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General information

All oxygen- and moisture-sensitive manipulations were carried out under an inert atmosphere. All the chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used as received unless stated otherwise. Toluene was refluxed over Na and distilled under dry argon. Synthesized compounds were subject to purification by temperature gradient sublimation in high vacuum before used in subsequent studies. The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ascend spectrometer using CDCl$_3$ as solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference. Mass analyses were recorded by Bruker autlex MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer. UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2700 recording spectrophotometer. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded on a Hitachi F-4600 fluorescence spectrophotometer. Phosphorescence spectra of thin films were conducted at 77 K. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was recorded on a TA Q50 instrument under nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C/min from 25 to 800 °C. The temperature of degradation ($T_d$) was correlated to a 5% weight loss. Differential scanning calorimetry were carried out on a TA Q200. The glass transition temperature ($T_g$) was determined from the second heating scan at a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ from 25 to 400 °C. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out in nitrogen-purged tetrahydrofuran or acetonitrile (reduction scan) or dichloromethane (oxidation scan) at room temperature with a CHI voltammetric analyzer. Tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (0.1 M) was used as the supporting electrolyte. The conventional three-electrode configuration consisted of a platinum working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode and an Ag wire pseudo-reference electrode with ferrocenium/ferrocene (Fc$/^+$/Fc) as the internal standard. Cyclic voltammograms were obtained at scan rate of 100 mV/s. Formal potentials were calculated as the average of cyclic voltammetric anodic and cathodic peaks. The HOMO energy levels of the compounds were calculated according to the formula: $-[4.8 + (E_{1/2(ox/red)} - E_{1/2(Fc/Fc^+)}))]$ eV. The onset potential was determined from the intersection of two tangents drawn at the rising and background current of the cyclic voltammogram. The PL lifetimes were measured by a single photon counting spectrometer from Edinburgh Instruments (FLS920) with a Picosecond Pulsed UV-
LASTER (LASTER377) as the excitation source. The solid state absolute PLQYs were measured on a Hamamatsu UV-NIR absolute PL quantum yield spectrometer (C13534, Hamamatsu Photonics) equipped with a calibrated integrating sphere in the host of DPEPO (10 wt%) under excitation of 300 nm. During the PLQY measurements, the integrating sphere was purged with pure and dry argon to maintain an inert environment. The ground state molecular structures were optimized at the B3LYP-D3/6-31g** level of theory; the S$_1$ and T$_1$ geometries were optimized via time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) at PBE0/def2-SVP level of theory. In addition, the overlaps between the hole and electron density distributions in the S$_1$ and T$_1$ states were estimated by the Multiwfn code.$^1$

**Device Fabrication**

Glass substrates pre-coated with a 95-nm-thin layer of indium tin oxide (ITO) with a sheet resistance of 20 Ω per square were thoroughly cleaned for 10 minutes in ultrasonic bath of acetone, isopropyl alcohol, detergent, deionized water, and isopropyl alcohol. Then, the substrates were totally dried in a 75 °C oven. After that, in order to improving the hole injection ability of ITO, the substrates were treated by O$_2$ plasma for 10 minutes. Multilayer OLEDs were fabricated by the vacuum-deposition method. Organic layers were deposited by high-vacuum ($\sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ Pa) thermal evaporation onto a glass substrate pre-coated with an ITO layer. All organic layers were deposited sequentially. The thermal deposition rates for the organic materials, LiF and Al were 1.0~1.5, 0.1 and 3~5 Å s$^{-1}$, respectively. The active area of each device was 9 mm$^2$. The electroluminescence spectra, the current density-voltage characteristics and the current density-voltage-luminance curves characterizations of the OLEDs were carried out with a Photo Research SpectraScan PR-745 Spectroradiometer and a Keithley 2450 Source Meter and they are recorded simultaneously. All measurements were done at room temperature under ambient conditions.

