<td>BIH (0.1 M)</td>
<td>0.38 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ReD' (0.025 mM)</td>
<td>BIH (0.1 M) + PhOH (0.1 M)</td>
<td>19.4 (777)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ReD' (0.025 mM)</td>
<td>BIH (0.1 M) + TEOA (17 vol%)</td>
<td>70.5 (2820)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ReD'Re (0.025 mM)</td>
<td>BIH (0.1 M) + TEOA (17 vol%)</td>
<td>62.0 (1250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ReD'Re (0.025 mM)</td>
<td>TEOA (17 vol%)</td>
<td>0.70 (14)\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>D' (0.025 mM) + Re (0.025 mM)\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>BIH (0.1 M) + TEOA (17 vol%)</td>
<td>1.84 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>D' (0.025 mM) + Re (0.05 mM)\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>BIH (0.1 M) + TEOA (17 vol%)</td>
<td>14.1 (283)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ZnP-phen=Re (0.05 mM)</td>
<td>BIH (0.1 M)</td>
<td>2.80 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ZnP-phen=Re (0.05 mM)</td>
<td>BIH (0.1 M) + TEOA (17 vol%)</td>
<td>2.65 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ZnP-phen=Re (0.05 mM)</td>
<td>BIH (0.1 M) + PhOH (0.1 M)</td>
<td>8.62 (172)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Photocatalytic reactions performed in CO\textsubscript{2}-saturated DMA solutions (total volume of the solution: 1.0 mL) under irradiation by 560 nm for 18 h using a merry-go-round apparatus equipped with LED lamps.

\textsuperscript{b}TON based on the Re complex unit.

\textsuperscript{c,d}Re: \textit{fac}-Re(bpy)(CO)\textsubscript{3}Br.

\textsuperscript{e}Irradiation for 48 h.
Figure S88. Formation of CO during irradiation at $\lambda_{ex} = 560$ nm (Xe lamp, $3.7 \times 10^{-8}$ einstein s$^{-1}$) using BIH (0.1 M) and PhOH (0.1 M) in CO$_2$-saturated DMA containing ReD' (0.1 mM) or ReD'Re (0.1 mM).
Figure S89. Formation of CO during irradiation at $\lambda_{ex} = (a)$ 420 nm ($2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ einstein s$^{-1}$), (b) 450 nm ($2.9 \times 10^{-8}$ einstein s$^{-1}$), and (c) 560 nm ($3.6 \times 10^{-8}$ einstein s$^{-1}$) from a Xe lamp using BIH (0.1 M) in CO$_2$-saturated DMA-TEOA (5:1 v/v) containing ReD' (left, red squares; 0.025 mM for 420 and 450 nm and 0.1 mM for 560 nm) or ReD'Re (right, blue triangles; 0.025 mM for 420 and 450 nm and 0.1 mM for 560 nm).
Figure S90. Formation of CO during irradiation at $\lambda_{ex} = 560$ nm from a Xe lamp with different light intensities ($3.6 \times 10^{-8}$, $7.5 \times 10^{-8}$, $8.9 \times 10^{-8}$, and $1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ einstein s$^{-1}$) using BIH (0.1 M) in CO$_2$-saturated DMA-TEOA (5:1 v/v) containing ReD$'$ (0.1 mM).

Figure S91. (a) CO formation (TON$_{CO}$) with time in CO$_2$-saturated DMA-TEOA (5:1 v/v) solution containing ReD$'$ (0.025 mM) and BIH (0.1 M) using a merry-go-round apparatus equipped with LED lamps at 560 nm (Input power: 2 or 10 mW). (b) Plots of CO formation versus product of input power and irradiation time.
Figure S92. Formation of CO during irradiation at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 560$ nm (Xe lamp, $5.2 \times 10^{-9}$ einstein s$^{-1}$) using BIH (red circles: 0.05 M, blue diamonds: 0.01 M) and PhOH (0.1 M) in CO$_2$-saturated DMA solutions containing ZnP-phen=Re (0.3 mM).

Figure S93. (a) Formation of CO (TON$_{\text{CO}}$) during irradiation at 560 nm (Input power: 10 mW) from LED lamps with a merry-go-round apparatus using BIH (red circle, 0.1 M; green diamond, 0.05 M; blue triangle, 0.01 M) and ReD' (0.025 mM) in CO$_2$-saturated DMA-TEOA (5:1 v/v). (b) Plot of TOF against concentration of BIH. The quenching efficiency relative to $\eta_{q}$ at [BIH] = 0.1 M was calculated using $\eta_{q} = [\text{BIH}] K_{sv} / (1 + [\text{BIH}] K_{sv})$ assuming $K_{sv} = 15$ M$^{-1}$.
Figure S94. Energy diagram of ReD’Re and ReD’ in the presence of BIH in DMA at 298 K. The energy levels of the charge-separated (CS) states from the ground state were calculated using $E(\text{ZnP}^+/	ext{ZnP}) = +0.22$ V, $E(\text{ZnP}/\text{ZnP}^-) = -1.91$ V, $E(\text{Re}/\text{Re}^-) = -1.72$ V, and $E(\text{BIH}^+/\text{BIH}) = -0.10$ V vs. Fc/Fc$^+$ in DMA.
Figure S95. (a) DPVs of D (0.05 mM) and D’ (0.5 mM) in Ar-saturated DMSO with 0.1 M "Bu4NPF6 as a supporting electrolyte. (b) UV-vis absorption spectra of D (0.05 mM) in DMSO containing 0.1 M "Bu4NPF6 using a 1 mm quartz cell.
Figure S96. Fluorescence spectra of D (blue line) and ReDRe (red line) (the corresponding monomers) in DMSO at 298 K. The fluorescence spectra were normalized with the absorbance at the excitation wavelength ($\lambda_{ex} = 568$ nm). The inset shows UV-vis absorption spectra of D (blue line) and ReDRe (red line) in DMSO.
**Figure S97.** UV-vis absorption spectra (1 mm cell) of a CO$_2$-saturated DMSO-TEOA (5:1 v/v) solution containing BIH (0.05 M) and ReDRe (10 μM) before (blue line) and after (red line) irradiation at 560 nm from LED lamps with a merry-go-round apparatus for 7 h. Inset shows magnification of the Q band region.

**Figure S98.** A plausible mechanism of the formation of two-electron reduced species in (a) the monomer (ReDRe in DMSO) and (b) the dimer (ReD’Re).