Supporting Information

## The facilitated cathodic elementary reactions of solid oxide electrolysis cell for CO<sub>2</sub> conversion over Ce decorated La<sub>0.43</sub>Ca<sub>0.37</sub>Ti<sub>0.94</sub>Ni<sub>0.06</sub>O<sub>3-δ</sub> electrocatalyst

Zhishan Li<sup>1,2</sup>, Meilan Peng<sup>1</sup>, Yinlong Zhu<sup>3</sup>, Zhiwei Hu<sup>4</sup>, Chih-Wen Pao<sup>5</sup>, Yu-Chung

Chang<sup>5</sup>, Yifan Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yingru Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Jianhui Li<sup>6</sup>, Yifei Sun\* 1,2,7,8

<sup>1</sup>College of Energy, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, 361102, China

<sup>2</sup>Innovation Laboratory for Sciences and Technologies of Energy Materials of Fujian

Province (IKKEM), Xiamen, Fujian, 361005, China

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Frontier Science, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics,

Nanjing, Jiangsu, 211106, China

<sup>4</sup>Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Dresden, Germany

<sup>5</sup>National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, 101 Hsin-Ann Road, Hsinchu,

30076, Taiwan

<sup>6</sup>National Engineering Laboratory for Green Chemical Productions of Alcohols,

Ethers and Esters, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen

University, Xiamen, Fujian, 361005, China

<sup>7</sup>State Key Laboratory of Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces, College of Chemistry

and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian, 361005, China

<sup>8</sup>Shenzhen Research Institute of Xiamen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518057,

China

Correspondence to: \*Yifei Sun (E-mail: yfsun@xmu.edu.cn)



Figure S1. XRD patterns of (a) LCTNi calcined at 800 and 1100 °C for 5 h (denoted as LCTNi\_800 °C and LCTNi\_1100 °C), and (b) LCTNi-Ce calcined at 800 and 1100 °C for 5 h (denoted as LCTNi-Ce\_800 °C and LCTNi-Ce\_1100 °C).



**Figure S2.** H<sub>2</sub>-TPR curves of La<sub>0.43</sub>Ca<sub>0.37</sub>TiO<sub>3- $\delta$ </sub> (LCT), LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce in 5% H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere ranging from 100 to 700 °C. Given that only Ti species can be reduced in LCT, the H<sub>2</sub> consumption peaks at ~370 °C for LCT, ~438 °C for LCTNi, and ~370 as well as ~410 °C for LCTNi-Ce, are related to the reduction of Ti<sup>4+</sup> to Ti<sup>3+</sup> in perovskite phase. The reduction peak at ~580 °C for both LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce is ascribed to the reduction of Ni<sup>2+</sup> to Ni<sup>0</sup>. The extra peak at 500 °C for LCTNi-Ce corresponds to the reduction of Ce<sup>4+</sup> to Ce<sup>3+</sup>, which is in agreement with the result of surface CeO<sub>2</sub> reported by Ni et al.<sup>1</sup>



Figure S3. SEM images of the cross-sectional microstructure for (a) LCTNi-Ce||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF electrolysis cell, (b) cathode layer and (c) anode layer.



Figure S4. SEM images and EDS mappings (correspond to the selected area in left) of (a) LCTNi and (b) LCTNi-Ce after being reduced at 800 °C for 3 h in pure H<sub>2</sub>. For LCTNi-Ce sample, the trumap analysis is applied because the energy window of Ce  $M_{\alpha}$  is overlap with that of Ni L<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>.



Figure S5. (a) SEM image and (b) BSE result of LCTNi-Ce after being reduced at 800 °C for 3 h in pure  $H_2$ .



Figure S6. (a) TEM images and (b) HAADF-STEM and EDS mappings of reduced

LCTNi powder, where scale bar refers to 100 nm.



Figure S7. XPS spectra of Ce 3d in LCTNi-Ce before/ after reduction.



