Supporting Information for:

## Unraveling the high-performance oxygen-deficient amorphous manganese oxide as

## cathode for advanced zinc ion batteries

Mehdi Karbak<sup>a,b</sup>, Mariam Baazizi<sup>a,c</sup>, Simon Sayah<sup>a</sup>, Cecile Autret-Lambert<sup>d</sup>, Yann Tison<sup>e</sup>,

Herve Martinez<sup>e,f</sup>, Tarik Chafik<sup>b</sup>, and Fouad Ghamouss<sup>a,c\*</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Laboratory of Physical-Chemistry of Materials and Electrolytes for Energy (PCM2E), University of Tours, 37200 Tours, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> Laboratory of Chemical Engineering and Resources Valorization (LGCVR), Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, University Abdelmalek Essaadi, B.P. 416, Tangier 90010, Morocco.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c.</sup> Department of Materials Science, Energy, and Nano-Engineering, Mohamed VI Polytechnic University, Ben Guerir 43150, Morocco.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d.</sup> Materials Research Group, Microelectronics, Acoustics and Nanotechnologies, GREMAN, (UMR 7347), University of Tours, 37200 Tours, France

e. Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, IPREM, Pau, France

f. Centrale Casablanca, Centre de Recherche Systèmes Complexes et Interaction, Bouskoura 27182, Morocco

#### Materials and methods

### Materials

Potassium permanganate (KMnO<sub>4</sub>, AR,  $\geq$ 99%), absolute ethanol (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH,  $\geq$ 99.5%) and sodium borohydride (NaBH<sub>4</sub>,  $\geq$ 98.0%) were all purchased from SIGMA ALDRICH. All reagents were used as received without any further treatment.



Synthesis

Figure S1: Schematic illustration of the material synthesis

lg of KMnO<sub>4</sub> was dissolved in 50 ml of distilled water with vigorous stirring at room temperature followed by adding 100 ml of absolute ethanol. After 2 hours of stirring, a brown precipitate was acquired. The precipitate was filtered and washed with distilled water until the pH of the filtrate equaled 7. Then the resulted material was vacuum-dried at 60°C overnight to form a pristine amorphous MnO<sub>2</sub> (P A-MnO<sub>2</sub>). The latter was ground by an agate mortar and calcined under air at 500°C for 2h. The calcined material is named P  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>. Subsequently, both materials went through an oxygen deficiency treatment previously reported by Junmin

Xue et al.<sup>1</sup> Basically, 1g of the synthesized P  $MnO_2$  was dispersed in 100 ml distilled water. Afterward, 50 ml of 0.1 M NaBH<sub>4</sub> solution was prepared and added dropwise to the  $MnO_2$  dispersion. The mixture was stirred for 1 hour and left still for an extra hour. Hence, A-MnO<sub>2</sub> and  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> were obtained.

### Methods

Morphologies of all samples were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The nitrogen adsorption equilibrium. isotherms were obtained using a QUADRASORB EVO analyzer measured at 77 K. All samples were degassed at 353 K for 4 h under vacuum before all porosity measurements. The specific surface area (SBET) was determined by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) theory, considering the molecular cross-sectional area of N2 at 77 K to be 0.162 nm<sup>2</sup>. Pore size distribution and pore volume were calculated using the nonlocal density functional theory (NLDFT) with the carbon slit pore model. RAMAN spectra were obtained using a HORIBA LabRAM HR Evolution at room temperature with a laser source of 514 nm. Crystallographic properties of all samples were investigated by X-ray diffraction using an Xray diffractometer D8 Advance operating at 40kV and recorded and 40 mA using Ni-filtered Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (k = 0.15406 nm). Data was recorded in the 2 $\theta$  range of 5° and 80° at a scanning rate of 1.5°/min with a step size of 0.02°. XPS was performed using a Thermo K-Alpha X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer system using a monochromatic Al Ka x-ray source (ca. 1687 eV). The analyser's pass energy was set to 20 eV allowing for an energy resolution of 0.1eV. CasaXPS® was used to process the data. The binding energy scale was calibrated from the C 1s main peak of adventitious carbon at 285,0 eV (C-C/C-H). Scofield photoionization cross sections (corrected for the instrument transmission function and for the differences of analysis depths between elements) were used to calculate composition tables.<sup>2</sup>

