## **Supporting Information**

# Electrostatic potential-derived charge: a universal OER performance descriptor for MOFs

Xiangdong Xue<sup>a</sup>, Hongyi Gao<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jiangtao Liu<sup>c</sup>, Ming Yang<sup>d</sup>, Shihao Feng<sup>a</sup>, Zhimeng Liu<sup>a</sup>, Jing Lin<sup>a</sup>,

Jitti Kasemchainan<sup>e</sup>, Linmeng Wang<sup>a</sup>, Qilu Jia<sup>a</sup>, Ge Wang<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Materials Genome Engineering, Beijing Key Laboratory of Function Materials for Molecule & Structure Construction, School of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing, 100083, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Shunde Graduate School, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Shunde, 528399, PR China

<sup>c</sup> State Key Laboratory of Advanced Chemical Power Sources. Guizhou Meiling Power Sources Co., Ltd., Zunyi, Guizhou 563003, PR China

<sup>d</sup> Department of Applied Physics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong SAR, China

<sup>e</sup> Department of Chemical Technology, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand



Figure S1. Correlation between the Gibbs energy difference and bond order. All data are fitted to exponential



functions.

Figure S2. The relationship between the  $\Delta G_1$ ,  $\Delta G_2$  and  $\Delta G_3$  in the OER process. Dash lines were fitted for all data represented.

A critical indicator for evaluating the activity of an electrocatalyst is the reaction potential, which can be represented by the Gibbs energy difference ( $\Delta G$ ) reflecting the energy barrier of a chemical reaction. For four-electron OER process, the  $\Delta G_i$  (i=1, 2, 3, 4) reflect the energy barrier of each elemental step. Nevertheless, it is complicating to use  $\Delta G_i$  (i=1, 2, 3, 4) to evaluate the OER performance of the catalyst. It is worthy to clarify the relationship between the  $\Delta G_i$  (i=1, 2, 3, 4) to simplify the complexity of performance ranking. Firstly, we analyzed the scaling relationship between  $\Delta G_1$ ,  $\Delta G_2$  and  $\Delta G_3$ .  $\Delta G_4$  is not considered because it is not an independent quantity under the condition that the total Gibbs energy difference ( $\Delta G_{total}$ ) of OER is 4.92 eV<sup>[1]</sup>. The obvious linear relationships between  $\Delta G_1$ ,  $\Delta G_2$  and  $\Delta G_3$  were shown in Figure S2a-c, where  $\Delta G_1$  and  $\Delta G_2$  are positively correlated, and they are all negatively correlated with  $\Delta G_3$ . Moreover, although  $\Delta G_3$  can be approximately replaced by a constant subtract  $\Delta G_2$  (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.94) as reported in other literature<sup>[2]</sup>, by multiple linear regression analysis,  $\Delta G_3$  can almost be represented by a formula containing  $\Delta G_1$  and  $\Delta G_2$  (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.99, Figure S2d). And because of the non-independence of  $\Delta G_4$ , the  $\Delta G_i$  (i=1, 2, 3, 4) can be quantified by  $\Delta G_1$ and  $\Delta G_2$ .



Figure S3 The correlations between experiment-derived overpotential and ESPC, system 1 comes from ref.

3, system 2 comes from ref. 4, and system 3 comes from ref. 5.



Figure S4 The structure using for calculation in figure S3.

Configuration		E <sub>DFT</sub> (eV)	E <sub>ZPE</sub> (eV)	TS (eV)	ΔG (eV)
	Cat.	-39939.90			
	HO-Cat.	-42002.46	0.34	0.10	-0.62
V	O-Cat.	-41987.45	0.08	0.06	-1.15
	HOO-Cat.	-44045.12	0.44	0.19	4.27
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				2.42
	Cat.	-41334.73			
	HO-Cat.	-43396.86	0.34	0.11	-0.19
Cr	O-Cat.	-43380.89	0.08	0.05	-0.17
	HOO-Cat.	-45439.48	0.43	0.20	3.32
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.96
	Cat.	-42846.95			
	HO-Cat.	-44909.08	0.34	0.10	-0.18
Mn	O-Cat.	-44892.59	0.08	0.05	0.34
	HOO-Cat.	-46951.68	0.45	0.18	2.85
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.92
	Cat.	-44592.81			
	HO-Cat.	-46654.50	0.36	0.09	0.28
Fe	O-Cat.	-46638.09	0.07	0.05	0.22
	HOO-Cat.	-48697.44	0.44	0.21	2.56
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.85
	Cat.	-46518.61			
	HO-Cat.	-48579.38	0.36	0.08	1.20
Co	O-Cat.	-48562.59	0.07	0.05	0.59
	HOO-Cat.	-50622.35	0.45	0.16	2.22
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				0.91
	Cat.	-48692.90			
	HO-Cat.	-50753.83	0.35	0.11	1.02
Ni	O-Cat.	-50736.58	0.06	0.06	1.07
	HOO-Cat.	-52796.95	0.44	0.17	1.60
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.23
	Cat.	-43646.29			
	HO-Cat.	-45706.65	0.34	0.11	1.58
V2Cu1_Cu	O-Cat.	-45689.16	0.04	0.09	1.28
	HOO-Cat.	-47749.80	0.44	0.21	1.33
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				0.74
	Cat.	-40909.19			
	HO-Cat.	-42971.11	0.35	0.10	0.04
V2Mn1_Mn	O-Cat.	-42954.59	0.07	0.05	0.34
	HOO-Cat.	-45013.78	0.45	0.18	2.75
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.78

