Electronic Supplementary Information

# Hypochlorous acid triggered fluorescent probes for in situ imaging of psoriasis model

Peng Wei<sup>a,b</sup>, Yu Guo<sup>a</sup>, Lingyan Liu<sup>a</sup>, Xiaojun Zhou<sup>\*a</sup> and Tao Yi<sup>\*a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory for Modification of Chemical Fibers and Polymer Materials, Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Nano-Biomaterials and Regenerative Medicine, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, China <sup>b</sup>National Innovation Center of Advanced Dyeing and Finishing Technology, Tai'an, Shandong 271000,P.R.China

Corresponding author. E-mail address: zxj@dhu.edu.cn; yitao@dhu.edu.cn

# Table of contents

| 1 The synthesis of the compounds | 2  |
|----------------------------------|----|
| 1.1 The synthesis of G1          | 2  |
| 2.2 The synthesis of G2          | 2  |
| 3 Additional figures             | 4  |
| 3 NMR and HRMS spectra           | 14 |
| 4 References                     | 18 |

#### 1 The synthesis of the compounds

The synthesis route of G1 and G2 were similar to our reported procedure and shown in Scheme 1.<sup>1</sup>

#### 1.1 The synthesis of G1

To an aqueous solution (20 mL) of Oxazine 1 (1.59 g, 3.75 mmol, 1.0 eq), dichloromethane (15 mL) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.38 g, 22.50 mmol, 6.0 eq) were added and stirred at 40°C under a nitrogen atmosphere. Sodium dithionite (2.61 g, 15.00 mmol, 4.0 eq) was dissolved in 15 mL of water and added dropwise. After addition the mixture was stirred at 40°C under nitrogen atmosphere until the solution became yellow (typically within 15-30 min). The dichloromethane layer was separated from water layer and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate quickly. After sodium sulfate was removed by filtration, the solution was added dropwise to a mixture of acetyl chloride (5.63 mmol, 1.5 eq), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.19 g, 11.25 mmol, 3.0 eq) in 5 mL dichloromethane. After addition the mixture was stirred in an ice-water bath for 0.5 h and then at room temperature until the reaction completed as indicated by TLC analysis.

Removing the undissolved substance by filtration, the solution was poured into 200 mL of ice-water while stirring, and the resulting mixture was extracted with  $3 \times 100$  mL portions of ethyl acetate. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated on a rotary evaporator to afford a solid residue, which was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/n-hexane = 1/3) to yield **G1** as a white solid.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN) δ 7.25 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.42 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.8 Hz, 2H), 6.38 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 2H), 3.35 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 8H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.12 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 12H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 169.7, 152.1, 146.8, 125.4, 118.4, 106.3, 99.7, 44.7, 23.0, 12.6. HRMS (ESI): calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 368.2333; found: 368.2338.

#### 2.2 The synthesis of G2

To an aqueous solution (20 mL) of Oxazine 1 (1.59 g, 3.75 mmol, 1.0 eq), dichloromethane (15 mL) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.38 g, 22.50 mmol, 6.0 eq) were added and stirred at 40°C under a nitrogen atmosphere. Sodium dithionite (2.61 g, 15.00 mmol, 4.0 eq) was dissolved in 15 mL of water and added dropwise. After addition the mixture was stirred at 40°C under nitrogen atmosphere until the solution became

yellow. The mixture was cooled with an ice-water bath, to which a dichloromethane solution (5 mL) of bis(trichloromethyl)carbonate (1.11 g, 3.75 mmol, 1.0 eq) was added dropwise. After addition, the mixture was stirred for another 1 h. The dichloromethane layer was separated from the water layer and quickly dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. After sodium sulfate was removed by filtration, the solution was added dropwise to a mixture of 4-(2-aminoethyl) morpholine (3 mL) and 5 mL dichloromethane. After addition, the mixture was stirred in an ice-water bath for 1 h and then at room temperature until the reaction was completed as indicated by TLC analysis.

