# Artificial light-harvesting system based on ESIPT-AIE-FRET triple fluorescence mechanism

Tangxin Xiao<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Cheng Bao<sup>a</sup>, Liangliang Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Kai Diao<sup>a</sup>, Dongxing Ren<sup>a</sup>, Chunxiang Wei<sup>b,\*</sup>,

Zheng-Yi Li<sup>a</sup>, Xiao-Qiang Sun<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Petrochemical Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, China.

E-mail: xiaotangxin@cczu.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK

E-mail: cw794@cam.ac.uk

<sup>c</sup>Institute of Urban & Rural Mining, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, China

## **Table of Contents**

1. Supplementary characterization of L NPs	2
2. Supplementary characterization of L-NDI LHS	3
3. Control experiment based on compound C	8
4. $Cu^{2+}$ sensing by <b>L</b> and <b>L-NDI</b> NPs	9
5. Synthesis of compound L and C	11
6. References	14

### 1. Supplementary characterization of L NPs



Scheme S1 The enol and keto forms of compound L.



Fig. S1. Solid-state fluorescence spectrum of L ( $\lambda_{ex} = 327$  nm).



Fig. S2. UV-vis absorption spectrum of L nanoparticles (NPs) in mixed THF/H<sub>2</sub>O ( $f_w = 90\%$ ). [L] =  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  M.



Fig. S3. Zeta-potentials of (a) L NPs, (b) L-NDI NPs.  $[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M and  $[NDI] = 1 \times 10^{-7}$  M, respectively.



### 2. Supplementary characterization of L-NDI LHS

Fig. S4. (a) DLS data of L-NDI in THF/water ( $f_w = 90\%$ ). (b) TEM image of L-NDI NPs.



**Fig. S5.** Fluorescence decay profiles of **L** NPs (orange line) and **L-NDI** NPs (red line), inset: fluorescent photographs of **L** NPs (left) and **L-NDI** NPs (right).

**Table S1** Fluorescence lifetimes of L and L-NDI (D:A = 200:1) upon excitation at 327 nm,  $[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M,  $[NDI] = 1.00 \times 10^{-7}$  M, respectively.

Sample	$\tau_1/ns$	<b>RW1</b> [%]	$\tau_2/ns$	<b>RW2</b> [%]	τ/ns	$\chi^2$
L	0.50	25.54	2.69	74.46	2.13	1.092
<b>L-NDI</b> ( <b>L</b> : <b>NDI</b> = 200 : 1)	0.64	66.86	2.04	33.14	1.10	1.109



**Fig. S6.** Absolute fluorescence quantum yields ( $\Phi_{f(abs)}$ ) (a) of **L**, (b) of **L-NDI** (**L**/**NDI** = 400/1), (c) of **L** + Cu<sup>2+</sup> (**L**/Cu<sup>2+</sup> = 1/1), upon excitation at 327 nm in aqueous solution. [**L**] =  $2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M.

**Table S2** Fluorescence quantum yields of L and L-NDI.  $[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M,  $[NDI] = 1.00 \times 10^{-7}$  M, respectively.

Sample	Flourescence quantum yields ( $\Phi_{f(abs)}$ )		
L	19.14%		
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L : NDI = 200 : 1$ )	59.76%		
$L + Cu^{2+}$ (1:1)	0.18%		



Fig. S7. Fluorescence spectra of L and L-NDI (D:A = 200:1) assembly upon excitation at 327 nm.

Energy-transfer efficiency ( $\Phi_{ET}$ ) was calculated from fluorescence spectra by the equation S1<sup>[S1]</sup>:

$$\Phi_{\rm ET} = 1 - I_{\rm DA} / I_{\rm D} (\rm eq. \ S1)$$

Where  $I_{DA}$  and  $I_D$  are the fluorescence intensities of L-NDI (donor and acceptor) and L (donor) at 585 nm when excited at 327 nm, respectively.

Sample	Concentration, respectively	Energy-transfer efficiency ( $\Phi_{ET}$ )
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L : NDI = 200 : 1$ )	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} M$ $[NDI] = 1.00 \times 10^{-7} M$	50.2%
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L : NDI = 300 : 1$ )	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} M$ $[NDI] = 6.67 \times 10^{-8} M$	41.1%
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L : NDI = 400 : 1$ )	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} M$ $[NDI] = 5.00 \times 10^{-8} M$	36.9%
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L : NDI = 500 : 1$ )	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} M$ $[NDI] = 4.00 \times 10^{-8} M$	32.8%
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L : NDI = 750 : 1$ )	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} M$ $[NDI] = 2.67 \times 10^{-8} M$	25.2%
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L : NDI = 1000 : 1$ )	$[\mathbf{L}] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ $[\mathbf{NDI}] = 2.00 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}$	18.3%
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L : NDI = 2000 : 1$ )	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} M$ $[NDI] = 1.00 \times 10^{-8} M$	9.6%

Table S3 Energy-transfer efficiency with different L/NDI ratio.



**Fig. S8.** Fluorescence spectra of **L-NDI** (red line:  $\lambda_{ex} = 327$  nm; blue line:  $\lambda_{ex} = 585$  nm). The black line represents the fluorescence spectrum of **L**, which was normalized according to the fluorescence intensity at 585 nm of the red line. [**L**] =  $2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M, [**NDI**] =  $5.00 \times 10^{-8}$  M, respectively.