Table S1. The original calculated OER data of MOFs containing triagonal prismatic SBUs

 Table S1. (continued)

Configuration		E <sub>DFT</sub> (eV)	E <sub>ZPE</sub> (eV)	TS (eV)	ΔG(eV)
	Cat.	-40404.87			
	HO-Cat.	-42467.06	0.35	0.10	-0.25
V2Cr1_Cr	O-Cat.	-42451.07	0.08	0.06	-0.17
	HOO-Cat.	-44509.68	0.44	0.19	3.32
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				2.02
	Cat.	-41491.10			
	HO-Cat.	-43552.97	0.34	0.10	0.07
V2Fe1_Fe	O-Cat.	-43536.54	0.08	0.05	0.29
	HOO-Cat.	-45595.63	0.44	0.17	2.85
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.72
	Cat.	-42132.72			
	HO-Cat.	-44194.25	0.37	0.08	0.46
V2Co1_Co	O-Cat.	-44177.79	0.07	0.06	0.24
	HOO-Cat.	-46237.26	0.46	0.15	2.52
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.69
	Cat.	-42858.41			
	HO-Cat.	-44919.19	0.35	0.09	1.18
V2Ni1_Ni	O-Cat.	-44902.08	0.05	0.07	0.90
	HOO-Cat.	-46962.34	0.45	0.18	1.73
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.12
	Cat.	-40869.85			
	HO-Cat.	-42932.36	0.35	0.09	-0.54
Cr2V1_V	O-Cat.	-42917.23	0.08	0.06	-1.05
	HOO-Cat.	-44975.02	0.44	0.19	4.14
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				2.37
	Cat.	-41839.05			
	HO-Cat.	-43900.93	0.35	0.10	0.07
Cr2Mn1_Mn	O-Cat.	-43884.46	0.07	0.05	0.30
	HOO-Cat.	-45943.68	0.44	0.18	2.72
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.83
	Cat.	-42420.98			
	HO-Cat.	-44482.75	0.34	0.10	0.18
Cr2Fe1_Fe	O-Cat.	-44466.40	0.07	0.05	0.19
	HOO-Cat.	-46525.46	0.45	0.16	2.91
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.64
	Cat.	-43062.57			
	HO-Cat.	-45124.03	0.36	0.08	0.53
Cr2Co1_Co	O-Cat.	-45107.56	0.07	0.05	0.26
	HOO-Cat.	-47167.04	0.46	0.14	2.53
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.60

