Electronic Supplementary Information

Electrolyte Engineering for Effective Seawater Splitting Based on Manganese Iron Chromium Layered Triple Hydroxides as Novel Bifunctional Electrocatalysts

Santanu Pal,^{a,b} Koji Shimizu,^c Sakila Khatun,^{a,b} Soumen Singha,^d Satoshi Watanabe,^{c*} Poulomi Roy^{a,b*}

^aMaterials Processing & Microsystems Laboratory, CSIR – Central Mechanical Engineering Research Institute (CMERI), Mahatma Gandhi Avenue, Durgapur 713209, West Bengal, India

^bAcademy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), CSIR- Human Resource Development Centre (CSIR-HRDC), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh- 201 002, India. E-mail: <u>poulomiroy@yahoo.com</u>

^cDepartment of Materials Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan E-mail: <u>watanabe@cello.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp</u>

^dDepartment of Physics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India

Figures/ Tables	Contents	Page Number
	Figures	
Fig. S1	Enlarged view of XRD peak at 11.6°	5
Fig. S2	Model structure of MnFeCr triple hydroxide	5
Fig. S3	FTIR spectra for MF-LDH and MFC LTH samples.	6
Fig. S4	FESEM images of MF (a), MFC-1 (b), MFC-2 (c) and MFC-3 (d) samples.	7
Fig. S5	High resolution XPS analysis of (a) Mn $2p$, (b) Fe $2p$, (c) Cr $2p$, (d) O 1s and (e) C 1s for MF and MFC-2 samples.	8
Fig. S6	Comaprison of LSV and SCV plot for (a) MF LDH, (b) MFC-1, (c) MFC-2, (d) MFC-3, (e) RuO_2 and (f) bare NF electrocatalysts showing their performance towards OER activity.	9
Fig. S7	(a) PEIS taken at 1.518 V vs RHE from 100 kHz – 0.1 Hz for OER of as prepared LDH, LTHs, RuO_2 and NF in 1M KOH electrolyte medium. (b) Bode Phase angle plot and (c) Bode plot of electrocatalysts taken in 1M KOH electrolyte of corresponding electrocatalysts.	9
Fig. S8	(a-d) Cyclic voltammogram plots in non-Faradic region for (a) MF, (b) MFC-1, (c) MFC-2 and (d) MFC-3 in 1M KOH electrolyte and (e) calculated C_{dl} values. (f) ECSA values of corresponding LDH and LTHs.	10
Fig. S9	Mass loading optimization of MF: (a) Oxidation peak area, (b) iR drop uncompensated LSV, (c) charge associated to oxidation and (d) calculated TOF of MF-LDH at different mass loading.	11
Fig. S10	(a) The side view of the HadObri+OHad structure in the MF(011) surface. (b) The surface sites of the corresponding structure from the top view.	13
Fig. S11	The side views of (a) $O_{bri}+OH_{ad}$, (b) $H_{ad}O_{bri}+O_{ad}$, (c) $O_{bri}+O_{ad}$, and (d) OH_{bri} in the MF(011) surface.	14
Fig. S12	(a) The side view of the $H_{ad}O_{bri}+OH_{ad}$ structure in the MFC-2 (011) surface. (b) The surface sites of the corresponding structure from the top view.	15
Fig. S13	The side views of (a) $O_{bri}+OH_{ad}$, (b) $H_{ad}O_{bri}+O_{ad}$, (c) $O_{bri}+O_{ad}$, and (d) OH_{bri} in the MFC-2(011) surface.	16
Fig. S14	The intermediate structures of OER in the MF(011) surface using the $H_{ad}O_{bri}$ +OH _{ad} termination.	17
Fig. S15	The intermediate structures of OER in the MFC-2(011) surface using the $H_{ad}O_{bri}$ +OH _{ad} termination.	17
Fig. S16	The side views of (a) MF(012) and (b) MFC-2(012) slab models. (c) Calculated Gibbs free energy profiles of OER via the Mars van Krevelen mechanism under alkaline condition. The intermediate adsorbed structures of (d) MF(012) and (e) MFC-2(012).	18
Fig. 517	(a) Unronoamperometry study of MFC-2 for OER in alkaline real seawater and (b) corresponding LSV plots before and after stability test.	19

