Electronic Supplementary Information

Strong and tough self-wrinkling polyelectrolyte hydrogels constructed via diffusion-complexation strategy

Jianzhuang Shi,^a Ruoyu Dong,^a Changbin Ji,^a Wenxin Fan,^{*a} Tengbo Yu,^{*b} Yanzhi Xia^a and Kunyan Sui^{*a}

^{a.} State Key Laboratory of Bio-fibers and Eco-textiles, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Shandong Collaborative Innovation Center of Marine Biobased Fibers and Ecological textiles, Institute of Marine Biobased Materials, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, China

^{b.} Department of Sports Medicine, The Affiliated Hospital to Qingdao University, Qingdao 266003, China



Fig. S1 SA/PAM hydrogels shrink in CS solution. (a) Optical image. (b) The shrinkage ratio of SA/PAM hydrogels at different CS solution concentrations.



Fig. S2 FTIR spectra of initial SA/PAM hydrogel and self-wrinkling SA/PAM hydrogel.



Fig. S3 Optical images of the surfaces of SA/PAM hydrogels in 40 wt% (a,b) and 60 wt% (c,d) CS solutions as a function of immersion time. The doping concentration of SA in the SA/PAM hydrogels is 3 wt%.



Fig. S4 Surface modulus (\overline{E}_s) of SA/PAM hydrogels in 40 wt% and 60 wt% CS solutions as a function of immersion time. The doping concentration of SA in the SA/PAM hydrogels is 3 wt%.



Fig. S5 Schematic illustration of electrostatic interactions and the hydrogen bonds within self-wrinkled hydrogels.



Fig. S6 Mechanical properties of self-wrinkling hydrogels prepared by approach I. (a) Stress-strain curves of the SA/PAM hydrogels with different SA contents before and after being immersed into 60 wt% CS solution for 24 h. (b) Modulus and (c) toughness of self-wrinkling SA/PAM hydrogels prepared with different prestretching multiples in 60 wt% CS solutions.



Fig. S7 (a) Comparison of internal and surface modulus of SA/PAM hydrogels in 60 wt% CS solutions. (b) Modulus and (c) toughness of self-wrinkling SA/PAM hydrogels prepared with different prestretching multiples in 60 wt% CS solutions. The doping concentration of SA in the SA/PAM hydrogels is 3 wt%.



Fig. S8 Comparison of residual strains between the initial hydrogels and self-wrinkling hydrogels prepared under different pre-stretched strains.



Fig. S9 Different 3D hydrogels with ordered wrinkled surfaces constructed by the approach III, including (a,b) cage-shaped, (c) heart-shaped, and (d) pentagram-shaped self-wrinkling hydrogels. All scale bars are 1cm.



Fig. S10 (a) Stress-strain curves, (b) toughness and (c) modulus of initial SA/PAM hydrogels and self-wrinkling SA/PAM hydrogels prepared by the approach III.