Configuration		E <sub>DFT</sub> (eV)	E <sub>ZPE</sub> (eV)	TS (eV)	ΔG (eV)
	Cat.	-43788.01			
	HO-Cat.	-45848.93	0.36	0.09	1.05
Cr2Ni1_Ni	O-Cat.	-45831.85	0.05	0.07	0.86
	HOO-Cat.	-47892.03	0.45	0.17	1.82
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.20
	Cat.	-44575.97			
	HO-Cat.	-46636.34	0.35	0.10	1.58
Cr2Cu1_Cu	O-Cat.	-46618.95	0.05	0.08	1.16
	HOO-Cat.	-48679.56	0.43	0.20	1.36
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				0.81
	Cat.	-41878.45			
	HO-Cat.	-43940.84	0.35	0.09	-0.44
Mn2V1_V	O-Cat.	-43925.13	0.08	0.06	-0.46
	HOO-Cat.	-45983.48	0.47	0.16	3.64
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				2.18
	Cat.	-42343.07			
	HO-Cat.	-44405.04	0.35	0.10	-0.01
Mn2Cr1_Cr	O-Cat.	-44389.10	0.08	0.05	-0.23
	HOO-Cat.	-46447.83	0.46	0.17	3.24
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.92
	Cat.	-43042.27			
	HO-Cat.	-45104.68	0.35	0.09	-0.46
Fe2V1_V	O-Cat.	-45089.05	0.08	0.06	-0.54
	HOO-Cat.	-47147.20	0.45	0.20	3.78
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				2.13
	Cat.	-43428.90			
	HO-Cat.	-45490.81	0.35	0.10	0.03
Mn2Fe1_Fe	O-Cat.	-45474.37	0.07	0.05	0.28
	HOO-Cat.	-47533.55	0.45	0.16	2.78
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.83
	Cat.	-44070.43			
	HO-Cat.	-46132.15	0.37	0.08	0.27
Mn2Co1_Co	O-Cat.	-46115.66	0.07	0.05	0.28
	HOO-Cat.	-48175.16	0.46	0.16	2.48
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.89
	Cat.	-44795.82			
	HO-Cat.	-46856.96	0.35	0.10	0.81
Mn2Ni1_Ni	O-Cat.	-46839.74	0.05	0.07	1.01
	HOO-Cat.	-48899.93	0.45	0.17	1.80
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.29

Configu	ration	E <sub>DFT</sub> (eV)	E <sub>ZPE</sub> (eV)	TS (eV)	ΔG (eV)
	Cat.	-45583.74			
	HO-Cat.	-47644.51	0.34	0.10	1.17
Mn2Cu1_Cu	O-Cat.	-47626.79	0.05	0.08	1.51
	HOO-Cat.	-49687.65	0.44	0.18	1.13
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.11
	Cat.	-43506.97			
	HO-Cat.	-45568.83	0.35	0.09	0.11
Fe2Cr1_Cr	O-Cat.	-45553.00	0.08	0.05	-0.35
	HOO-Cat.	-47611.72	0.46	0.16	3.26
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.90
	Cat.	-44010.94			
	HO-Cat.	-46073.42	0.35	0.09	-0.52
Fe2Mn1_Mn	O-Cat.	-46056.33	0.07	0.05	0.91
	HOO-Cat.	-48115.67	0.46	0.16	2.64
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.89
Fe2Co1_Co	Cat.	-45234.73			
	HO-Cat.	-47295.89	0.37	0.08	0.83
	O-Cat.	-47279.28	0.07	0.05	0.40
	HOO-Cat.	-49338.87	0.45	0.20	2.35
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.35
	Cat.	-45959.92			
	HO-Cat.	-48020.73	0.35	0.09	1.16
Fe2Ni1_Ni	O-Cat.	-48003.55	0.05	0.07	0.95
	HOO-Cat.	-50063.85	0.45	0.17	1.69
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.11
	Cat.	-46748.05			
	HO-Cat.	-48807.99	0.33	0.11	1.98
Fe2Cu1_Cu	O-Cat.	-48789.91	0.04	0.08	1.88
	HOO-Cat.	-50851.29	0.43	0.21	0.60
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				0.47
	Cat.	-44325.84			
	HO-Cat.	-46388.29	0.35	0.09	-0.50
Co2V1_V	O-Cat.	-46372.25	0.08	0.06	-0.14
	HOO-Cat.	-48430.49	0.46	0.16	3.75
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.80
	Cat.	-44790.37			
	HO-Cat.	-46852.21	0.35	0.09	0.12
Co2Cr1_Cr	O-Cat.	-46836.12	0.08	0.05	-0.08
	HOO-Cat.	-48894.99	0.46	0.18	3.09
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.79