Fig. S18	(a) Bode plot and (b) Phase angle plot of MFC-2 LTH in presence and absence of different corrosion inhibitors in 1M KOH + 1M NaCl electrolyte.	19
Fig. S19	LSV plots of MFC-2 for HER in 1M KOH + 1M NaCl with and without inhibitors showing no noticeable influences.	20
Fig. S20	lodometry test confirming a) no formation of CIO ⁻ due to CER in presence of carbonate inhibitor and b) formation of CIO ⁻ as indicated by yellow coloration in absence of carbonate in real seawater after chronopotentiometry test.	20
Fig. S21	Comparative bar diagram showing concentration of oxidants including hypochlorite determined by DPD test in colorimetric method during seawater electrolysis in presence and absence of inhibitor at certain intervals of long run stability test. The calibration has been made based on standard permanganate solution.	21
Fig. S22	XPS spectra of (a) Mn 2p, (b) Fe 2p, (c) Cr 2p and (d) O 1s after prolonged stability for OER in seawater with and without inhibitor.	21
Fig. S23	Raman Spectroscopy of MFC-2 LTH before and after prolonged stability for OER in seawater with and without inhibitor.	22
Fig. S24	(a) A thin layer model of FeOOH generated from bulk structural information provided by the Materials Project database (mp-24200). (b) Calculated Gibbs free energy profile of OER via the Mars van Krevelen mechanism under alkaline condition and (c) the intermediate adsorbed structures. 2×2×1 sampling meshes were used for the Brillouin-zone integration while the other computational conditions were the same as those described in the main text.	23
Fig. S25	(a) A thin layer model of MnOOH generated from bulk structural information provided by the Materials Project database (mp-1002573). (b) Calculated Gibbs free energy profile of OER under alkaline condition and (c) the intermediate adsorbed structures. 2×2×1 sampling meshes were used for the Brillouin-zone integration while the other computational conditions were the same as those described in the main text.	24

	Tables	
Table S1	Reaction parameters and precursor concentrations used for developing LDH and LTHs.	25
Table S2	Elemental information for developed LDH and LTHs based on the EDX analysis.	25
Table S3	Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) parameters of fitted data of various electrocatalysts at 287 mV overpotential for OER process in 1M KOH electrolyte.	25
Table S4	Fitted simulation EIS result with R_s , R_c , R_{ct} , Y and n parameters of MFC-2 in presence and absence of inhibitors in 1M KOH + 1M NaCl electrolyte.	26
Table S5	Potentiodynamic polarization (PD) parameters of MFC-2 LTH in different electrolyte solutions.	26
Table S6	Fitted data of EIS spectroscopy of various electrolyte at 230 mV overpotential for HER process electrocatalysts in 1M KOH.	27
Table S7	Comparison of C_{dl} , ECSA and TOF of prepared electrocatalysts in 1M KOH medium.	27
Table S8	pH monitoring over the stability periods in alkaline seawater electrolyte with and without inhibitor.	27
Table S9	Comparative electrocatalytic performances of recently developed electrocatalysts for seawater splitting.	28
Table S10	ICP-MS analysis of electrolytes after stability test of MFC- 2 at current density of 300 mA cm ⁻² .	29
Table S11	Calculated Gibbs free energy changes of OER under alkaline condition. The asterisk marks indicate the reactions via the Mars van Krevelen mechanism.	30



Figure S1. Enlarged view of XRD peak at 11.6°.



Figure S2. layered Structure of MnFeCr triple hydroxide (Fe: Orange, Mn: Pink, Cr: Green, O: Red and H: White).



Figure S3. FTIR spectra for MF-LDH and MFC LTH samples.



Figure S4. FESEM images of MF (a), MFC-1 (b), MFC-2 (c) and MFC-3 (d) samples.



Figure S5. High resolution XPS analysis of (a) Mn 2*p*, (b) Fe 2*p*, (c) Cr 2*p*, (d) O 1*s* and (e) C 1*s* for MF and MFC-2 samples.



Figure S6. Comaprison of LSV and SCV plot for (a) MF LDH, (b) MFC-1, (c) MFC-2, (d) MFC-3, (e) RuO₂ and (f) bare NF electrocatalysts showing their performance towards OER activity.



