## **Supplementary Information**

# Polymer/Small-Molecule Binary-Blend Hole Transport Layer for Enhancing Charge Balance in Blue Perovskite Light Emitting Diodes

Zhongkai Yu<sup>‡,a</sup>, Woo Hyeon Jeong<sup>‡,b</sup>, Keehoon Kang<sup>‡,c</sup>, Hochan Song <sup>b</sup>, Xinyu Shen <sup>a</sup>, Hyungju Ahn <sup>d</sup>, Seok Woo Lee <sup>c,e</sup>, Xiangyang Fan <sup>a</sup>, Ji Won Jang <sup>b</sup>, Su Ryong Ha <sup>b,f</sup>, Jeong Wan Min <sup>g</sup>, Jong Hyun Park <sup>h</sup>, Jongmin Han <sup>h</sup>, Eui Dae Jung <sup>i</sup>, Myoung Hoon Song <sup>h</sup>, Dong

Wook Chang <sup>e</sup>, Won Bin Im <sup>g</sup>, Sung Heum Park <sup>a</sup>, Hyosung Choi <sup>b\*</sup>and Bo Ram Lee <sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Pukyong National University, Busan 48513, Republic of Korea brlee@pknu.ac.kr

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Research Institute for Convergence of Basic Sciences, and Research Institute for Natural Science, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Republic of Korea hschoi202@hanyang.ac.kr

<sup>e</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Research Institute of Advanced Materials, Seoul National University, Seoul, 08826, Republic of Korea

<sup>d</sup> Pohang Accelerator Laboratory, POSTECH, Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea

<sup>e</sup> Department of Industrial Chemistry, Pukyong National University, Busan 48513, Republic of Korea

<sup>f</sup> New & Renewable Energy Research Center, Korea Electronics Technology Institute (KETI), Seongnam 13509, Republic of Korea

<sup>g</sup> Division of Materials Science and Engineering Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Republic of Korea

<sup>h</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, (UNIST) Ulsan 44919, Republic of Korea <sup>i</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, 10 King's College Road, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3G4, Canada

<sup>‡</sup>These authors contributed equally: Zhongkai Yu, Woo Hyeon Jeong and Keehoon Kang

### **Contents:**

- 1. Experimental Details
- 1.1 Materials
- 1.2 Preparation perovskite precursor and blended HTLs
- 1.3 Fabrications of PeLEDs
- 1.4 Characterization
- 2. Figure S1 : UPS spectra perovskite.
- 3. Figure S2 : Photoluminescence quantum yield spectra of perovskite film.
- 4. Figure S3 : J-V characteristics of hole-only and electron only devices.
- 5. Figure S4. : Cross-sectional SEM images of PeLED device.
- 6. Figure S5 : Histogram of maximum EQE of devices based on various HTLs.
- 7. Figure S6 : EL spectra of PeLED under different biases.
- 8. Figure S7 : Operational stability test of the optimal PeLED.
- 9. Table S1 : PL decay profiles.
- Table S2 : Summarized of blue (~ 480 nm) quasi-2D emissive PeLEDs parameters in the literature.

#### **1. Experimental section**

#### 1.1 Materials

Indium tin oxide-based transparent conductive electrode (~4.5  $\Omega$ /sq ITO) was purchased from AMG. Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene): poly-styrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS, Clevios AI 4083) was purchased from Heraeus Clevios, poly (9-vinlycarbazole) (PVK, Mw=1, 100,000 g mol<sup>-1</sup>), 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-1,3,4oxadiazole) (PBD,  $\geq$ 99%), lead bromide (PbBr<sub>2</sub>,  $\geq$ 98%), cesium bromide (CsBr, 99.999%), 2-pheneylethylamine hydrochloride (PEACl,  $\geq$ 98%), yttrium (III) chloride (YCl<sub>3</sub>, 99.99%), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 99.9%) and chlorobenzene (CB, 99.8%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. 2,2',2"-(1,3,5-benzinetriyl) tris(1-phenyl-1-H-benzimidazole) (TPBi, 99.9%) was purchased from OSM and lithium fluoride (LiF, 99.9%) was obtained from iTASCO. All chemicals were used without further purification.

