Electronic Supplementary Information

Experimental section

Materials: Sodium fluoride (NaF), acetone (C₃H₆O), anhydrous ethanol (C₂H₆O), ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), salicylic acid (C₇H₆O₃), sodium citrate dihydrate (C₆H₅Na₃O₇•2H₂O), p–dimethylaminobenzaldehyde (C₉H₁₁NO), and sodium nitroferricyanide dihydrate (C₅FeN₆Na₂O•2H₂O) were purchased from Chengdu Kelong Ltd. High Purity Tungsten Sheet (W) was purchased from Runde Metal Materials Co., Ltd.

Preparation of WO₂/W: The polished W sheet was ultrasonically cleaned with acetone, ethanol, and deionized water for 10 min and dried in air. Then the W sheet was placed in an anodized electrolyte consisting of 0.5% NaF and 1 mol/L H₂SO₄ with the W sheet as the positive electrode and Pt plates as the negative electrode. The experiment was conducted for 60 minutes at a constant voltage of 40 V. The obtained WO₃ precursor was washed with ethanol and deionized water and dried and then sintered at 750 °C for 3 h in an argon–hydrogen atmosphere. Finally, WO₂ Nanoparticles on the W sheet can be obtained after cooling to room temperature.

Characterizations: The crystal structure of the prepared material was determined using an X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (DX-2700B). microstructural observations were performed on a field–emission scanning electron microscopy (FEI Insect F50) and an atomic resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy (FEI Talos F200S Super). XPS measurements were carried out with Thermo Fischer ESCALAB Xi⁺. The absorbance data were measured via an Ultraviolet-visible (UV–Vis) spectrophotometer (Shimazu UV–2600). EPR spectrum was recorded on a Brüker EMX spectrometer at room temperature.

Electrochemical measurements: All electrochemical measurements were carried out in an H–shaped electrochemical cell separated by Nafion 117 membrane using CHI 760E electrochemical workstation (Chenhua, Shanghai). The area of the working electrode immersed in the electrolyte is 0.25 cm^2 . LSV was performed in Ar–saturated 0.1 M NaOH with 0.1 M NaNO₂ at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. All potentials reported in this work were converted to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale, and current densities were normalized to the geometric surface area. All experiments were carried out at room temperature (25 °C).

Determination of NH₃: The NH₃ concentration in the electrolyte was determined (the obtained electrolyte was diluted 50 times) by the indophenol blue method. Specifically, 2 mL of electrolyte collected after electrolysis was mixed with 2 mL of coloring solution (1 M NaOH containing 5% salicylic acid and 5% sodium citrate), and 1 mL of oxidizing solution (0.05 M NaClO). Then 0.2 mL oxidation solution (0.05 M NaClO) mL catalyst solution (1 wt% C₅FeN₆Na₂O 2H₂O) was dropped into the collected solution. After standing in the dark for 2 h, the concentration of NH₃ was determined bv UV-Vis at а specific wavelength of 655 nm. The concentration-absorbance curve was calibrated using the standard NH₄Cl solution with known concentrations of 0.0, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 5.0 μ g mL⁻¹ in 0.1 M NaOH. The fitting curve (y = 0.43893x + 0.02172, $R^2 = 0.9999$) shows good linear relation of absorbance value with NH₃ concentration.

Determination of NH₃ yield and FE:

The NH_3 FE is estimated from the charge consumed for NO_2^- reduction and the total charge passed through the electrode:

$$FE = 6 \times F \times V \times [NH_3] / (Q \times 17) \times 100\%$$

The yield rate of NH₃ (aq) is calculated:

$$NH_3$$
 yield = V × $[NH_3] / (A × t × 17)$

Where $[NH_3]$ is the concentration of NH_3 (aq), F is the Faradaic constant (96485 C mol⁻¹), V is the volume of electrolyte in the anode compartment (45 mL), Q is the total charge passing the electrode, t is the electrolysis time, and A is the geometric surface area.

DFT calculation details: First–principles calculations with spin–polarized were performed based on density functional theory (DFT) implemented in the VASP package.¹ The projector augmented wave (PAW) method and generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) was utilized to describe the interaction and electron exchange–correlation, respectively.^{2,3} WO₂ slab structure was modeled, and the vacuum region's thickness is >15 Å to avoid spurious interaction. The cutoff energy was set to 450 eV. The Brillouin zone was sampled by $4 \times 5 \times 1$ special k–points using the Monkhorst Pack scheme for structural configuration optimizations.⁴ The force convergence thresholds are 0.02 eV/Å and the total energy is less than 1E–5 eV, respectively. The theoretical calculation results were processed and analyzed by VASPKIT software.⁵



Fig. S1. XRD pattern of the anodized W plate.



Fig. S2. SEM images of the anodized W plate.