**Figure S8.** EIS fitting results using an equivalent circuit model of (a) LCTNi||LDC|LSGM|LDC||LCTNi and (b)  $LCTNi-Ce||LDC|LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LDC||LSGM|LDC||LCTNi-Ce||LS tested at 800 °C in 50% CO_2/50% CO under OCV condition. All the ohmic resistances were subtracted for clear comparison.$ 



Figure S9. The calculated proportions of P1, P2 and P3 peaks based on the DRT analysis for LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce half-cells tested at 800 °C in the  $CO_2/CO$  atmosphere with different ratios under OCV condition.



Figure S10. The calculated proportions of P1, P', P2 and P3 peaks based on the DRT analysis for LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce half-cells tested at 800, 750 and 700 °C in 50%  $CO_2/50\%$  CO under OCV condition.



Figure S11. Arrhenius plots of  $R_p$  vs. reverse temperature for LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce half-cells tested in (a) 70% CO<sub>2</sub>/30% CO and (b) 90% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% CO.



Figure S12. The calculated proportions of P1, P2 and P3 peaks based on the DRT analysis for LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce half-cells tested at 800 °C in the  $CO_2/H_2$  atmosphere with different ratios under OCV condition.



Figure S13. Total conductivities of the reduced LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce electrodes at 800 °C in the  $CO_2/H_2$  atmosphere with different ratios.



**Figure S14.** Total conductivities of the reduced LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce electrodes at 800 °C in (a) 90% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% CO, (b) 70% CO<sub>2</sub>/30% CO, (c) 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% CO, (d) 90% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% H<sub>2</sub>, (e) 70% CO<sub>2</sub>/30% H<sub>2</sub> and (f) 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% H<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S15. The calculated proportions of P1, P', P2 and P3 peaks based on the DRT analysis for LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce half-cells tested at 800, 750 and 700 °C in 50%  $CO_2/50\%$  H<sub>2</sub> under OCV condition.



Figure S16. Arrhenius plots of  $R_p$  vs. reverse temperature for LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce half-cells tested in (a) 80% CO<sub>2</sub>/20% H<sub>2</sub> and (b) 90% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% H<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S17.** DRT analysis of (a) LCTNi||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF and (b) LCTNi-Ce||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF electrolysis cells in 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% CO at 800 °C under OCV condition, as well as voltages of 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 V. The calculated proportions of P1, P2, P3 and P4 peaks based on the DRT analysis for (c) LCTNi and (d) LCTNi-Ce electrolysis cells.



**Figure S18.** Electrolysis performances of LCTNi||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF and LCTNi-Ce||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF cells before/ after reduction in (a) 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% CO and (b) 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% H<sub>2</sub> at 800 °C.



**Figure S19.** DRT analysis of (a) LCTNi||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF and (b) LCTNi-Ce||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF electrolysis cells in 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% H<sub>2</sub> at 800 °C under OCV condition, as well as voltages of 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 V. The calculated proportions of P1, P2, P3 and P4 peaks based on the DRT analysis for (c) LCTNi and (d) LCTNi-Ce electrolysis cells.



**Figure S20.** Electrolysis performances of (a) LCTNi||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF and (b) LCTNi-Ce||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF cells in pure CO<sub>2</sub>, 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% CO and 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% H<sub>2</sub> at 800 °C.



Figure S21. ECR results of reduced LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce porous electrodes at (a) 750 °C and (b) 700 °C with atmosphere quickly switching from 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% CO to 70% CO<sub>2</sub>/30% CO.



**Figure S22.** (a) Electrolysis performances of LCTNi||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF and LCTNi-Ce||LDC|LSGM||LSCF-GDC|LSCF cells in 90% CO<sub>2</sub>/10% H<sub>2</sub> at 800 °C, (b) voltage vs. time of short term operation under constant current of 240 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, and (c) the corresponding Faradaic efficiency and CO production rate.