#### 1.2 Preparation of Perovskite Precursor Solution and Blended HTLs

Perovskite: 45.9 mg PbBr<sub>2</sub> and 26.6 mg CsBr were dissolved in 0.5 mL DMSO, PEACl was dissolved in DMSO with a concentration of 1.2M and YCl<sub>3</sub> was dissolved in DMSO with a concentration of 0.25M. Then, 125  $\mu$ L PEACl solution and 10  $\mu$ L YCl<sub>3</sub> solution were added into the CsPbBr<sub>3</sub> precursor solution. The as-prepared was stirred at 60 °C and can be used after filtering with a 0.45  $\mu$ m hydrophilic filter.

Blended HTLs: Dissolving PVK and PBD with different weight ratios (10:0, 9:1, 7:3, 5:5) in CB with the concentration of 4 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>). The solution was spin-coated on PEDOT:PSS film at 4000 rpm for 40 s and the substrates were annealed at 120 °C for 10 minutes.

#### **1.3 Device fabrication**

The indium tin oxide (ITO) substrates were washed with detergent and deionized water, then sonicated in acetone and ethanol for 10 minutes, respectively. After drying in oven, the

substrates were treated with  $O_2$  plasma cleaner for 10 minutes. PEDOT:PSS solution was spin coated on cleaned ITO at 4500 rpm for 40 s. After annealing at 140°C for 10 minutes, samples were transferred into glove box and HTLs were deposited on the PEDOT:PSS films. The perovskite precursor solution was spin-coated on HTL films at 500 rpm for 5 s and 5000 rpm for 40 s. After 15 s of spin-coating at 5000 rpm, 300 µL CB was dropped onto the substrate with above layers. After annealing at 100°C for 2.5 minutes, the samples were transferred to the thermal evaporation chamber, and TPBi (40 nm), LiF (1 nm) and Al (100 nm) were sequentially deposited at about 1×10<sup>-6</sup> Torr by the thermal evaporation method. According to the area covered by the aluminum electrode, the defined area of the unit device is 0.135 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 1.4 Characterization

AFM was measured by a scanning probe microscope (Icon-PT-PLUS, RUKER). SEM was measured with Verios G4 UC (FEI) Smart Lab at the Hanyang LINC + Analytical Equipment Center (Seoul) with beam energy of 5kV. Steady-state PL measurements were carried out using a pulsed xenon lamp. Time-resolved PL decay measurements were carried out by a He-Cd laser operating at a wavelength of 375 nm. The J-V-L characteristics, EQE and EL spectra were measured using a Konica Minolta spectroradiometer (CS-2000) with a Keithley 2400 source meter. Device characteristics were measured under ambient air conditions with encapsulation. UPS spectra were collected using a photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Theta Probe) with a He I (21.22 eV) ultraviolet source in Smart Lab at the Hanyang LINC + analytical equipment center (Seoul). UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured by Varian Cary 5000 spectrophotometer. XRD patterns were measured using a using an X'Pert-MPD diffractometer (Philips, Netherlands) employing CuK $\alpha$  radiation. The GIWAXS results were observed using Xrays (E = 19.83 keV). PLQY measurement of the perovskite film was conducted with absolute photoluminescence quantum yields measurement system (Horiba Fluorolog3) equipped with an integrating hemisphere, and samples were excited at the

wavelength of 375 nm.



Figure S1. UPS spectra of CsPbBr<sub>3</sub>:PEACl :YCl<sub>3</sub> perovskite.



Figure S2. Photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) spectra of perovskite film.



Figure S3. J-V characteristics of hole-only<sup>a</sup>) and electron only<sup>b</sup>) devices. <sup>a</sup>)ITO/PEDOT:PSS/HTLs/Perovskite/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Au <sup>b</sup>)ITO/ZnO/Perovskite/TPBi/LiF:Al



Figure S4. Cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy image of PeLED.



Figure S5. a) Comparison of EQE values for the devices with various HTLs. b) Histogram for the maximum EQE of devices based on various HTLs.



Figure S6. Electroluminesence spectra of PeLED under different biases.



Figure S7. a) Operational stability test of the optimal PeLED. b) Electroluminescence spectra of each step.

