# **Supporting information**

## H<sub>2</sub>-production and electron-transfer mechanism of noble-metal-free

# WO<sub>3</sub>@ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> S-scheme heterojunction photocatalyst

Shuang Cao,<sup>a</sup> Jiaguo Yu,<sup>a,b</sup> Swelm Wageh,<sup>c,\*</sup> Ahmed A. Al-Ghamdi,<sup>c</sup> Mitra Mousavi,<sup>d</sup>

Jahan B. Ghasemi<sup>d</sup> and Feiyan Xu<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing,

Wuhan University of Technology, Luoshi Road 122, Wuhan, 430070, P.R. China

<sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Solar Fuel, Faculty of Materials Science and Chemistry, China University

of Geosciences, Lumo Road 388, Wuhan, 430074, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

<sup>d</sup> School of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Tehran, P.O. Box 14155-6455, Tehran, Iran

\* E-mail: xufeiyan@cug.edu.cn; wswelm@kau.edu.sa

### **1. Experimental section**

#### 1.1 Chemicals

Tungsten hexachloride (WCl<sub>6</sub>), Indium chloride (InCl<sub>3</sub>·*x*H<sub>2</sub>O), 5,5-Dimethyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (DMPO) were purchased from Macklin Reagent Co., Ltd. Polyacrylonitrile (PAN,  $M_W$  =150,000), thioacetamide (TAA), N, N-Dimethylformamide (DMF), zinc chloride and ethanol were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All the reagents were analytical grade and used without further purification.

#### 1.2 Characterizations

Field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were observed on JSM 7500F and Talos F200S, respectively. X-Ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were performed on a Shimadzu X-ray diffractometer (XRD-6100, Japan) with Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation. UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were obtained on a Shimadzu UV-2600 UV–visible spectrophotometer (Japan). The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area ( $S_{BET}$ ) and pore size distribution of the powders were obtained by nitrogen adsorption in a nitrogen adsorption apparatus (TriStar II 3020, Micromeritics, USA). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on a Thermo ESCALAB 210 XPS spectrometer system (UK) with Al  $K\alpha$ radiation. *In-situ* XPS measurements were conducted under the same condition except that light irradiation was introduced. Time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) spectra was recorded on a fluorescence lifetime spectrophotometer (FLS 1000, Edinburgh, UK) at an excitation wavelength of 325 nm. The average decay time ( $\tau_a$ ) was calculated from the following formula:

$$\tau_{a} = \frac{A_{1}\tau_{1}^{2} + A_{2}\tau_{2}^{2} + A_{3}\tau_{3}^{2}}{A_{1}\tau_{1} + A_{2}\tau_{2} + A_{3}\tau_{3}}$$
(1)

Electrochemical measurements were conducted using an electrochemical analyzer (CHI660C Instruments, Shanghai) in a standard three-electrode system. Pt wire, Ag/AgCl (saturating KCl) and 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution functioned as the counter electrode, reference electrode and electrolyte, respectively. For the working electrode, 20 mg of photocatalysts were dispersed in 1.0 mL of ethanol and 10  $\mu$ L of Nafion solution to make slurry, which was then coated onto F-doped SnO<sub>2</sub>-coated (FTO) glass electrode with an exposed area of *ca*. 1 cm<sup>2</sup>. Afterward, the electrode was dried in an oven at 60 °C for 1 h.

#### 1.3 Apparent quantum yield (AQY) calculation

The apparent quantum yield (AQY) was measured under the same photocatalytic reaction conditions except using monochromatic light of 420 nm as the light source. The light intensity was measured as 3 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> and the irradiation area was determined to be 1cm<sup>2</sup>. The number of incident photons and the AQY were calculated according to the following equations:

$$N = \frac{E\lambda}{hc} = \frac{3 \times 10^{-3} \times 8.5 \times 3600 \times 420 \times 10^{-9}}{6.626^{-34} \times 3 \times 10^8} = 1.94 \times 10^{20}$$

$$AQY = \frac{2 \times the number of evolved H_2}{the number of incident photons} \times 100 = \frac{2 \times 3.5 \times 10^{23} \times 10 \times 10^{-6}}{1.94 \times 10^{20}} \times 100 = 3.61\%$$
(3)

## 1.4 Band structure ( $E_g$ , $E_c$ and $E_V$ ) calculation

The band structure ( $E_{CBM}$ ,  $E_{VBM}$  and  $E_g$ ) of WO<sub>3</sub> and ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> was calculated according to the following empirical formulas:

$$\alpha h_V = A(h_V - E_g)^{n/2} \tag{4}$$

$$E_{VBM} = E_{FP} + E_{cuttoff} \tag{5}$$

$$E_{CBM} = E_g - E_{VBM} \tag{6}$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $E_g$ , and hv represent the absorption index, energy gap and photon energy, respectively. As for *n*, different types of semiconductors have different *n* values. The *n* values of indirect band gap semiconductors and direct band gap semiconductors are 4 and 1, respectively.  $E_{\rm FP}$  represents the flat band potential while  $E_{\rm cutoff}$  stands for the cutoff edge obtained from VB XPS spectra.