The solution was poured into 200 mL of ice-water while stirring, and the resulting mixture was extracted with three 100 mL portions of ethyl acetate. The combined extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated on a rotary evaporator to afford an residue, which was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/n-hexane = 1/10 then 1/5) to yield **G2** as white solids.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN) δ 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.44 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.37 (s, 2H), 6.07 (s, 1H), 3.53 (s, 4H), 3.35 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 8H), 3.21 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (s, 4H), 1.13 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 12H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 155.2, 151.5, 145.9, 125.2, 117.7, 106.5, 99.2, 66.3, 56.6, 52.9,
43.8, 36.8, 12.3.

HRMS (ESI): calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>39</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 482.3126; found: 482.3134.

### **3** Additional figures



**Fig. S1.** (a) Fluorescence spectra of **G1** (5  $\mu$ M) before/after addition of different concentration of HOCl (0, 1, 5, 10 and 15  $\mu$ M). (b) Absorption spectra of **G1** (5  $\mu$ M) before/after addition of 15  $\mu$ M HOCl (Inset in b is the color image before (left) and after (right) treated with HOCl). (c) Time-dependent fluorescence intensity changes of **G1** (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm upon addition of 15  $\mu$ M HOCl (time range 0–60 s;  $\lambda_{ex} = 610$  nm). (d) Fluorescent intensity of **G1** (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm after treated with HOCl (5  $\mu$ M) and different ROS/RNS (20  $\mu$ M) (from A to I: blank, TBHP, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, NO, 'OH, ONOO<sup>-</sup> O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, ROO', t-BuOO')



Fig. S2. Pseudo-first-order kinetic plot of the reaction of (a) 5  $\mu$ M G1 to 15  $\mu$ M HOCl and (b) 5  $\mu$ M G2 to 5  $\mu$ M HOCl (G1: slop = -0.11141, G2: slop = -0.60095,  $\lambda_{ex} = 610$  nm).



Fig. S3. The proposed mechanism of G1 and G2 toward HOCl



Fig. S4. Fluorescence intensity of G2 (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm after adding various concentrations of HOCl (0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5  $\mu$ M)



Fig. S5. (a) Fluorescent intensity of G1 (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm after treated with HOCl (5  $\mu$ M) or different (a) anions (50  $\mu$ M), (b) cations (50  $\mu$ M) (A and H are Blank and HOCl (5  $\mu$ M), respectively; from B to G: Cl<sup>-</sup>, F<sup>-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>; from I to O: Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>)



**Fig. S6.** (a) Fluorescent intensity of **G2** (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm after treated with HOCl (5  $\mu$ M) or different (a) anions (50  $\mu$ M), (b) cations (50  $\mu$ M) (A and H are Blank and HOCl (5  $\mu$ M), respectively; from B to G: Cl<sup>-</sup>, F<sup>-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>; from I to O: Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>)



Fig. S7. (a) Fluorescent intensity of G1 (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm after treated with HOCl (5  $\mu$ M) and different (a) anions or (b) cations (50  $\mu$ M) (A: G1 only, H: 5  $\mu$ M G1 + 5  $\mu$ M HOCl. From B to G: Cl<sup>-</sup>, F<sup>-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>. From I to O: Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>)



**Fig. S8.** Fluorescent intensity of **G2** (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm after treated with HOCl (5  $\mu$ M) and different (a) anions or (b) cations (50  $\mu$ M) (A: **G2** only, H: 5  $\mu$ M **G2** + 5  $\mu$ M HOCl. From B to G: Cl<sup>-</sup>, F<sup>-</sup>, CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>. From I to O: Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>)