**Table S1.** Polarization resistances including  $R_{HF}$ ,  $R_{MF}$ ,  $R_{LF}$  and  $R_p$  values of LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce half-cells in the CO<sub>2</sub>/CO atmosphere with different ratios at 800 °C under OCV condition.

CO /CO ratios	Samulas	Resistances ( $\Omega$ cm <sup>-2</sup> )					
	Samples	R <sub>HF</sub>	R <sub>MF</sub>	$R_{LF}$	R <sub>p</sub>		
90%/10%	LCTNi	0.015	0.605	3.995	4.615		
	LCTNi-Ce	0.015	0.462	3.485	3.962		
70%/30%	LCTNi	0.011	0.307	2.164	2.482		
	LCTNi-Ce	0.011	0.233	1.905	2.149		
50%/50%	LCTNi	0.010	0.243	1.805	2.059		
	LCTNi-Ce	0.010	0.224	1.685	1.920		

Temperatur	Samples	Resistances ( $\Omega$ cm <sup>-2</sup> )				
e (°C)		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{HF}}$	$R_{MF1}$	$R_{MF2}$	$R_{LF}$	R <sub>p</sub>
800	LCTNi	0.010	-	0.243	1.805	2.059
	LCTNi-Ce	0.010	-	0.224	1.685	1.920
750	LCTNi	0.017	0.008	0.328	3.199	3.552
	LCTNi-Ce	0.017	0.008	0.275	3.083	3.383
700	LCTNi	0.023	0.031	0.731	9.545	10.330
	LCTNi-Ce	0.023	0.031	0.408	8.843	9.305

**Table S2.** Polarization resistances including  $R_{HF}$ ,  $R_{MF}$ ,  $R_{LF}$  and  $R_p$  values of LCTNiand LCTNi-Ce half-cells in 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% CO at 800-700 °C under OCV condition.

**Table S3.** Polarization resistances including  $R_{HF}$ ,  $R_{MF}$ ,  $R_{LF}$  and  $R_p$  values of LCTNi and LCTNi-Ce half-cells in the CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere with different ratios at 800 °C under OCV condition.

CO /U ratios	Samulas	Resistances ( $\Omega$ cm <sup>-2</sup> )					
$CO_2/H_2$ Tatlos	Samples	R <sub>HF</sub>	$R_{MF}$	$R_{LF}$	R <sub>p</sub>		
90%/10%	LCTNi	0.006	0.008	0.627	0.641		
	LCTNi-Ce	0.008	0.007	0.598	0.613		
80%/20%	LCTNi	0.006	0.006	0.431	0.443		
	LCTNi-Ce	0.007	0.005	0.408	0.420		
50%/50%	LCTNi	0.007	0.004	0.257	0.268		
	LCTNi-Ce	0.007	0.003	0.249	0.259		

Temperatur e (°C)	Samples	Resistances ( $\Omega$ cm <sup>-2</sup> )				
		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{HF}}$	R <sub>MF1</sub>	$R_{MF2}$	$R_{LF}$	R <sub>p</sub>
800	LCTNi	0.007	-	0.004	0.257	0.268
	LCTNi-Ce	0.007	-	0.003	0.249	0.259
750	LCTNi	0.011	-	0.011	0.814	0.836
	LCTNi-Ce	0.018	-	0.010	0.445	0.473
700	LCTNi	0.014	0.014	0.018	1.763	1.809
	LCTNi-Ce	0.010	0.011	0.011	1.065	1.097

**Table S4.** Polarization resistances including  $R_{HF}$ ,  $R_{MF}$ ,  $R_{LF}$  and  $R_p$  values of LCTNiand LCTNi-Ce half-cells in 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% H<sub>2</sub> at 800-700 °C under OCV condition.

## Reference

Z. Ni, X. Djitcheu, X. Gao, J. Wang, H. Liu and Q. Zhang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2022, 12, 5344.