Room Temperature Ammonia Gas Sensor Based on Cerium oxide/Mxene and Self-powered by Freestanding-mode Triboelectric Nanogenerator and its Multifunctional Monitoring Application

Xingwei Wang^{a,b,1}, Likun Gong^{c,d,1}, Zheng Li^a, Yingda Yin^e, Dongzhi Zhang^{a*}

^a College of Control Science and Engineering, China University of Petroleum (East

China), Qingdao, Shandong, 266580, China

^b School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China;

^e CA Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, Beijing Key Laboratory of Micro-Nano Energy and Sensor, Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101400, China

^d School of Nanoscience and Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

^e School of Precision Instruments and Optoelectronics Engineering, Department of Precision Instrument Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Precision Measuring Technology and Instruments, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, China

*Corresponding author: Dongzhi Zhang

¹Both the authors contributed equally to this work.

E-mail address: <u>dzzhang@upc.edu.cn</u> Tel: +86–532–86982928 Fax: +86–532– 86983326



Figure S1. (a) XRD pattern of V₂C, CeO₂ and CeO₂/V₂C samples. XPS spectra of CeO₂/V₂C nanohybrid: (b) Survey spectrum, (c) O 1s spectrum, (d) Ce 3p spectrum, (e) C 1s spectrum, (f) V 2p spectrum.



Figure S2. Gas sensor performance test platform.



Figure S3. Schematic diagram of ammonia sensing mechanism of $CeO_2/MXene$ composite.



Fig. S4. Modeling diagram of water ammonia monitoring device.



Fig. S5. Schematic diagram of gas-liquid distribution model for ammonia nitrogen concentration detection in water.



Fig. S6. Flow chart of single water ammonia nitrogen concentration detection process.



Fig. S7. Curve of open circuit voltage versus time at 60 r/min.



Fig. S8. Schematic diagram of voltage stabilizing circuit.



Fig. S9. TENG lights up the LED and the calculator.

Sensing	Sensor response (%)	Response time T _{res} (s)	Recovery time T _{rec} (s)	
material	50 ppb	50 ppb	50 ppb	
CeO ₂	23.1	14	22	
CeO ₂ /MXene	36.8	12	19	

Table S1 Response value, response time and recovery time of the presented NH_3 sensors in this work.

Sensing material	Detection limit (ppm)	Conc. (ppm)	(Response (%))	T _{res} (s)	T _{rec} (s)	Ref.
MXene/CuO	1	100	24.8	43	26	[1]
SnS ₂ /Ni	10	180	14.7	40.6	33.7	[2]
CNTs/PPy	0.2	5	20	90	450	[3]
Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x /TiO ₂ /MoS ₂	0.5	100	163.3	117	88	[4]
MWCNTs/PANI	33	50	117	47	-	[5]
Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x /SnO ₂	0.5	50	40	36	44	[6]
S-rGO/WS ₂	10	10	250	60	300	[7]
BP/TiO ₂	5	5	19.7	412	690	[8]
MCNT/PPy	0.05	50	26	11.7	91.8	[9]
Sb-WO ₃	0.2	5	122	-	320	[10]
Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x /SnO	1	10	67	61	119	[11]
CeO ₂ /V ₂ C	0.025	0.05	782.4	12	19	This
						work

Table S2 Performance comparison of the presented sensor in this work with theprevious reported NH_3 sensors.

References

- [1] D. Wang, D. Zhang, Y. Yang, Q. Mi, J. Zhang, L. Yu, ACS Nano 2021, 15, 2911-2919.
- [2] B. Das, S. Behera, B. Satpati, R. Ghosh, J. Hazard. Mater. 2022, 28252.
- [3] J. Chang, C. Zhu, Z. Wang, Y. Wang, C. Li, Q. Hu, R. Xu, T. Du, M. Xu, L. Feng, *Nano Energy* 2022, 98, 107271.
- [4] X. Tian, L. Yao, X. Cui, R. Zhao, T. Chen, X. Xiao, Y. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A 2022, 10, 5505-5519.
- [5] J. Ma, H. Fan, Z. Li, Y. Jia, A. K. Yadav, G. Dong, W. Wang, W. Dong, S. Wang, Sens. Actuators B 2021, 334, 129677.
- [6] T. He, W. Liu, T. Lv, M. Ma, Z. Liu, A. Vasiliev, X. Li, Sens. Actuators B 2021, 329, 129275.
- [7] X. Wang, B. Huang, X. Wu, D. Gu, X. Li, Sens. Actuators B 2021, 337, 129776.
- [8] Y. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Wang, R. Zhang, J. Li, X. Li, Z. Zang, Sens. Actuators B 2021, 349, 130770.
- [9] S. Gai, B. Wang, X. Wang, R. Zhang, S. Miao, Y. Wu, Sens. Actuators B 2021, 131352.
- [10] G. Yao, J. Yu, H. Wu, Z. Li, W. Zou, H. Zhu, Z. Huang, H. Huang, Z. Tang, Sens. Actuators B 2022, 131365.
- [11] L. Yao, X. Tian, X. Cui, R. Zhao, M. Xiao, B. Wang, X. Xiao, Y. Wang, Sens. Actuators B 2022, 358, 131501.