Figure S7. (a) PEIS taken at 1.518 V vs RHE from 100 kHz – 0.1 Hz for OER of as prepared LDH, LTHs, RuO_2 and NF in 1M KOH electrolyte medium. (b) Bode Phase angle plot and (c) Bode plot of electrocatalysts taken in 1M KOH electrolyte of corresponding electrocatalysts.



Figure S8. (a-d) Cyclic voltammogram plots in non-Faradic region for (a) MF, (b) MFC-1, (c) MFC-2 and (d) MFC-3 in 1M KOH electrolyte and (e) calculated C_{dl} values. (f) ECSA values of corresponding LDH and LTHs.

Determination of specific capacitance:

Capacitance = Charge/potential

Considering MF-LDH as an example, the area calculated from CV at scan rate of 20 mV s^-1 = 1.37 \times 10^-8 AV

Associated charge = integrated area / (2 × scan rate)

=
$$(1.37 \times 10^{-8} \text{ AV}) / (2 \times 0.02 \text{ V s}^{-1})$$

= $3.42 \times 10^{-7} \text{ A s}$
= $3.42 \times 10^{-7} \text{ C}$

Specific capacitance = charge / (area of electrode × potential window)

The C_s values for MFC-1, MFC-2 and MFC-3 LTHs were calculated in similar way.



Figure S9. Mass loading optimization of MF: (a) Oxidation peak area, (b) iR drop uncompensated LSV, (c) charge associated to oxidation and (d) calculated TOF of MF-LDH at different mass loading at η_{300} .

TOF Calculations:

To calculate TOF, four different mass loading, 0.21, 0.405, 0.62 and 0.81 mg cm⁻² for electrocatalysts were considered to identify the optimum mass loading to attain near-perfect monolayer. As an example, we show here the mass loading optimization for MF-LDH and TOF calculation thereof.

First we evaluated the area under the oxidation peak indicating the participating active sites for TOF calculation and the number of electron involved during oxidation reaction of active species. In all cases, CVs were analysed at 10 mV s⁻¹ scan rate.

For MF-LDH with 0.21 mg cm⁻² mass loading:

Area under oxidation peak = 3.8×10^{-3} AV.

Hence, associated charge with oxidation peak of real active sites (Qs) = 3.8×10^{-3} AV / 0.01 V s⁻¹ = 0.38 As = 0.38 C

The number of transferred electrons are the equivalent to the no. of total active sites. Hence, no. of moles of active sites that involved in OER (m) = Qs / F

TOF at 1.53 V (300 mV overpotential) vs. RHE for MF LDH with mass loading of 0.21 mg cm⁻² is

(TOF) _{300 mV} = 0.021 A / [4 × 96485 C mol⁻¹ × (0.38 C / 96485 C mol⁻¹)]

= 0.0138 s⁻¹

The TOF for MF LDH was calculated with mass loading of 0.405 mg cm⁻², 0.602 mg cm⁻² and 0.81 mg cm⁻² respectively and was found to be 0.019 s⁻¹, 0.0158 s⁻¹ and 0.0127 s⁻¹.



Figure S10. (a) The side view of the $H_{ad}O_{bri}+OH_{ad}$ structure in the MF(011) surface. (b) The surface sites of the corresponding structure from the top view.



Figure S11. The side views of (a) $O_{bri}+OH_{ad}$, (b) $H_{ad}O_{bri}+O_{ad}$, (c) $O_{bri}+O_{ad}$, and (d) OH_{bri} in the MF(011) surface.



Figure S12. (a) The side view of the $H_{ad}O_{bri}+OH_{ad}$ structure in the MFC-2(011) surface. (b) The surface sites of the corresponding structure from the top view.



Figure S13. The side views of (a) $O_{bri}+OH_{ad}$, (b) $H_{ad}O_{bri}+O_{ad}$, (c) $O_{bri}+O_{ad}$, and (d) OH_{bri} in the MFC-2(011) surface.



Figure S14. The intermediate structures of OER in the MF(011) surface using the $H_{ad}O_{bri}$ +OH_{ad} termination.



Figure S15. The intermediate structures of OER in the MFC-2(011) surface using the $H_{ad}O_{bri}+OH_{ad}$ termination.