The antenna effect (AE) was calculated based on the emission spectra using equation S2<sup>[S1]</sup>:

$$AE = I'_{DA,327} / I_{DA,585} = (I_{DA,327} - I_{D,327}) / I_{DA,585}$$
 (eq. S2)

Where  $I_{DA,327}$  and  $I_{DA,585}$  are the fluorescence intensities at 640 nm with the excitation of the light-harvesting system at 327 nm and 585 nm, respectively.  $I_{D,327}$  is the fluorescence intensities at 640 nm of L, which was normalized with the L-NDI assembly at 585 nm.

Sample	Concentration, respectively	AE
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L: NDI = 200: 1$ )	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ $[NDI] = 1.00 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}$	20.9
<b>L-NDI</b> ( <b>L</b> : <b>NDI</b> = 300 : 1)	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ $[NDI] = 6.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}$	21.1
<b>L-NDI</b> ( $L : NDI = 400 : 1$ )	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ $[NDI] = 5.00 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}$	23.0
<b>L-NDI</b> ( <b>L</b> : <b>NDI</b> = 500 : 1)	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ $[NDI] = 4.00 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}$	16.7
<b>L-NDI</b> ( <b>L</b> : <b>NDI</b> = 750 : 1)	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ $[NDI] = 2.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}$	15.4
<b>L-NDI</b> ( <b>L</b> : <b>NDI</b> = 1000 : 1)	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ $[NDI] = 2.00 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}$	13.4
<b>L-NDI</b> ( <b>L</b> : <b>NDI</b> = 2000 : 1)	$[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} M$ $[NDI] = 1.00 \times 10^{-8} M$	6.6

Table S4 Antenna effect with different L/NDI ratio.

#### 3. Control experiment based on compound C



Scheme S2 Chemical structure of C.



Fig. S9. (a) Fluorescence spectra of L and C NPs.  $[L] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M,  $[C] = 4.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M.  $\lambda_{ex} = 327$  nm. (b) Fluorescence spectra of C-NDI (red line:  $\lambda_{ex} = 327$  nm; blue line:  $\lambda_{ex} = 585$  nm). The black line represents the fluorescence spectrum of C, which was normalized according to the fluorescence intensity at 585 nm of the red line.  $[C] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5}$  M,  $[NDI] = 1.00 \times 10^{-7}$  M, respectively.

Table S	<b>S</b> 5	The	antenna	effect	value	of	C-NDI
I GOIC .		1110	antenna	011000	, and c	<b>U</b> 1	~

Sample	Concentration	AE
<b>C-NDI</b> ( <b>C</b> : <b>NDI</b> = $200 : 1$ )	$[\mathbf{C}] = 2.00 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ $[\mathbf{NDI}] = 1.00 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}$	8.4

### 4. Cu<sup>2+</sup> sensing by L and L-NDI



**Fig. S10.** Photograph (a) of compound **L** (b) of compound **L-NDI** interacting with metal ions under a 365 nm UV lamp.



**Fig. S12.** XPS spectra of (a) **L** NPs and (b) **L**-Cu NPs, inset: enlarged picture of the Cu  $2p_{3/2}$  binding energy.



Fig. S13. <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration spectra of L on increasing concentrations of  $Cu^{2+}$  in DMSO- $d_6$  solution.



**Fig. S14.** Bar diagram of the competitive experiments of various metal cations (a) and anions (b) on the fluorescence intensity of the L-Cu<sup>2+</sup> complex ( $\lambda_{ex.}/\lambda_{em.} = 327/585$  nm).



**Fig. S15.** (a) The fluorescent titration experiment of **L** (20  $\mu$ M) to detect Cu<sup>2+</sup> ( $\lambda_{ex.} = 327$  nm,  $\lambda_{em.} = 585$  nm). (b) The relationship between fluorescence intensity of the system at 585 nm and Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration (0  $\mu$ M–20  $\mu$ M).

### 5. Synthesis of compound L and C



Fig. S17.  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 298 K) of compound L.



Fig. S18. HR-MS (ESI, positive mode, CH<sub>3</sub>CN) of L.



Scheme S2 Synthesis of C

Synthesis of **C**: a mixture of **M** (150.0 mg, 0.4 mmol) and aniline (40.0 µL, 0.4 mmol) in 10 mL anhydrous ethanol solution was stirred for 8 h at room temperature. The mixture was filtered and the precipitate was washed with ethanol for three times. The solid was dried under vacuum at 50 °C to obtain the product as a fluffy powder (165.5 mg, yield 92%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 13.10 (s, 1H, Ar-OH), 8.76 (s, 1H, N=CH), 7.45-7.26 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.20-7.10 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 7.04-6.95 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 6.72 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 163.5, 159.5, 148.3, 143.7, 143.5, 140.8, 140.1, 136.3, 134.9, 134.6, 131.2, 131.2, 131.1, 129.9, 128.4, 128.4, 128.3, 127.4, 127.1, 127.0, 127.0, 121.8, 119.3, 116.6. HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO [M + H]<sup>+</sup>= 452.2009, found = 452.2007.



Fig. S20. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 298 K) of contrast compound C.



Fig. S21. HR-MS (ESI, positive mode, CH<sub>3</sub>CN) of C.

#### **6.** References

[S1] Hao, M.; Sun, G.; Zuo, M.; Xu, Z.; Chen, Y.; Hu, X.-Y.; Wang, L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 10095-10100.

[S2] Zhao, Y.-H.; Luo, Y.; Wang, H.; Guo, T.; Zhou, H.; Tan, H.; Zhou, Z.; Long, Y.; Tang, Z. *ChemistrySelect* **2018**, *3*, 1521–1526.

[S3] Li, Z.-Y.; Su, H.-K.; Zhou, K.; Yang, B.-Z.; Xiao, T.; Sun, X.-Q.; Jiang, J.; Wang, L. Dyes Pigments 2018, 149, 921–926.