Configuration		E <sub>DFT</sub> (eV)	E <sub>ZPE</sub> (eV)	TS (eV)	ΔG (eV)
	Cat.	-45294.42			
	HO-Cat.	-47356.17	0.35	0.09	0.21
Co2Mn1_Mn	O-Cat.	-47339.60	0.07	0.05	0.39
	HOO-Cat.	-49398.83	0.45	0.17	2.74
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.58
	Cat.	-45876.54			
	HO-Cat.	-47937.97	0.34	0.10	0.51
Co2Fe1_Fe	O-Cat.	-47921.39	0.07	0.05	0.41
	HOO-Cat.	-49981.01	0.46	0.15	2.38
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.62
	Cat.	-47243.29			
	HO-Cat.	-49304.11	0.36	0.08	1.17
Co2Ni1_Ni	O-Cat.	-49286.86	0.06	0.06	1.02
	HOO-Cat.	-51347.23	0.45	0.17	1.62
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.11
	Cat.	-48030.98			
	HO-Cat.	-50091.21	0.34	0.10	1.71
Co2Cu1_Cu	O-Cat.	-50073.71	0.05	0.12	1.25
	HOO-Cat.	-52134.56	0.44	0.20	1.16
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				0.81
	Cat.	-45776.39			
	HO-Cat.	-47838.17	0.35	0.09	0.18
Ni2V1_V	O-Cat.	-47822.14	0.08	0.06	-0.15
	HOO-Cat.	-49880.86	0.46	0.16	3.26
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.62
	Cat.	-46240.55			
	HO-Cat.	-48302.23	0.35	0.09	0.29
Ni2Cr1_Cr	O-Cat.	-48286.29	0.08	0.05	-0.25
	HOO-Cat.	-50345.25	0.46	0.16	3.03
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.86
	Cat.	-46744.90			
	HO-Cat.	-48806.29	0.36	0.08	0.59
Ni2Mn1_Mn	O-Cat.	-48789.70	0.08	0.05	0.41
	HOO-Cat.	-50849.12	0.46	0.15	2.56
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.37
	Cat.	-47326.67			
	HO-Cat.	-49387.90	0.36	0.09	0.73
Ni2Fe1_Fe	O-Cat.	-49371.28	0.07	0.05	0.44
	HOO-Cat.	-51430.98	0.46	0.15	2.28
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.47

Configuration		E <sub>DFT</sub> (eV)	E <sub>ZPE</sub> (eV)	TS (eV)	ΔG (eV)
	Cat.	-47968.15			
	HO-Cat.	-50029.30	0.36	0.08	0.84
Ni2Co1_Co	O-Cat.	-50012.36	0.07	0.05	0.74
	HOO-Cat.	-52072.36	0.45	0.16	1.97
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.37
Ni2Cu1_Cu	Cat.	-49480.49			
	HO-Cat.	-51540.84	0.33	0.12	1.56
	O-Cat.	-51523.37	0.04	0.08	1.29
	HOO-Cat.	-53584.20	0.44	0.19	1.16
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				0.91

Configuration		E <sub>DFT</sub> (eV)	E <sub>ZPE</sub> (eV)	TS (eV)	ΔG (eV)
	Cat.	-28157.21			
	HO-Cat.	-30218.33	0.35	0.09	0.84
4-coordinated_Mn	O-Cat.	-30202.28	0.08	0.05	-0.10
	HOO-Cat.	-32261.25	0.45	0.16	2.98
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.21
	Cat.	-28739.07			
	HO-Cat.	-30799.97	0.34	0.11	1.03
4-coordinated_Fe	O-Cat.	-30783.55	0.07	0.05	0.27
	HOO-Cat.	-32843.00	0.44	0.18	2.49
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.13
	Cat.	-29380.20			
	HO-Cat.	-31440.91	0.37	0.07	1.30
4-coordinated_Co	O-Cat.	-31424.27	0.07	0.06	0.42
	HOO-Cat.	-33484.41	0.41	0.14	1.82
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.39
	Cat.	-30105.31			
	HO-Cat.	-32165.63	0.35	0.11	1.62
4-coordinated_Ni	O-Cat.	-32148.39	0.05	0.08	1.03
	HOO-Cat.	-34209.17	0.39	0.17	1.16
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.10
	Cat.	-35835.77			
	HO-Cat.	-37899.44	0.34	0.11	-1.73
3-coordinated_V	O-Cat.	-37884.79	0.07	0.07	-1.52
	HOO-Cat.	-39942.28	0.42	0.21	4.42
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				3.75
	Cat.	-37776.93			
	HO-Cat.	-39838.96	0.34	0.10	-0.09
3-coordinated_Mn	O-Cat.	-39822.68	0.07	0.08	0.08
	HOO-Cat.	-41881.82	0.46	0.17	2.87
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				2.05
	Cat.	-38941.23			
	HO-Cat.	-41003.45	0.32	0.16	-0.36
3-coordinated_Fe	O-Cat.	-40986.72	0.06	0.09	0.59
	HOO-Cat.	-43046.05	0.43	0.22	2.62
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				2.06
	Cat.	-40224.30			
	HO-Cat.	-42286.02	0.33	0.13	0.18
3-coordinated_Co	O-Cat.	-42269.24	0.05	0.09	0.60
	HOO-Cat.	-44328.81	0.44	0.21	2.40
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.74