**Synthesis**

$m$-dibromobenzene, 1-bromo-4-iodobenzene, 3,6-di-tert-butylcarbazole, cesium
carbonate, cuprous iodide, palladium (0) tetrakis(triphenylphosphine), potassium carbonate, 1,10-anhydrous phenanthroline, were used as received. 9-(4-bromophenyl)-3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazole and 9-(3-bromophenyl)-3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazole was synthesized according to reference.[2] 2-chloro-4-cyclohexyl-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine was synthesized according to reference.[3] D2T was synthesized according to reference.[4]

![D2T](image)

**Scheme S1.** Synthetic route of p-D2T, m-D2T and c-D2T.

I: Pd(OAc)$_2$, t-BuONa, t-Bu$_3$PHBF$_4$, toluene, 110 °C, 12 h.

Synthesis of 10-(4-(3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)-10'H-dispiro[acridine-9,10'-indenof2,1-b]fluorene-12',9''-acridine](1)

D2T (1 g, 1.72 mmol) and 9-(4-bromophenyl)-3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazole (624 mg, 1.44 mmol) and toluene (50 mL) were added into a 250 mL double neck flask in N2 atmosphere. The mixture was degassed for 10 min, acetic acid palladium (II) (73 mg, 0.325 mmol), sodium tert-butoxide (277 mg, 2.88 mmol), and tri-tert-butylphosphine tetrafluoroborate (189 mg, 0.65 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated to 110 °C and continually stirred for 8 h. After cooling and vacuum filtrating,
the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification via column chromatography on silica gel (300-400 mesh, petroleum ether/dichloromethane = 2:1, v/v) afforded a as a white solid (0.8 g, 60% yield).\(^1\)\(\text{H} \) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta \) (ppm) 8.27 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.18 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.91-7.85 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.63-7.61 (d, \(J = 8 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54-7.55 (d, \(J = 4 \) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 7.46-7.44 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.35 (dd, \(J = 7.2, 10 \) Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 7.22-7.12 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.03-6.92 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 6.76 (d, \(J = 9.3 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.61-6.40 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 1.49 (s, 18H, -CH\(_3\)).

**Synthesis of 10-(4-(3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)-10''-(4-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl)-10H,10''H-dispiro[acridine-9,10'-indeno[2,1-b]fluorene-12',9''-acridine] (p-D2T)**

1 (1 g, 1.05 mmol) and 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazine (1.2g, 3.2 mmol) and toluene (20 mL) were added into a 100 mL double neck flask in N\(_2\) atmosphere. The mixture was degassed for 10 min, acetic acid palladium (II) (80 mg, 0.36 mmol), sodium tert-butoxide (202 mg, 2.1 mmol), and tri-tert-butylphosphine tetrafluoroborate (116 mg, 0.4 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated to 110 °C and continually stirred for 8 h. After cooling and vacuum filtrating, the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification via column chromatography on silica gel (300-400 mesh, petroleum ether/dichloromethane = 5:1, v/v) afforded as light yellow solid (945 mg, 90% yield).\(^1\)\(\text{H} \) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta \) 9.06 (d, \(J = 8.4 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.81 (d, \(J = 9.7 \) Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 8.33 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.18 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.94 (d, \(J = 7.5 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.89 (d, \(J = 6.3 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.68-7.55 (m, 12H, Ar-H), 7.54 (m, 14H, Ar-H), 7.51-7.43 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38 (t, \(J = 7.8 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.97 (dt, \(J = 8.6, 4.7 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.92-6.85 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.60 (m, 9H, Ar-H), 6.47 (d, \(J = 8.4 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.41 (d, \(J = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 1.49 (s, 18H, -CH\(_3\)). \(^{13}\)\text{C} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta \) 171.89, 171.04, 158.13, 158.06, 156.01, 155.80, 145.08, 143.32, 141.66, 141.58, 141.26, 141.00, 139.29, 138.96, 138.25, 136.95, 136.84, 136.05, 132.71, 131.74, 131.60, 129.03, 128.81, 128.72, 128.41, 127.76, 127.69, 127.55, 127.32, 127.28, 125.10, 125.03, 123.80, 123.66, 120.85, 120.05, 116.39, 114.56, 114.53, 110.90, 109.25, 56.90, 34.78, 32.02. HRMS (ESI): m/z Calcd for C\(_{91}\)H\(_{68}\)N\(_6\) (M+H)\(^+\) 1245.587, found 1245.563. Anal. Calcd. for C\(_{91}\)H\(_{68}\)N\(_6\): C, 87.75; H, 5.50; N,
Synthesis of 10-(3-(3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)-10''H-dispiro[acridine-9,10'-indenof[2,1-b]fluorene-12',9''-acridine](2)