Figure S16. The side views of (a) MF(012) and (b) MFC-2(012) slab models. (c) Calculated Gibbs free energy profiles of OER via the Mars van Krevelen mechanism under alkaline condition. The intermediate adsorbed structures of (d) MF(012) and (e) MFC-2(012).



Figure S17. (a) Chronoamperometry study of MFC-2 for OER in alkaline real seawater and (b) corresponding LSV plots before and after stability test.



Figure S18. (a) Bode plot and (b) Phase angle plot of MFC-2 LTH in presence and absence of different corrosion inhibitors in 1M KOH + 1M NaCl electrolyte.



Figure S19. LSV plots of MFC-2 for HER in 1M KOH + 1M NaCl with and without inhibitors showing no noticeable influences.



Figure S20. Iodometry test confirming a) no formation of CIO⁻ due to CER in presence of carbonate inhibitor and b) formation of CIO⁻ as indicated by yellow coloration in absence of carbonate in real seawater after chronopotentiometry test.



Figure S21. Comparative bar diagram showing concentration of oxidants including hypochlorite determined by DPD test in colorimetric method during seawater electrolysis in presence and absence of inhibitor at certain intervals of long run stability test. The calibration has been made based on standard permanganate solution.



Figure S22. XPS spectra of (a) Mn 2*p*, (b) Fe 2*p*, (c) Cr 2*p* and (d) O 1*s* after prolonged stability for OER in seawater with and without inhibitor.



Figure S23. Raman Spectroscopy of MFC-2 LTH before and after prolonged stability for OER in seawater with and without inhibitor. The shaded area indicates presence of CO_3^{2-} .



Figure S24. (a) A thin layer model of FeOOH generated from bulk structural information provided by the Materials Project database (mp-24200). (b) Calculated Gibbs free energy profile of OER via the Mars van Krevelen mechanism under alkaline condition and (c) the intermediate adsorbed structures. 2×2×1 sampling meshes were used for the Brillouin-zone integration while the other computational conditions were the same as those described in the main text.



Figure S25. (a) A thin layer model of MnOOH generated from bulk structural information provided by the Materials Project database (mp-1002573). (b) Calculated Gibbs free energy profile of OER under alkaline condition and (c) the intermediate adsorbed structures. 2×2×1 sampling meshes were used for the Brillouin-zone integration while the other computational conditions were the same as those described in the main text.

Table S1. Reaction parameters and precursor concentrations used for developingLDH and LTHs.

Samples	Reaction	Mn (mM)	Fe (mM)	Cr (mM)	Total Precursor
	Temp/Time				Conc. (mM)
MF		1	3		4
MFC-1	120 °C/16h	0.75	3	0.25	4
MFC-2	-	0.5	3	0.5	4
MFC-3		0.25	3	0.75	4

Table S2. Elemental information for developed LDH and LTHs based on the EDXanalysis.

Samples	Mn (at%)	Fe (at%)	Cr (at%)	O (at%)
MF	5	14.2	0	80.8
MFC-1	3.9	19.1	1.8	75
MFC-2	2.6	21.1	2.6	73.7
MFC-3	1.6	20.1	5.5	72.8

Table S3. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) parameters of fitted data of various electrocatalysts in 1M KOH electrolyte at 287 mV overpotential for OER process.

Sample	R _s (Ohm)	R _{ct} (Ohm)
MF	1.11	2.88
MFC-1	0.83	1.09
MFC-2	0.54	0.55
MFC-3	0.98	1.23
RuO ₂	1.5	9.2

Table S4. Fitted simulation EIS result with R_s , R_c , R_{ct} , Y and *n* parameters of MFC-2 in presence and absence of inhibitors in 1M KOH + 1M NaCl electrolyte.