**Fig. S9.** Fluorescent intensity of **G1** (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm after treated with (a) CYS (15  $\mu$ M) and different concentrations of HOCl (From A to E: 5  $\mu$ M **G1** only, 5  $\mu$ M **G1** + 15  $\mu$ M CYS, 5  $\mu$ M **G1** + 15  $\mu$ M CYS + 10  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M **G1** + 15  $\mu$ M CYS + 20  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M **G1** + 15  $\mu$ M CYS + 30  $\mu$ M HOCl), and (b) GSH (15  $\mu$ M) and different concentrations of HOCl (From A to E: 5  $\mu$ M **G1** only, 5  $\mu$ M **G1** + 15  $\mu$ M GSH + 10  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M **G1** + 15  $\mu$ M GSH + 20  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M **G1** + 15  $\mu$ M GSH + 10  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M **G1** + 15  $\mu$ M GSH + 20  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M G1 + 15  $\mu$ M GSH + 30  $\mu$ M HOCl)



**Fig. S10.** Fluorescent intensity of **G2** (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm after treated with (a) CYS (15  $\mu$ M) and different concentrations of HOCl (From A to E: 5  $\mu$ M **G2** only, 5  $\mu$ M **G2** + 15  $\mu$ M CYS, 5  $\mu$ M **G2** + 15  $\mu$ M CYS + 5  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M **G2** + 15  $\mu$ M CYS + 10  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M **G2** + 15  $\mu$ M CYS + 15  $\mu$ M HOCl), and (b) GSH (15  $\mu$ M) and different concentrations of HOCl (From A to E: 5  $\mu$ M **G2** only, 5  $\mu$ M **G2** + 15  $\mu$ M GSH + 5  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M **G2** + 15  $\mu$ M GSH + 10  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M **G2** + 15  $\mu$ M GSH + 10  $\mu$ M HOCl, 5  $\mu$ M GSH + 10  $\mu$ M HOC



Fig. S11. Fluorescent intensity of G1 (5  $\mu$ M) at 669 nm before/after treated with HOCl (15  $\mu$ M) at different pH.



Fig. S12. The cell viability of G1 at different concentrations (0, 5, 10, 20, 50  $\mu$ M) in Hela cells for 3 h (black) and 6 h (red) measured by CCK-8 assay.



Fig. S13. The cell viability of Hela cells after incubated with different concentrations of G2 (0, 5, 10, 15 and 20  $\mu$ M) for 3 h and 6 h



**Fig. S14.** The cell viability of acetic acid and 4-(2-aminoethyl)morpholine at different concentrations (0, 20, 50, 80, 100  $\mu$ M) in Hela cells for 3 h (black) and 6 h (red) measured by CCK-8 assay.



Fig. S15. CLSM images of HL-60 cells (a1, a2, a3) without any treatment; (b1, b2, b3) incubated with G2 (5  $\mu$ M) for 3 h and (c1, c2, c3) 6 h. (Red channel: 700 ± 50 nm,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 633 nm).



Fig. S16. CLSM images of HL-60 cells incubated with G2 (5  $\mu$ M) and DND-26 (200 nM) for 6 h (a) red channel; (b) green channel and (c) merge (Red channel: 700 ± 50 nm,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 633 nm; Green channel: 530 ± 30 nm,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 488 nm).



Fig. S17. In vivo fluorescence imaging of HOCl in a mouse model of arthritis using G2, (a) brightfield; (b) red channel; (c) merge. The fluorescence signal was collected at  $\lambda_{em} = 650 \pm 15$  nm, 2 min after the injection of G2.



Fig. S18. In vivo fluorescence imaging of HOCl in a mouse model of psoriasis constructed with imiquimod using G2, (a1, b1, c1: brightfield; red channel and merge images of the control; a2, b2, c2: brightfield; red channel and merge images of the psoriasis model; the fluorescence signal was collected at  $\lambda_{em} = 650 \pm 15$  nm, 10 min after the injection of G2)

## 3 NMR and HRMS spectra



<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **G1** in DMSO- $d_6$ 



HRMS of G1



<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of **G2** in DMSO- $d_6$ 



HRMS of G2

# 4 References

1. P. Wei, L. Liu, Y. Wen, G. Zhao, F. Xue, W. Yuan, R. Li, Y. Zhong, M. Zhang and T. Yi, Angew.

Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 4547-4551.