D2T (700 mg, 1.2 mmol) and 9-(3-bromophenyl)-3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazole (433 mg, 1 mmol) and toluene (40 mL) were added into a 100 mL double neck flask in N2 atmosphere. The mixture was degassed for 10 min, acetic acid palladium (II) (50 mg, 0.22 mmol), sodium tert-butoxide (230 mg, 2.4 mmol), and tri-tert-butylphosphine tetrafluoroborate (100 mg, 0.345 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated to 110 °C and continually stirred for 8 h. After cooling and vacuum filtrating, the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification via column chromatography on silica gel (300-400 mesh, petroleum ether/dichloromethane = 2:1, v/v). White solid of 2 was obtained in 55% yield (515 mg). \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 8.18 (d, \(J=2\), 2H, Ar-H), 8.17 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 7.91-7.83 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.77 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.64 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 7.53 (d, \(J = 10.6\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.43 (d, \(J = 8.7\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.41-7.26 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.25-7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.14 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.93 (m, \(J = 8.5\), 7.0, 1.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.88-6.81 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.55 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.51 (dd, \(J = 7.8\), 1.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.44 (d, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 3H, Ar-H), 6.35 (d, \(J = 6.5\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.28 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 5.76 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 1.48 (s, 18H, -CH\textsubscript{3}).

Synthesis of 10-(3-(3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)-10''-(4-(4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl)-10H,10''H-dispiro[acridine-9,10'-indenof[2,1-b]fluorene-12',9''-acridine] (m-D2T)

2 (620 mg, 0.67 mmol) and 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazine (520 mg, 1.34 mmol) and toluene (20 mL) were added into a 100 mL double neck flask in N2 atmosphere. The mixture was degassed for 10 min, acetic acid palladium (II) (50 mg, 0.22 mmol), sodium tert-butoxide (129 mg, 1.34 mmol), and tri-tert-butylphosphine tetrafluoroborate (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated to 110 °C and continually stirred for 8 h. After cooling and vacuum filtrating, the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification via column chromatography on silica gel (300-400 mesh, petroleum ether/dichloromethane = 5:1, v/v) afforded as light yellow solid (670 mg, 84% yield). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 9.04 (d, \(J = 8.5\) Hz,
2H, Ar-H), 8.83 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 4H, Ar-H), 8.31 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.15 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.96-7.83 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.79 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.71 (t, $J = 2$ Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.66-7.57 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 7.47 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.42-7.30 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.15 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.01-6.92 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.91-6.82 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 6.59 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 6.49 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.37 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 1.47 (s, 18H, -CH$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 171.88, 171.05, 158.18, 158.07, 155.91, 155.82, 145.08, 143.30, 142.52, 141.71, 141.64, 141.09, 140.96, 140.87, 138.80, 136.83, 136.73, 136.33, 136.08, 132.72, 132.25, 131.72, 131.59, 129.39, 129.16, 129.04, 128.74, 128.43, 127.77, 127.65, 127.53, 127.49, 127.37, 127.27, 126.39, 125.17, 125.05, 124.99, 124.93, 123.64, 120.84, 120.04, 119.99, 114.23, 114.53, 114.37, 110.84, 109.16, 56.87, 34.76, 31.99. HRMS (ESI): m/z Calcd for C$_{91}$H$_{68}$N$_6$ (M+H)$^+$ 1245.587, found 1245.452. Anal. Calcd. for C$_{91}$H$_{68}$N$_6$: C, 87.75; H, 5.50; N, 6.75, found: C, 87.43; H, 5.25; N, 6.79.