(2)

## **2.** Supporting Figures



Fig. S1 XRD patterns of WO<sub>3</sub>,  $ZnIn_2S_4$  and WZx. WZx represents the WO<sub>3</sub>@ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> composite, where W and Z represent WO<sub>3</sub> and  $ZnIn_2S_4$ , respectively; x indicates the molar percentage of WO<sub>3</sub> with respect to  $ZnIn_2S_4$ .



Fig. S2 Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and the corresponding pore size distribution (inset) of WO<sub>3</sub>,  $ZnIn_2S_4$  and WZ25. WZ25 represents the WO<sub>3</sub>@ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> composite, where W and Z represent WO<sub>3</sub> and  $ZnIn_2S_4$ , respectively; 25 indicates the molar percentage of WO<sub>3</sub> with respect to  $ZnIn_2S_4$ .



Fig. S3 (a) UV-vis spectra of WO<sub>3</sub>,  $ZnIn_2S_4$  and WZ25, (b) Kubelka-Monk plots of WO<sub>3</sub> and  $ZnIn_2S_4$ . WZ25 represents the WO<sub>3</sub>@ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> composite, where W and Z represent WO<sub>3</sub> and ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>, respectively; 25 indicates the molar percentage of WO<sub>3</sub> with respect to ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>.



Fig. S4 Valence band XPS spectra of (a)  $WO_3$  and (b)  $ZnIn_2S_4$ .



Fig. S5 (a) Transient photocurrent response, (b) Nyquist plots and (c) polarization curves of WO<sub>3</sub>,  $ZnIn_2S_4$  and WZ25. WZ25 represents the WO<sub>3</sub>@ $ZnIn_2S_4$  composite, where W and Z represent WO<sub>3</sub> and  $ZnIn_2S_4$ , respectively; 25 is the molar percentages of WO<sub>3</sub> with respect to  $ZnIn_2S_4$ .



Fig. S6 (a) FESEM and (b) XRD pattern of WZ25 after cycle photocatalytic reactions. WZ25 represents the WO<sub>3</sub>@ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> composite, where W and Z represent WO<sub>3</sub> and ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>, respectively; 25 is the molar percentages of WO<sub>3</sub> with respect to ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>.



Fig. S7 (a) XPS survey spectra, XPS spectra of (b) W 4f and (c) O 1s, (d) Zn 2p, (e) In 3d and (f) S 2p of WZ25 before and after cycled photocatalytic reactions. WZ25 represents the WO<sub>3</sub>@ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> composite, where W and Z represent WO<sub>3</sub> and ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>, respectively; 25 is the molar percentages of WO<sub>3</sub> with respect to ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>.

# **3. Supporting Tables**

Samples	$S_{\rm BET} ({ m m}^2{ m g}^{-1})$	d <sub>pore</sub> (nm)	$V_{\rm pore}({ m cm^3g^{-1}})$
WO <sub>3</sub>	9	17	0.04
ZnIn <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	36	10	0.09
WZ25	17	12	0.05

Table S1. Physical properties of  $WO_3$ ,  $ZnIn_2S_4$  and WZ25.

**Table S2.** The fitted lifetimes obtained from decay curves of WO<sub>3</sub> and WZ25 dispersed in DMF under argon atmosphere. WZ25 represents the WO<sub>3</sub>@ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> composite, where W and Z represent WO<sub>3</sub> and ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>, respectively; 25 is the molar percentages of WO<sub>3</sub> with respect to ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>.