Electrolyte	R _s	R _c	Y ₁	n ₁	R _{ct}	Y ₂	n ₂
	(Ωcm²)	(Ωcm²)	(Ω ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)		(Ωcm²)	(Ω ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)	
1 M KOH + 1	0.65	6.90	1.20 × 10 ⁻³	0.83	1530	1.28 × 10	0.90
M NaCl						-3	
1 M KOH + 1M	0.73	15.99	0.928 × 10-	0.805	2312	1.09 × 10	0.93
NaCl + 0.05 M			3			-3	
Na ₂ SO ₄							
1 M KOH + 1M	0.85	14.50	0.846 × 10-	0.81	2484	1.05 × 10	0.91
NaCl + 0.05 M			3			-3	
Na ₂ CO ₃							
1 M KOH + 1M	0.73	19.28	0.934 × 10 ⁻	0.80	2912	1.02 × 10	0.93
NaCl + 0.05 M			3			-3	
Na ₂ HPO ₄							

Table S5. Potentiodynamic polarization (PD) parameters of MFC-2 LTH in differentelectrolyte solutions.

Electrolyte	E _{corr}	β _a	β _c	R _p	Corrosion	η (%)
	(V)	(V/dec)	(V/dec)	(Ω.cm²)	rate(mm/year)	
1M KOH + 1M	0.843	2.8604	1.1868	809.75	5.2273	
NaCl						
1M KOH + 1M	0.861	0.71996	0.26822	2584.8	0.69549	68.7
NaCl + 0.05 M						
Na ₂ SO ₄						
1M KOH + 1M	0.857	0.49235	0.24042	2690.75	0.88123	69.9
NaCl + 0.05 M						
Na ₂ CO ₃						
1M KOH + 1M	0.866	2.6003	0.12209	2539.8	0.2317	68.1
NaCl + 0.05 M						
Na ₂ HPO ₄						

Table S6. Fitted data of EIS spectroscopy of various electrocatalysts in 1M KOHelectrolyte at 230 mV overpotential for HER process.

Electrocatalyst	R _s (ohm)	R _{ct} (ohm)
MFC-1	1.1	7.5
MFC-2	0.56	3.08
MFC-3	1.02	7.22
MF	1.12	14.75
Pt/C	1.4	11.87

Table S7. Comparison of C_{dl} , ECSA and TOF of developed electrocatalysts in 1M KOH medium.

Catalyst	C _{dl} at -0.15 V (mF cm ⁻²)	ECSA	TOF (s ⁻¹) at η ₃₀₀
MF	1.41	12.8	0.019
MFC-1	1.57	18.42	0.047
MFC-2	2.25	22.93	0.106
MFC-2	1.48	13.67	0.042

Table S8. pH monitoring over the stability periods in alkaline seawater electrolyte

 with and without inhibitor.

Duration for	pH of electrolyte				
stability study (h)	Alkaline seawater	Alkaline seawater + Inhibitor			
0	13.9	13.9			
5	13.8	13.84			
24	13.8	13.83			
48	13.78	13.80			
72	13.75				
500		13.75			

Table S9. Comparative electrocatalytic performances of recently developedelectrocatalysts for seawater splitting.

Catalysts	Electrolyt	OEF	R	HEF	ł	Overa	11	Ref
	е	η _{OER}	Dura	η_{HER} (mV)	Dura	Cell	Dura	
		(mV) @ J	bility	@ J	bility	Voltage (V)	bility	
		(mA.cm ⁻²)	(h)	(mA.cm ⁻²)	(h)	@ J	(h)	
						(mA.cm ⁻²)		
S-	1M KOH +	300@100	100					S1
(NiFe)OOH	Seawater							
NiFe LDH	0.1M KOH	359@10	120					S2
	+ 0.5M							
	NaCl							
NiFe LDH	0.1M KOH	270@10	100					S3
	+ 0.5M							
	NaCl							
NiFe	1M KOH +	300@400	1000	160@500	22	2.08@400	500	S4
hydroxide/Ni	0.5M NaCl							
S _x -Ni Ni-	1M KOH +					2.12@400	1000	
NiO Cr ₂ O ₃	seawater							
			100					
B-Co⊦e	1M KOH +	310@100	100					S5
LDH	Seawater							
N-CDs/NiFe	1M KOH +	285@100	20					S6
LDH	0.5M NaCl							
	1M KOH +	340@100	20					
	seawater							
CoPx CoPx	1M KOH +	283@100	83	190@100		1.71@100	80	S7
FeOOH	Seawater							
CoFeZr	1M KOH +	303@100	30			1.66@15	20	S8
LDH/NF	0.5M NaCl							
NiMoN@	1M KOH +	286@100				1.56@100	100	S9
NiFeN	0.5M NaCl							
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