Fig. S3. XRD pattern of WO_2/W annealed at 700 °C.



Fig. S4. SEM images of WO $_2/W$ annealed at 700 °C.



Fig. S5. Chronoamperometry curves of WO_2/W at each given potential.



Fig. S6. (a) UV–Vis spectra and (b) corresponding calibration curves were used to calculate NH_4^+ .



Fig. S7. LSV curves of WO_2/W in 0.1 M PBS with and without 0.1 M NO_2^- .



Figure S8. LSV curves of WO_2/W in 0.5 M Na_2SO_4 with and without 0.1 M NO_2^- .



Figure S9. NH₃ yields and FEs of WO₂/W in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ with 0.1 M NO₂⁻.



Fig. S10. (a) UV–Vis spectra and (b) amounts of electrogenerated NH_3 under different operating conditions.



Fig. S11. NH₃ yields and FEs of WO₂/W and Bare W at -0.9 V.



Fig. S12. (a) Chronoamperometry curves and (b) corresponding UV–Vis absorption spectra of WO_2/W for electrochemical catalytic production of NH_3 during cycling tests in 0.1 M NaOH with 0.1 M NO_2^- at -0.9 V.



Fig. S13. XRD pattern of WO_2/W after long-term electrolysis.



Fig. S14. SEM images of WO₂/W after long-term electrolysis.



Fig. S15. (a) W 4f and (d) O 1s high-resolution spectra of WO_2/W after long-term electrolysis.



Fig. S16. Atomic configurations of WO_2 bulk.



Fig. S17. Atomic configurations of WO_2 bulk. WO_2 (011) Slab models with two terminate surfaces, O

end and WO end.



Fig. S18. $WO_2(011)$ Slab models with two types of OVs.



Fig. S19. PDOS of WO_2 (011) with O end terminate surface. Fermi levels are set at 0 eV.



Fig. S20 PDOS of WO₂(011)–OV. Fermi levels are set at 0 eV.



Fig. S21 The most stable NO₂ adsorption configuration on WO₂(011)–OV.



Fig. S22 PDOS of NO₂ adsorption on WO₂(011)–OV. Fermi levels are set at 0 eV.



Fig. S23 Free energy diagram of HER processing on WO₂(011)–OV.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	FE (%)	NH ₃ yield rate	Refs.
WO ₂ /W	0.1 M NaOH (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	94.32	14964.25 μg h ⁻¹ cm ⁻² (880.25 μmol cm ⁻²)	This work
CF@Cu ₂ O	0.1 M PBS (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	94.2	441.8 μmol cm ⁻² h ⁻¹	6
[Co(DIM)Br ₂] ⁺	0.1 M NaNO ₂	88	_	7
Oxo-MoS _x	0.1 M nitrite in 0.2 M citric acid (pH = 5)	13.5	_	8
Cu ₈₀ Ni ₂₀	20 mM NaNO ₂ (1.0 M NaOH)	87.6	_	9
Cu phthalocyanine	NaNO ₂ (0.1 M KOH)	78	_	10
MnO ₂ nanoarrays	0.1M Na ₂ SO ₄ (4 mM NaNO ₂)	6.0	$3.09 \times 10{-11} \text{ mol s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$	11
Rh/Al ₂ O ₃	25 mM phosphate Buffer+50 mM NO ₂ -	68~95	_	12
Ni–NSA–V _{Ni}	0.2 M Na ₂ SO ₄ (200 ppm NO ₂ ⁻)	88.9	235.98 µmol h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	13
Cobalt-tripeptide complex	1.0 M MOPS buffer (1.0 M NaNO ₂)	90±3	$3.01 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$	14
Poly-NiTRP complex	NaNO ₂ (0.1 M NaClO ₄)	_	1.1 mM	15
FeN ₅ H ₂	1.0 M MOPS (1.0 M NaNO ₂)	> 90	_	16
Cu ₃ P NA/CF	0.1 M PBS (0.1 M NaNO ₂)	91.2 ± 2.5	$1626.6 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	17

Table S1. Comparison of catalytic performance of WO_2/W with other reported NO_2 -RR electrocatalysts.

