Supporting Information

Multiple Ordered Porous Honeycombed g-C₃N₄ with Carbon Ring In-Plane Splicing for Outstanding Photocatalytic H₂ Production

Xiaobo Wu^a, Huiqing Fan^{*a}, Weijia Wang^{*a}, Lin Lei^a, Xinye, Chang^a and Longtao Ma^{*b}

^aState Key Laboratory of Solidification Processing, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, 710072 (P. R. China)

^bFrontiers Science Center for Flexible Electronics, Institute of Flexible Electronics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, 710072 (P. R. China)

*Corresponding author: prof. Huiqing Fan E-mail: hqfan@nwpu.edu.cn

Photocatalytic Measurements for Water Splitting

50 mg of sample and 10 mL of triethanolamine (TOEA) were stirred and ultrasonically dispersed in 90 mL deionized water, then Pt co-catalyst (3 wt.%) was insitu photo-deposited on the photocatalyst by injecting 2 g/L H₂PtCl₆ aqueous solution into preceding mixture solution. The whole system was vacuumized before photocatalytic testing and sustained at 10 °C by circulating condensed water. A 300 W Xeon lamp (MAX-302, Asahi Spectra, Torrance, CA, USA) is used as the radiation light source and the wavelength is controlled by a UV cut-off filter. The volume of evolved hydrogen was collected and tested by a gas chromatography instrument. The light intensity was detected by an irradiatometer (FZ-A, PEIFBNU, Beijing, China). AEQ was calculated using Eq. (1):

$$AQE = \frac{2 \times (Number of hydrogen molecules)}{Number of incident potons} \times 100\%$$
(1)

Electrochemical Measurements

All electrochemical measurements were carried out in a three-electrode system by means of an electrochemical workstation (CHI660E, Chenhua, Shanghai, China). The photocatalyst paste was prepared by adding several drops of terpineol to the mixture (mass ratio of sample/ethyl cellulose/lauric acid = 5:3:2) and griding it thoroughly. The working electrode was fabricated by coating paste onto exposed area (a circle of 8 cm in diameter) of FTO conductive glass. The glass is then calcined at $350 \,^{\circ}$ C in a Muffle furnace for half an hour. Therein a square platinum sheet and an Ag/AgCl electrode (3 M KCl) served as the counter electrode and reference electrode, at the same time, 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution is selected as the electrolyte. Additionally, the EIS spectra

were measured by imposing an open-circuit voltage with an amplitude of 5 mV under a frequency range of 10^6 to 10^{-2} Hz.



Fig. S1. (a) SEM image of CN; TEM images of (b) CN and (c) PHCN.



Fig. S2. (a), (b) and (c) Elements mapping on C_r -PHCN; STEM-EDS spectra and atomic fraction of (d) CN, (e) PHCN and (f) C_r -PHCN.



Fig. S3. The color of (a) CN, (b) PHCN and (c) C_r-PHCN.

Table S1. Fitting results for the lifetimes of photogenerated charge carriers of CN, PHCN and C_r-PHCN.

Sample	τ_{av} (ns)	Lifetime (ns)	Percentage (%)
CN	3.17	$\tau_1: 1.40$	82.2
		τ ₂ : 5.32	17.8
CN-NT	3.81	$\tau_1: 1.63$	76.6
		τ ₂ : 5.82	23.3
C _r -PHCN	4.58	$\tau_1: 1.84$	76.3
		$\tau_2: 6.92$	23.7

Table S2. AQE of CN, PHCN and C_r-PHCN under monochromatic lights with different wavelengths.

Sample	λ (nm) - AQE (%)					
	420	450	500	550	600	
CN	0.94	0.29	0	0	0	
PHCN	4.48	2.36	0.76	0.26	0	
C _r -PHCN-	10.62	5.36	1.12	0.41	0	



Fig. S4. (a) SEM image of C_r -PHCN after the photocatalytic reaction and (b) XRD patterns of C_r -PHCN before and after the photocatalytic reaction.

The powder under the SiO₂ templates during the CVD preparation process of C_r -PHCN was collected as a blank sample, which is carbon ring in-plane seamless splicing carbon nitride (denoted as C_r -CN). The time courses of H₂ evolution and the average hydrogen evolution rate (HER) are tested under the same conditions.



Fig. S5. Time courses of H_2 evolution and HER of C_r -CN.

Photocatalyst	Method	AQE (%)	HER (µmol·h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹)	Ref
C _r -PHCN	In-air CVD	10.62	7581	This work
3DOM CN	Self-assembly	6.27	7900	1
CCN	Self-assembly		6400	2
CN-10	NaCl template	2.2	459	3
C _r -CN	Multistep heating	5	371	4
CN-Br-3	Co-pyrolysis	14.3	1354	5
CN-NT	In-air CVD	6.49	4605	6
R-CN	In-air CVD		1900	7
HOCN	Copolymerization	4.32	1140	8
CdZnS-HCN	In-situ precipitation		5145	9

Table S3. The comparison of photocatalytic hydrogen performance of the C_r -PHCN with other g- C_3N_4 based photocatalysts.

References

- R. Cao, H. Yang, X. Deng, P. Sun, S. Zhang and X. Xu, *ChemCatChem*, 2018, 10, 5656-5664.
- G. Liu, M. Xue, Q. Liu, H. Yang and Y. Zhou, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2019, 552, 728-734.
- 3 F. Yang, D. Liu, Y. Li, L. Cheng and J. Ye, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2019, **240**, 64-71.
- W. Che, W. Cheng, T. Yao, F. Tang, W. Liu, H. Su, Y. Huang, Q. Liu, J. Liu and F. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 3021-3026.
- 5 C. Liu, H. Huang, L. Ye, S. Yu, N. Tian, X. Du, T. Zhang and Y. Zhang, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **41**, 738-748.
- 6 X. Wu, H. Fan, W. Wang, L. Lei and X. Chang, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2021, 4,

13263-13271.

- 7 L. Cui, J. Song, A. F. McGuire, S. Kang, X. Fang, J. Wang, C. Yin, X. Li, Y. Wang and B. Cui, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 5551-5558.
- 8 S. Li, C. Hu, Y. Peng and Z. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 32674-32682.
- 9 Q. Liang, C. Zhang, S. Xu, M. Zhou and Z. Li, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2019, 44, 29964-29974.