Sample	$ au_1$ (ns)	$ au_2$ (ns)	<b>7</b> 3 (ns)
WO <sub>3</sub>	1.19	2.08	34.98
WZ25	0.91	2.05	24.53

Catalyst	Cocatalyst	Hole scavenger	H <sub>2</sub> evolution rate (μmol h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Ref.
WO <sub>3</sub> @ZnIn <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	None	TEOA	8500	This
WO <sub>3</sub> /g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	Pt	Lactic acid	982	1
g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> /IrO <sub>x</sub>	Pt	Methanol	8150	2
g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> /Onion-like carbon	Pt	TEOA	3086	3
CuInS <sub>2</sub> /ZnIn <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	Pt	$0.35 \text{ M} \text{ NaS}_2$ and $0.25 \text{ M}$	3430	4
$TiO_2/ZnIn_2S_4$	Pd	TEOA	5350	5
Black phosphorus/Bi <sub>2</sub> WO <sub>6</sub>	Pt	TEOA	4208	6
Ta <sub>3</sub> N <sub>5</sub> /BaTaO <sub>2</sub> N	Pt	methanol	182	7
Covalent triazine frameworks- Benzothiadiazole/Thio	Pt	TEOA	6608	8
SnO <sub>2</sub> /UiO-66-NH <sub>2</sub>	Pt	Trimethylamine	2167	9
$SnO_2/g$ - $C_3N_4$	Au	Methanol	770	10
Multi-edged TiO <sub>2</sub>	Ru	Methanol	7200	11

**Table S3.** Comparison of photocatalytic  $H_2$  generation performance of variousphotocatalysts with and without noble metal cocatalysts.

## References

- 1 J.W. Fu, Q.L. Xu, J.X. Low, C.J. Jiang, J.G. Yu, Ultrathin 2D/2D WO<sub>3</sub>/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> stepscheme H<sub>2</sub>-production photocatalyst, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, 2019, **243**, 556-565.
- 2 H.S. Moon, K.C. Hsiao, M.C. Wu, Y. Yun, Y.J. Hsu, K. Yong, Spatial Separation of Cocatalysts on Z-Scheme Organic/Inorganic Heterostructure Hollow Spheres for Enhanced Photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> Evolution and In-Depth Analysis of the Charge-Transfer Mechanism, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, 2200172.
- 3 Y. Shi, Q. Zhao, J. Li, G. Gao, J. Zhi, Onion-liked carbon-embedded graphitic carbon nitride for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution and dye degradation, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, 2022, **308**, 121216.
- 4 Z. Guan, J. Pan, Q. Li, G. Li, J. Yang, Boosting Visible-Light Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution with an Efficient CuInS<sub>2</sub>/ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> 2D/2D Heterojunction, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 7736-7742.
- 5 P. She, J.S. Qin, J. Sheng, Y. Qi, H. Rui, W. Zhang, X. Ge, G. Lu, X. Song, H. Rao, Dual-Functional Photocatalysis for Cooperative Hydrogen Evolution and Benzylamine Oxidation Coupling over Sandwiched-Like Pd@TiO<sub>2</sub>@ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> Nanobox, *Small*, 2022, **18**, 2105114.
- 6 J. Hu, D. Chen, Z. Mo, N. Li, Q. Xu, H. Li, J. He, H. Xu, J. Lu, Z-Scheme 2D/2D Heterojunction of Black Phosphorus/Monolayer Bi<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>6</sub> Nanosheets with Enhanced Photocatalytic Activities, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2019, **58**, 2073-2077.

- 7 B. Dong, J. Cui, Y. Gao, Y. Qi, F. Zhang, C. Li, Heterostructure of 1D Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> Nanorod/BaTaO<sub>2</sub> N Nanoparticle Fabricated by a One-Step Ammonia Thermal Route for Remarkably Promoted Solar Hydrogen Production, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1808185.
- 8 W. Huang, Q. He, Y. Hu, Y. Li, Molecular Heterostructures of Covalent Triazine Frameworks for Enhanced Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2019, 58, 8676-8680.
- 9 J. Sui, H. Liu, S. Hu, K. Sun, G. Wan, H. Zhou, X. Zheng, H.L. Jiang, A General Strategy to Immobilize Single-Atom Catalysts in Metal-Organic Frameworks for Enhanced Photocatalysis, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, 34, 2109203.
- 10 A. Zada, M. Humayun, F. Raziq, X. Zhang, Y. Qu, L. Bai, C. Qin, L. Jing, H. Fu, Exceptional Visible-Light-Driven Cocatalyst-Free Photocatalytic Activity of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> by Well Designed Nanocomposites with Plasmonic Au and SnO<sub>2</sub>, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, 6, 1601190.
- 11 H.B. Zhang, S.W. Zuo, M. Qiu, S.B. Wang, Y.F. Zhang, J. Zhang, X.F.D. Luo, Direct probing of atomically dispersed Ru species over multi-edged TiO<sub>2</sub> for highly efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, 6, eabb9823.