Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

¹ Facile fabrication of luminescent Tb@HOFs-based films as highly

2 sensitive platform for detecting nicotine and its metabolite cotinine via

3 fluorescence sensing and smartphone

4 Zhongqian Hu, Bing Yan*

5 School of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Siping Road 1239, Shanghai 200092,

6 China.

^{*} Corresponding author: Email address: <u>byan@tongji.edu.cn</u> (Bing Yan)

25 1. Experimental Section

26 1.1. Materials

Except for special instructions, all chemicals were commercially available involved in this work 27 and were used without further purification, which include melamine (MA), benzene-1,3,5-28 tricarboxylic acid (TMA), nicotine, cotinine, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, 29 benzo[a]pyrene, formaldehyde, crotonaldehyde, catechol, ammonia, hydrazinium hydroxide solution, 30 1,3-butadiene, lead, cadmium, chromium, dichloromethane, NaCl, KCl, NH₄Cl, CaCl₂, MgCl₂, 31 Na₂CO₃, NaH₂PO₄, lysozyme, mucin, α -amylase and agarose. Tb(NO₃)₃·6H₂O was obtained by 32 dissolving Tb₂O₃quantitatively in 37.5% HNO₃ followed by recrystallization. The aqueous solution 33 34 with various pH values was prepared by adding different content of HNO₃ and NaOH in deionized water. Human saliva used in this work was purchased from Chang Feng Technology Co., Ltd 35 (Dongguan, China) and the human saliva was diluted by 1:10 ratio with deionized water solution for 36 cotinine test. 37

38 1.2. Instruments

The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded on Bruker D8 ADVANCE 39 diffractometer employing Cu Ka radiation (40 mA and 40 kV) with a 20 range from 5° to 45° at room 40 temperature. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were performed on a Hitachi S-4800 field 41 emission scanning electron microscope operating at 3 kV. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy 42 (EDX) were obtained by the scanning electron microscope operating at 15 kV. Fourier transform 43 infrared (FT-IR) spectra were obtained by a Nexus 912 AO446 infrared spectrum radiometer in the 44 wavenumber range of 4000 - 400 cm⁻¹. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were noted 45 under the ultrahigh vacuum ($< 10^{-6}$ Pa) at pass energy (93.90 eV) with Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer 46 (Kratos, Japan) by employing an Mg Ka (1253.6 eV) anode. Inductively coupled plasma-optical 47

emission spectrometer (ICP/OES) was obtained by Agilent ICPOES730. Thermogravimetric (TG) 48 curves were measured on a TA TGA 55 system operating at a heating rate of 10 °C/min in the range 49 of 25 °C up to 800 °C under N₂ atmosphere. The fluorescence spectra were obtained on an Edinburgh 50 FLS920 spectrophotometer employing 450 W xenon lamp as the source of excitation with appropriate 51 cutoff filter. The Commission International de l'Eclairage (CIE) coordinate were calculated by 52 CIE1931 chromaticity coordinate calculation according to the fluorescence emission spectra. The 53 UV-vis absorption spectra were carried on an Agilent 8453 spectrometer. The pH values of aqueous 54 solutions were determined by an INESA PHS-25 pH meter with an E-201F pH composite electrode, 55 which was carefully calibrated by standard buffer solution before testing. The HOMO-LUMO orbital 56 energies were optimized by the B3LYP hybrid density functional and the basis set was 6-31G(d). 57

58 1.3. Synthesis of MA-TMA

59 MA-TMA material was synthesized by MA (melamine) and TMA (benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic 60 acid) in deionized water according to the literature with some modification³⁰. MA (0.5 mmol, 0.0631 61 g) and TMA (0.5 mmol, 0.1051 g) were respectively dissolved in deionized water (50 mL), which 62 was heated at 80 °C with stirring until completely dissolved. Then, the solutions of MA and TMA 63 were mixed and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The crude product was collected by centrifugated 64 and washed with deionized water three times. Finally, the pure white powder was dried on a vacuum 65 oven at 50 °C for 12 h, which was named as MA-TMA.

66

68

- 69
- 70



72 2. Supporting Figures and Tables

74 Fig. S1. The structure of MA, TMA and MA-TMA.



76 Fig. S2. FT-IR spectra of MA-TMA and Tb@MA-TMA.



78 Fig. S3. SEM images of MA-TMA.



80 Fig. S4. TG curves of MA-TMA and Tb@MA-TMA.





82 Fig. S5. Emission spectrum of MA-TMA ($\lambda_{ex} = 302 \text{ nm}$).



84 Fig. S6. Excitation spectra of Tb@MA-TMA, 1 and 2 ($\lambda_{em} = 544 \text{ nm}$).



85

86 Fig. S7. Emission spectra of Tb@MA-TMA, 1 and 2 ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ nm).



Fig. S8. (a, b) PXRD patterns and emission intensity of 1 at 544 nm in different temperatures after48 h.