Catalyst	Battery Type	Power density (mW cm ⁻²)	Refs.
WO ₂ /W	Zn-NO ₂ ⁻	5.05	This work
Cu NDs	Zn-N ₂	0.0101	18
FeHTNs	Zn-N ₂	0.01642	19
VN@NSC	Zn-N ₂	0.01642	20
CoPi/HSNPC	Zn-N ₂	0.31	21
NbS ₂	Zn-N ₂	0.31	22
CoPi/NPCS	Zn-N ₂	0.49	23
Ti ₂ O ₃	Zn-N ₂	1.02	24
FePS ₃	Zn-N ₂	2.6	25
СоР	Zn-NO	0.496	26
NiO	Zn-NO	0.88	27
MoS ₂	Zn-NO	1.04	28
Fe ₂ O ₃	Zn-NO	1.18	29
Ni ₂ P	Zn-NO	1.53	30
TiO ₂ @Ti	Zn-NO	1.7	31
MoC	Zn-NO	1.8	32
VN	Zn-NO	2.0	33
CoS	Zn-NO	2.06	34
BiNDs	Zn-NO	2.33	35
Bi@C	Zn-NO	2.35	36
ITO@TiO ₂ TP	Zn-NO ₂ -	1.22	37
A-TiO _{2-x}	Zn-NO ₂ -	2.38	38
Co ₃ O ₄	Zn-NO ₂ -	6.03	39
TiO ₂	Zn-NO ₃ -	0.87	40
Fe/Ni ₂ P	Zn-NO ₃ -	3.25	41
Co ₂ AlO ₄	Zn-NO ₃ -	3.43	42
CeO ₂	Zn-NO ₃ -	3.44	43
NiCo ₂ O ₄	Zn-NO ₃ -	3.94	44

Table S2. Comparison of NH₃ yield and power density of our battery with recent Zn-N₂, Zn-NO, Zn-

References

- 1 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1994, **49**, 14251-14269.
- 2 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, **59**, 1758-1775.
- 3 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865-3868.
- 4 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1976, **13**, 5188-5192.
- 5 V. Wang, N. Xu, J.-C. Liu, G. Tang and W.-T. Geng, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2021, **267**, 108033.
- Q. Chen, X. An, Q. Liu, X. Wu, L. Xie, J. Zhang, W. Yao, M. S. Hamdy, Q.
 Kong and X. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, 58, 517-520.
- 7 S. Xu, H.-Y. Kwon, D. C. Ashley, C.-H. Chen, E. Jakubikova and J. M. Smith, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 9443-9451.
- 8 D. He, Y. Li, H. Ooka, Y. K. Go, F. Jin, S. H. Kim and R. Nakamura, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 2012-2015.
- L. Mattarozzi, S. Cattarin, N. Comisso, P. Guerriero, M. Musiani, L. Vázquez-Gómez and E. Verlato, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, 89, 488-496.
- 10 N. Chebotareva and T. Nyokong, J. Appl. Electrochem., 1997, 27, 975-981.
- R. Wang, Z. Wang, X. Xiang, R. Zhang, X. Shi and X. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 10340-10342.
- C. A. Clark, C. P. Reddy, H. Xu, K. N. Heck, G. Luo, T. P. Senftle and M. S. Wong, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **10**, 494-509.

- C. Wang, W. Zhou, Z. Sun, Y. Wang, B. Zhang and Y. Yu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 239-243.
- Y. Guo, J. R. Stroka, B. Kandemir, C. E. Dickerson and K. L. Bren, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, 140, 16888-16892.
- P. Dreyse, M. Isaacs, K. Calfumán, C. Cáceres, A. Aliaga, M. J. Aguirre and
 D. Villagra, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2011, 56, 5230-5237.
- J. R. Stroka, B. Kandemir, E. M. Matson and K. L. Bren, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 13968-13972.
- J. Liang, B. Deng, Q. Liu, G. Wen, Q. Liu, T. Li, Y. Luo, A. A. Alshehri, K. A.Alzahrani and D. Ma, *Green Chem.*, 2021, 23, 5487-5493.
- 18 C. Du, Y. Gao, J. Wang and W. Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 12801-12804.
- X.-W. Lv, X.-L. Liu, L.-J. Gao, Y.-P. Liu and Z.-Y. Yuan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 4026-4035.
- 20 X.-W. Lv, Y. Liu, Y.-S. Wang, X.-L. Liu and Z.-Y. Yuan, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2021, **280**, 119434.
- J.-T. Ren, L. Chen, Y. Liu and Z.-Y. Yuan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 11370-11380.
- H. Wang, J. Si, T. Zhang, Y. Li, B. Yang, Z. Li, J. Chen, Z. Wen, C. Yuan, L.
 Lei and Y. Hou, *Appl. Catal. B*, 2020, 270, 118892.
- J. T. Ren, L. Chen, H. Y. Wang and Z. Y. Yuan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021, 13, 12106-12117.
- 24 H.-j. Chen, Z.-q. Xu, S. Sun, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, M. S. Hamdy, Z.-s. Feng, X. Sun

and Y. Wang, Inorg. Chem. Front., 2022, 9, 4608-4613.