Transmission electron microscopy samples were prepared by crushing the powder with ethanol and the small crystallites in suspension were deposited on a holey carbon film, supported by a copper grid. The electron diffraction and the high-resolution electron microscopy were performed with a JEOL 2100F electron microscope equipped with a tilting and rotating goniometer (200 kV and point resolution of 1.8 Å) and coupled with EDX analysis (Oxford).

To investigate the materials' electrochemical properties, electrodes (A-MnO<sub>2</sub> and  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>) were prepared by mixing 75 wt% active material, 15 wt% carbon black and 10 wt% polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE). The prepared paste was then kneaded into a paper-like form and dried at 60°C for 12 hours, before being pressed at ten tones on a 10 mm diameter stainless steel round mesh. The resulting electrodes had an active material loading above 2.5 mg.cm<sup>-2</sup>. A zinc foil of 0.25 mm thickness was cut into a 10 mm diameter disc to be used as anode for the aqueous zinc-MnO<sub>2</sub> battery.

Electrochemical testing was undertaken using a Biologic VMP. CV and GCD tests were conducted in a potential window between 0.8 and 1.8 V.



Figure S2: Sorption isotherms and pore size distribution of A-MnO<sub>2</sub> and  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>



Figure S3: CV curves of A-MnO<sub>2</sub> (Blue) and α-MnO<sub>2</sub> (Red) at 0.3 mV.s<sup>-1</sup>





Figure S4: Nyquist plot of A-MnO<sub>2</sub> and  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> at open circuit voltage

Figure S5: a) Normalized GCD patterns after long-term cycling of A-MnO<sub>2</sub> at 100 mA.g<sup>-1</sup>, b) Capacity contribution of A-MnO<sub>2</sub> after-long term cycling

Core Peak	Mı	n 2p	O 1s			C 1s		
component	Mn <sup>3+</sup>	Mn <sup>4+</sup>	O-Mn	0-	O-C	C-	C-0	C=O
						С,С-Н		
Binding	641 3	642.2	529.8	531.4	532.8	285.0	286.2	288.6
Energy (eV)	041.5	042.2	527.0	551.4	552.0	205.0	200.2	200.0
Atomic %	13.6	7.4	34.6	15.1	0.9	21.7	1.8	5.0
Total	21.0		50.6			28.5		
Atomic %								

Table S1: XPS composition table obtained for  $A\text{-}MnO_2$ 

Table S2: XPS composition table obtained for  $\Box\text{-}MnO_2$ 

Core Peak	Mn 2p		O 1s			C 1s		
component	Mn <sup>3+</sup>	Mn <sup>4+</sup>	0-	O-	O-C	C-	C-O	С=О
			Mn			C,C-		
						Н		
Binding Energy	641.3	642.1	529.6	531.4	532.8	285.0	286.3	288.6
(eV)								
Atomic %	6.3	5.1	21.3	8.0	4.8	46.0	5.9	2.6
Total Atomic %	11.4		34.1			54.5		

# References

- Xiong T, Yu ZG, Wu H, et al. Defect Engineering of Oxygen-Deficient Manganese Oxide to Achieve High-Performing Aqueous Zinc Ion Battery. *Advanced Energy Materials*. 2019;9(14):1803815. doi:10.1002/aenm.201803815
- Scofield JH. Hartree-Slater subshell photoionization cross-sections at 1254 and 1487 eV. Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena. 1976;8(2):129-137. doi:10.1016/0368-2048(76)80015-1