Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

In-situ construction of graphdiyne based heterojunctions by a deprotectionfree approach for photocatalytic hydrogen generation

Cong Wang,^{‡a} Xu Han,^{‡b} Qian Xu, ^a Yi-Ning Sun, ^c Jordi Arbiol, ^{b,d} Mohamed Nawfal Ghazzal *^a and Jian Li *^{a,e}

^a Institut de Chimie Physique, UMR 8000 CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay 91405 Orsay, France.
^b Catalan Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (ICN2), CSIC and BIST, Campus UAB, Bellaterra, 08193, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.
^c McGill university 845 Rue Sherbrooke O, H3A 0G4, Canada.
^d ICREA, Pg. Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain
^e Laboratory of Renewable Energy Science and Engineering, Institute of Mechanical Engineering, EPFL, Station 9, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding Author: jian.li@epfl.ch and mohamed-nawfal.ghazzal@universite-paris-saclay.fr

Table of Contents

1.	Experiment Procedures	S3
1.1	Characterization	S3
1.2	Photocatalytic H ₂ Evolution Test	S3
1.3	Electrochemical characterizations	S 3
2.	Supplementary Figures	S4

1. Experimental Section

1.1 Characterization

The UV–vis spectroscopy (model Cary 5000 series, Agilent Technologies) was used to analyze the optical properties of the calcined films. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high angle annular dark field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) images were obtained in a Tecnai F20 field emission gun microscope with a 0.19 nm point-to-point resolution at 200 kV equipped with an embedded Quantum Gatan Image Filter for EELS analyses. Images have been analyzed by means of Gatan Digital Micrograph software. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on a Phoibos 150 analyser (SPECS GmbH, Berlin, Germany) in ultra-high vacuum conditions (base pressure 4×10-10 mbar) with a monochromatic aluminum K α X-ray source (1486.74 eV). Binding energies (BE) were determined using the C 1s peak at 284.6 eV as a charge reference. The crystal structure of the photocatalysts was confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD), which was conducted on D/MAX-RB diffractometer (Rigaku, Japan) with CuK α radiation (λ =1.5405 Å). Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded on a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Cary Eclipse from Agilent) by using an excitation wavelength of 365 nm. FTIR was recorded on a Nicolet 6700 from ThermoFisher Scientific and a Spectrum 3 from PerkinElmer.

1.2 Photocatalytic H₂ evolution test

The hydrogen generation experiments were carried out in a 10 mL closed quartz cell. For each experiment, 4 mg sample were dispersed in 4 mL of aqueous solution containing 15 v% TEOA. Then, the cell was degassed with argon for 20 min to remove dissolved oxygen. A 100 W solar simulator (LCS-100, 94011A, AM 1.5G) was employed as the light source with a cut off filter of 420 nm for the photocatalytic reaction. A gas chromatograph (Micro GC Fusion, argon/nitrogen as the carrier gas) was used to analyze the generation of H₂ every hour. During the irradiation process, the reaction system was stirred by a magnetic stirrer and each reaction lasted for 4 hours. To evaluate the stability of asprepared photocatalysts, the same sample was subjected to four consecutive H₂ evolution tests. After each test, the reaction system was kept overnight and degassed with argon again for the next cyclic test.

1.3 Electrochemical characterizations

All the electrochemical measurements were carried out in a three-electrode system by using a Metrohm Autolab (PGSTAT204) electrochemical station. Standard three-electrode setup was used with assembled photoelectrodes, Pt foil and Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl) as working photoelectrode, counter and reference electrodes, respectively. To prepare working photoelectrodes, the prepared photocatalysts (1 mg) were added into 1 mL ethanol (containing 5 v% Nafion solution) to form a homogeneous ink through sonication and then dropped onto 1*1.5 cm² ITO glasses. The photocatalyst loading was 0.05 mg cm⁻². For the Mott-Schottky (at 500 Hz) and impedance spectroscopy (within the range of 10 mHz to 100 kHz) tests, 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ solution was filled in the cell as electrolyte.

2 Supplementary Figures



Fig. S1. HAADF-STEM general image views of pure C_3N_4 .



Fig. S2. HAADF-STEM general image views of (a, b) GDY@C₃N₄-3 and (c, d) GDY@C₃N₄-4.



Fig. S3. TEM images of pure C_3N_4 .



Fig. S4. TEM images of (a, b) GDY@C₃N₄-3 and (c, d) GDY@C₃N₄-4.

Fig. S5. XPS survey spectra of the as-prepared GDY $@C_3N_4$.

Fig. S6. High-resolution Cu 2p spectrum of GDY@C₃N₄.

Fig. S7. H₂ evolution of as-prepared C₃N₄/Pt and GDY@C₃N₄/Pt photocatalysts under visible light ($\lambda > 420$ nm).

Fig. S8. HAADF-STEM general image views of (a, b) GDY/C₃N₄-Hyd and (c, d) GDY/C₃N₄-Cal.

Fig. S9. TEM images of (a-c) GDY/C_3N_4 -Hyd and (d-) GDY/C_3N_4 -Cal.

Fig. S10. HAADF-STEM images of (a) GDY/C₃N₄-Hyd and (b) GDY/C₃N₄-Cal, and the corresponding representative EELS chemical composition mapping obtained from the red squared area in the STEM images.

Fig. S11. H₂ evolution of GDY@C₃N₄-3/Pt and GDY/C₃N₄/Pt photocatalysts under visible light ($\lambda > 420$ nm).