Sample configuration	τ <sub>1</sub> (ns)	A <sub>1</sub> (%)	τ <sub>2</sub> (ns)	A2 (%)	τ <sub>3</sub> (ns)	A3 (%)	τ <sub>avg</sub> (ns)
Perovskite	57.98	4.08	11.07	29.90	2.65	66.92	7.35
PVK	41.24	4.97	8.45	29.41	2.11	65.62	5.92
PVK:PBD (9:1)	40.76	4.79	8.39	29.61	2.09	65.60	5.81
PVK:PBD (7:3)	41.48	4.06	8.03	29.35	1.79	66.59	5.23
PVK:PBD (5:5)	40.30	4.02	7.66	30.59	1.80	65.39	5.14

Table S1. Time-resolved PL decay profiles of perovskite and with various HTLs films.

 $\tau_1, \tau_2, \text{ and } \tau_3$ : Lifetimes  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$ : Respective fractional contributions  $\tau_{avg}$ : Average lifetime  $(\tau_{avg})$  which is calculated using  $\sum Ai \cdot \tau_i$ 

$$\tau_{avg} = i = 1$$

Perovskite	Emission peak [nm]	EQE <sub>max</sub> [%]	L <sub>max</sub> [cd/m <sup>2</sup> ]	CE <sub>max</sub> [cd/A]	Reference	
<sup>1</sup> PEA <sub>2</sub> (Cs <sub>1-x</sub> EA <sub>x</sub> PbBr <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> PbBr <sub>4</sub>	480	4.19	83	6.17	Nat. Commun. 2020 11, 4165	
<sup>1</sup> CsPbCl <sub>0.9</sub> Br <sub>2.1</sub> :PEABr	480	5.7	3780	6.1	Nat. Commun. 2019 10, 1027	
$^{1}$ PEA <sub>2</sub> Cs <sub>1.6</sub> MA <sub>0.4</sub> Pb <sub>3</sub> Br <sub>10</sub>	479	5.2	468	-	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020 142, 5126	
<sup>2</sup> CsPbBr <sub>3</sub> :GABA	478	6.3	200	-	Nat. Commun. 2020 11, 3674	
<sup>3</sup> MePEABr:CsPbBr <sub>3</sub>	477	2.9	154	2.6	Adv. Funct. Mater. 2021 31, 2103299	
<sup>1</sup> PEA-Rb <sub>0.3</sub> Cs <sub>0.7</sub> PbBr <sub>2.7</sub> Cl <sub>0.3</sub>	475	10.1	14000	-	Adv. Mater. 2021 33, 2100783	
<sup>4</sup> NEA-FAPbBr <sub>3-x</sub> Cl <sub>x</sub>	474	3.1	2810	-	Nano Energy 2021 79, 105486	
<sup>5</sup> (Cs/FA/p-F-PEA)Pb(Cl/Br) <sub>3</sub>	469	4.14	451	2.71	Adv. Funct. Mater. 2020 2006736	
<sup>6</sup> (Rb/Cs/FA)Pb(Cl/Br) <sub>3</sub> :TTDDA	467	5.5	200~	-	Nat. Commun. <b>2021</b> 12, 361	
<sup>7</sup> PBABr:(Cs/FA/MA)PbBr <sub>3</sub>	465	2.34	144.9	-	ACS Energy Lett. 2020 5, 1593	
<sup>1</sup> CsPbBr <sub>3</sub> :PEACl :YCl <sub>3</sub>	478	5.30	167	4.42	Our work	

Table S2. Summarized of blue (~ 480 nm) quasi-2D emissive PeLEDs parameters in the literature.

<sup>1</sup>PEA: phenylethylammonium, <sup>2</sup>GABA: γ-aminobutyric acid, <sup>3</sup>MePEA: methoxy phenethylammonium,

<sup>4</sup>NEA: 2-(2-naphthyl)ethanamine, <sup>5</sup>p-F-PEA: 4-Fluorophenylethylammonium,

<sup>6</sup>TTDDA: 4,7,10-trioxa-1,13-tridecanediamin, <sup>7</sup>PBA: 4-Phenylbutylammonium.