**Table S2.** The original calculated OER data of MOFs containing typical SBUs.

 Table S2. (continued)

Configuration		E <sub>DFT</sub> (eV)	E <sub>ZPE</sub> (eV)	TS (eV)	ΔG (eV)
	Cat.	-41674.52			
	HO-Cat.	-43735.91	0.35	0.12	0.54
3-coordinated_Ni	O-Cat.	-43719.02	0.05	0.10	0.68
	HOO-Cat.	-45778.74	0.43	0.24	2.22
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.49
	Cat.	-31579.68			
	HO-Cat.	-33641.89	0.35	0.09	-0.26
5-coordinated_V	O-Cat.	-33626.86	0.08	0.05	-1.13
	HOO-Cat.	-35684.57	0.46	0.17	4.24
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				2.07
	Cat.	-32509.52			
	HO-Cat.	-34571.02	0.34	0.10	0.45
5-coordinated_Cr	O-Cat.	-34555.25	0.08	0.05	-0.38
	HOO-Cat.	-36614.08	0.45	0.16	3.13
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.72
	Cat.	-33517.86			
	HO-Cat.	-35579.39	0.36	0.08	0.45
5-coordinated_Mn	O-Cat.	-35562.73	0.08	0.05	0.47
	HOO-Cat.	-37622.22	0.45	0.16	2.47
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.53
	Cat.	-34681.79			
	HO-Cat.	-36742.97	0.36	0.08	0.81
5-coordinated_Fe	O-Cat.	-36726.34	0.07	0.05	0.43
	HOO-Cat.	-38785.95	0.46	0.15	2.38
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.30
	Cat.	-35964.90			
	HO-Cat.	-38025.82	0.36	0.08	1.06
5-coordinated_Co	O-Cat.	-38008.77	0.07	0.05	0.85
	HOO-Cat.	-40069.10	0.45	0.15	1.65
	O <sub>2</sub> +Cat.				1.35
	Cat.	-37414.79			
	HO-Cat.	-39475.45	0.36	0.08	1.31
5-coordinated_Ni	O-Cat.	-39458.07	0.05	0.06	1.16
	HOO-Cat.	-41518.81	0.44	0.15	1.26
	$O_2$ +Cat.				1.18

	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu
V	1.766	1.454	1.402	1.388	1.309	1.216	1.078
Cr	1.77	1.456	1.382	1.373	1.328	1.225	1.023
Mn	1.868	1.642	1.452	1.482	1.341	1.249	1.12
Fe	1.851	1.626	1.402	1.489	1.22	1.219	1.114
Со	1.88	1.572	1.411	1.411	1.218	1.193	1.082
Ni	1.889	1.673	1.557	1.463	1.336	1.196	1.163

Table S3. The active-site ESPCs of SBUs with different metal combinations.

### REFERENCES

[1] Y. Zhou, G. Gao, W. Chu, L.-W. Wang, Transition-metal single atoms embedded into defective BC 3 as efficient electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution and reduction reactions, Nanoscale 13 (2021) 1331-1339.

[2] M.J. Craig, G. Coulter, E. Dolan, J. Soriano-López, E. Mates-Torres, W. Schmitt, M. García-Melchor, Universal scaling relations for the rational design of molecular water oxidation catalysts with near-zero overpotential, Nat. Commun. 10 (2019) 1-9.

[3] J. Li, W. Huang, M. Wang, S. Xi, J. Meng, K. Zhao, J. Jin, W. Xu, Z. Wang, X. Liu, Low-crystalline bimetallic metal-organic framework electrocatalysts with rich active sites for oxygen evolution, ACS Energy Lett. 4 (2018) 285-292.

[4] Z. Xue, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, W. Geng, B. Jia, J. Tang, S. Bao, H.P. Wang, Y. Fan, Z.w. Wei, Modulating electronic structure of metal-organic framework for efficient electrocatalytic oxygen evolution, Adv. Energy Mater. 8 (2018) 1801564.

[5] X.L. Wang, L.Z. Dong, M. Qiao, Y.J. Tang, J. Liu, Y. Li, S.L. Li, J.X. Su, Y.Q. Lan, Exploring the performance improvement of the oxygen evolution reaction in a stable bimetal-organic framework system, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57 (2018) 9660-9664.