91 Fig. S9. Emission spectra of 1 before and after 7 days in the air at room temperature ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ nm).



93 **Fig. S10.** (a, b) Emission spectra and emission intensity at 544 nm of 1 at different batches ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ 94 nm).



96 Fig. S11. (a, b) PXRD patterns and emission spectra of 2 in pH range of 5-9 ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ nm).



98 Fig. 12. (a, b) Emission spectra and emission intensity at 544 nm of 2 at different temperatures after 99 48 h. ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ nm).





101 Fig. S13. Emission spectra of 2 before and after 48 h in aqueous solution at room temperature ($\lambda_{ex} =$

102 268 nm).



104 Fig. S14. (a, b) Emission spectra and emission intensity at 544 nm of 2 at different batches ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ 105 nm).



107 Fig. S15. Emission spectra of 1 with and without nicotine ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ nm).



109 **Fig. S16.** (a, b) Emission spectra and emission intensity at 544 nm of 1 exposed in different gas 110 atmospheres of SHS ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ nm).





112 Fig. S17. PXRD patterns of Zinc Plate, Tb@MA-TMA/Zinc Film (1) and 1 with nicotine after five

113 cycles.



115 **Fig. S18.** Emission spectra of **2** with and without cotinine ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ nm).



117 Fig. S19. (a, b) Emission spectra and emission intensity at 544 nm of 2 in various components of 118 saliva ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$ nm).



119

120 Fig. S20. Emission intensity of 2 at 544 nm in five cycles for cotinine in aqueous solution ($\lambda_{ex} = 268$

121 nm).





123 Fig. S21. PXRD patterns of Tb@MA-TMA/AG Film (2) and 2 with cotinine after five cycles.



125 Fig. S22. UV absorption spectra of nicotine and other 14 components of SHS.





127 Fig. S23. UV absorption spectra of cotinine and other chemicals in saliva.



Fig. S24. (a) PXRD patterns of Tb@MA-TMA/Zinc Film (1) and 1 with nicotine. (b) PXRD patterns
of Tb@MA-TMA/AG Film (2) and 2 with cotinine.



132 Fig. S25. The 3D views of homemade sensor platform with smartphone.

|--|

Sample	$m_{0}\left(g ight)$	$V_0 (mL)$	Test elements	$C_0 (mg/L)$	f	C _x (mg/kg)	W (%)
Tb@MA-TMA	0.0433	25	Tb	5.97664	10	34507.16	3.45

134 In Table S1, m₀, V₀, C₀, f, C_x and W is sample mass, constant volume, concentration of elements in

135 the test solution, dilution multiple, sample element content and mass content of test element.

Table S2. Summary of emission transitions and experimental energy gaps of MA-TMA and Tb³⁺
ions.

	Transitions	Wavelength (nm)	Energy gap (eV)
MA-TMA	$S_1 \rightarrow S_0$	405	3.06
	$T_1 \rightarrow S_0$	469	2.64
	${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{6}$	489	2.54
Tb ³⁺	${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{5}$	544	2.28
	${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{4}$	584	2.12

${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{3}$ 620 2.00	
--	--

138 Energy = $1240/\lambda$ (eV)

139 Table S3. Physical and chemical parameters of SHS.

Analytes	ρ (g/cm ³), 20 °C	M (g/mol)
nicotine (1)	1.01	162.23
benzene (2)	0.879	78.11
toluene (3)	0.879	78.11
ethylbenzene (4)	0.867	106.17
xylene (5)	0.86	106.17
benzo[a]pyrene (6)	1.286	252.31
formaldehyde (7)	0.815	30.03
crotonaldehyde (8)	0.853	70.09
catechol (9)	0.867	1.371
ammonia (10)	0.91	17.03
hydrazinium hydroxide solution (11)	1.032	32.05
1,3-butadiene (12)	0.62	54.09
lead (13)	11.34	207.20
cadmium (14)	8.65	112.41
chromium (15)	7.19	52.00

140 **Table S4.** HOMO and LUMO orbital energies calculated at B3LYP/6-31G* level.

	HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV)	Band Gap (eV)
benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid	-7.859	-2.186	5.673
melamine	-6.518	0.248	6.766
nicotine	-0.209	-0.027	0.182
cotinine	-0.243	-0.032	0.211

141 **Table S5.** The results of recovery experiments by smartphone (n = 3).

Samples	No.	Spiked (µM)	Found (µM)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
nicotine	1	1	1.02	102	2.53
	2	10	9.56	95.60	2.87
	3	100	97.72	97.72	3.86
cotinine	1	10	9.51	95.10	2.95
	2	100	96.12	96.12	2.65
	3	1000	988.76	98.88	2.31

142 30 X. L. Zhang and X. M. Chen, Cryst. Growth. Des., 2005, 5, 617 – 622.