- 25 H. Wang, Z. Li, Y. Li, B. Yang, J. Chen, L. Lei, S. Wang and Y. Hou, Nano Energy, 2021, 81.
- J. Liang, W.-F. Hu, B. Song, T. Mou, L. Zhang, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, A. A.
 Alshehri, M. S. Hamdy, L.-M. Yang and X. Sun, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2022, 9, 1366-1372.
- P. Liu, J. Liang, J. Wang, L. Zhang, J. Li, L. Yue, Y. Ren, T. Li, Y. Luo, N. Li,
 B. Tang, Q. Liu, A. M. Asiri, Q. Kong and X. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, 57, 13562-13565.
- L. Zhang, J. Liang, Y. Wang, T. Mou, Y. Lin, L. Yue, T. Li, Q. Liu, Y. Luo, N.
 Li, B. Tang, Y. Liu, S. Gao, A. A. Alshehri, X. Guo, D. Ma and X. Sun,
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed, 2021, 60, 25263-25268.
- J. Liang, H. Chen, T. Mou, L. Zhang, Y. Lin, L. Yue, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, N. Li, A.
 A. Alshehri, I. Shakir, P. O. Agboola, Y. Wang, B. Tang, D. Ma and X. Sun, J.
 Mater. Chem. A, 2022, 10, 6454-6462.
- T. Mou, J. Liang, Z. Ma, L. Zhang, Y. Lin, T. Li, Q. Liu, Y. Luo, Y. Liu, S.
 Gao, H. Zhao, A. M. Asiri, D. Ma and X. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, 9, 24268-24275.
- J. Liang, P. Liu, Q. Li, T. Li, L. Yue, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, N. Li, B. Tang, A. A. Alshehri, I. Shakir, P. O. Agboola, C. Sun and X. Sun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*, 2022, 61, e202202087.
- 32 G. Meng, M. Jin, T. Wei, Q. Liu, S. Zhang, X. Peng, J. Luo and X. Liu, Nano

Res., 2022, DOI: 10.1007/s12274-022-4747-y.

- D. Qi, F. Lv, T. Wei, M. Jin, G. Meng, S. Zhang, Q. Liu, W. Liu, D. Ma, M. S.
 Hamdy, J. Luo and X. Liu, *Nano Res. Energy*, 2022, 1, e9120022.
- L. Zhang, Q. Zhou, J. Liang, L. Yue, T. Li, Y. Luo, Q. Liu, N. Li, B. Tang, F.
 Gong, X. Guo and X. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, 61, 8096-8102.
- Y. Lin, J. Liang, H. Li, L. Zhang, T. Mou, T. Li, L. Yue, Y. Ji, Q. Liu, Y. Luo,
 N. Li, B. Tang, Q. Wu, M. S. Hamdy, D. Ma and X. Sun, *Mater. Today Phys.*,
 2022, 22.
- 36 Q. Liu, Y. Lin, L. Yue, J. Liang, L. Zhang, T. Li, Y. Luo, M. Liu, J. You, A. A. Alshehri, Q. Kong and X. Sun, *Nano Res.*, 2022, 15, 5032-5037.
- S. Li, J. Liang, P. Wei, Q. Liu, L. Xie, Y. Luo and X. Sun, *eScience*, 2022, 2, 382-388.
- Z. Ren, Q. Chen, X. An, Q. Liu, L. Xie, J. Zhang, W. Yao, M. S. Hamdy, Q.
 Kong and X. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, 61, 12895-12902.
- 39 R. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Guo, C. Li, J. Liu, Z. Huang, Y. Zhao, Y. Li and C. Zhi, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2022, 15, 3024-3032.
- 40 Y. Guo, R. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Q. Yang, Z. Huang, B. Dong and C. Zhi, Energy Environ. Sci., 2021, 14, 3938-3944.
- R. Zhang, Y. Guo, S. Zhang, D. Chen, Y. Zhao, Z. Huang, L. Ma, P. Li, Q.
 Yang, G. Liang and C. Zhi, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2022, 12.
- Z. Deng, J. Liang, Q. Liu, C. Ma, L. Xie, L. Yue, Y. Ren, T. Li, Y. Luo, N. Li,B. Tang, A. Ali Alshehri, I. Shakir, P. O. Agboola, S. Yan, B. Zheng, J. Du, Q.

Kong and X. Sun, Chem. Eng. J., 2022, 435.

- Z. Li, Z. Deng, L. Ouyang, X. Fan, L. Zhang, S. Sun, Q. Liu, A. A. Alshehri,
 Y. Luo, Q. Kong and X. Sun, *Nano Res.*, 2022, DOI: 10.1007/s12274-022-4863-8.
- Q. Liu, L. Xie, J. Liang, Y. Ren, Y. Wang, L. Zhang, L. Yue, T. Li, Y. Luo, N.
 Li, B. Tang, Y. Liu, S. Gao, A. A. Alshehri, I. Shakir, P. O. Agboola, Q. Kong,
 Q. Wang, D. Ma and X. Sun, *Small*, 2022, 18, 2106961.