	1M KOH +	307@100		82@100		1.58@100	100	
	seawater							
HCI-c-NiFe	1M KOH +	178@100		175@100		1.81@500	300	S10
LDH	0.5M NaCl							
NiFe-LDH	1M NaOH	333@100						S11
	+							
	Seawater							
	1M NaOH					2.3@400	1000	
	+ 0.5M							
	NaCl +							
	0.05M							
	Na ₂ SO ₄							
MnFeCr	1M KOH +	303@100		277@100				
LTH	1M NaCl	332@300		362@300				
	1M KOH +	341@100	50	292@100	70	2.30@300	72	_
	seawater	424@300		347@300				vor
	1M KOH +					2.27@300	500	his v
	seawater +							F
	0.05M							
	Na ₂ CO ₃							

Table S10. ICP-MS analysis of electrolytes after stability test of MFC-2 at currentdensity of 300 mA cm⁻².

Metals	Concentration in electrolyte solution (ppm)						
	In presence of inhibitor	In absence of inhibitor					
Mn	<0.000	0.001					
Fe	<0.000	<0.000					
Cr	0.012	0.050					

Surfaces	Intermediates						
Sundces	$\Delta G_1 \qquad \Delta G_2$		ΔG_3	ΔG_4			
MF(012)*	−0.964 eV	2.33 eV	0.969 eV	−0.506 eV			
MFC-2(012)*	1.46 eV	2.17 eV	1.34 eV	−0.205 eV			
FeOOH*	−0.175 eV	1.28 eV	−0.343 eV	1.08 eV			
MnOOH	0.793 eV	0.0402 eV	1.59 eV	-0.587eV			

Table S11. Calculated Gibbs free energy changes of OER under alkaline condition.The asterisk marks indicate the reactions via the Mars van Krevelen mechanism.

References

- S1 L. Yu, L. Wu, B. McElhenny, S. Song, D. Luo, F. Zhang, Y. Yu, S. Chen, Z. Ren, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2020, **13**, 3439-3446.
- S2 F. Dionigi, T. Reier, Z. Pawolek, M. Gliech, P. Strasser, *ChemSusChem* 2016, 9, 962–972.
- S3 S. Dresp, F. Dionigi, S. Loos, J.F. de Araujo, C. Spori, M. Gliech, H. Dau, P. Strasser, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2018, 8, 1800338.
- S4 Y. Kuang, M. J. Kenney, Y. Meng, W. Hung, Y. Liu, J. Huang, R. Prasanna, P. Li,
 Y. Li, L. Wang, M.C. Lin, M. D. McGehee, X. Sun, H. Dai, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*2019, **116**, 6624–6629.
- S5 L. Wu, L. Yu, Q. Zhu, B. McElhenny, F. Zhang, C. Wu, X. Xing, J. Bao, S. Chen,
 Z. Ren, *Nano Energy* 2021, 83, 105838.
- S6 P. Ding, H. Song, J. Chang, S. Lu, Nano Research 2022, 15, 7063-7070.
- S7 L. Wu, L. Yu, B. McElhenny, X. Xing, D. Luo, F. Zhang, J. Bao, S. Chen, Z. Ren, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2021, **294**, 120256.
- S8 W. Liu, K. Jiang, Y. Hu, Q. Li, Y. Deng, J. Bao, Y. Lei, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*2021, 604, 767.
- S9 L. Yu, Q. Zhu, S.W. Song, B. McElhenny, D.Z. Wang, C.Z. Wu, Z.J. Qin, J.M. Bao,
 Y. Yu, S. Chen, Z. F. Ren, *Nat. Commun.* 2019, **10**, 5106.
- S10 S. Duan, Z. Liu, H. Zhuo, T. Wang, J. Liu, L. Wang, J. Liang, J. Han, Y. Huang,
 Q. Li, *Nanoscale* 2020, **12**, 21743-21749.
- S11 T. Ma, W. Xu, B. Li, X. Chen, J. Zhao, S. Wan, K. Jiang, S. Zhang, Z. Wang, Z.
 Tian, Z. Lu, L. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 22922-22926.