Synthesis of 10-(4-(4-cyclohexyl-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)phenyl)-10'-(4-(3,6-di-tert-butyl-9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)-10H,10''H-dispiro[acridine-9,10'-indeno[2,1-b]fluorene-12',9''-acridine] (c-D2T)

1 (1.1 g, 1.2 mmol) and 2-(4-bromophenyl)-4-cyclohexyl-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine (435 mg, 0.94 mmol) and xylene (10 mL) were added into a 50 mL double neck flask in N2 atmosphere. The mixture was degassed for 10 min, acetic acid palladium (II) (50 mg, 0.22 mmol), sodium tert-butoxide (181 mg, 1.88 mmol), and tri-tert-butylphosphine tetrafluoroborate (116 mg, 0.4 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated to 110 °C and continually stirred for 8 h. After cooling and vacuum filtrating, the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification via column chromatography on silica gel (300-400 mesh, petroleum ether/dichloromethane = 5:1, v/v) afforded as pale yellow solid (952 mg, 81% yield). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.95 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.70 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 8.33 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.18 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.93 (dd, $J = 7.6$, 3.3 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.88 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.67-7.53 (m, 12H, Ar-H), 7.46 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42-7.34 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.22 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.96 (dt, $J = 8.5$, 4.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.92-6.83 (m, 2H, Ar-H),
6.63-6.53 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 6.46 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.38 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 2.97 (t, \(J = 11.5\) Hz, 1H, -CH₃), 2.17 (d, \(J = 12.1\) Hz, 2H, -CH₃), 1.96-1.75 (m, 5H, -CH₃), 1.49 (s, 18H, -CH₃). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 183.30, 171.44, 170.59, 158.18, 158.14, 156.06, 155.84, 144.90, 143.36, 141.72, 141.61, 141.29, 141.04, 139.33, 139.00, 138.29, 136.98, 136.84, 136.50, 136.16, 132.74, 132.54, 131.70, 131.57, 128.97, 128.85, 128.70, 128.45, 127.80, 127.72, 127.58, 127.36, 127.30, 125.13, 125.10, 125.02, 123.84, 123.71, 120.87, 120.09, 116.43, 114.58, 110.94, 109.30, 56.93, 47.19, 34.82, 32.06, 31.29, 26.08, 26.05. HRMS (ESI): m/z Calcd for C₉₁H₇₄N₆ (M+H)⁺ 1250.597, found 1250.287. Anal. Calcd. for C₉₁H₇₄N₆: C, 87.73; H, 5.96; N, 6.71, found: C, 87.30; H, 5.75; N, 6.58.

**Exciton Lifetime and Rate Constant**

The rate constants of ISC \(k_{\text{ISC}}\) and RISC \(k_{\text{RISC}}\) of three emitters based on the following equations:

\[
k_p = \frac{1}{\tau_p} \quad (1)
\]

\[
k_d = \frac{1}{\tau_d} \quad (2)
\]

\[
k_{r,s} = \Phi_p k_p + \Phi_d k_d \approx \Phi_p k_p \quad (3)
\]

\[
k_{\text{RISC}} \approx \frac{k_p k_d \Phi}{k_{r,s}} \quad (4)
\]

\[
k_{\text{ISC}} \approx \frac{k_p k_d \Phi_d}{k_{\text{RISC}} \Phi_p} \quad (5)
\]

In this study, the prompt PLQY (\(\Phi_p\)) and delayed PLQY (\(\Phi_d\)) were determined by using the total PLQY and the integrated intensity ratio between prompt and delayed components which was calculated from transient photoluminescence measurements.

The intensity ratio between prompt \(r_p\) and delayed \(r_d\) components were determined
using two fluorescent lifetimes ($\tau_p$, $\tau_d$) and fitting parameter ($A_p$, $A_d$) as follow.

\[
I(t) = A_p e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_p}} + A_d e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_d}}
\]  
(6)

\[
r_p = \frac{A_p \tau_p}{A_p \tau_p + A_d \tau_d}
\]  
(7)

\[
r_d = \frac{A_d \tau_d}{A_p \tau_p + A_d \tau_d}
\]  
(8)

Then, the prompt PLQY ($\Phi_p$) and delayed PLQY ($\Phi_d$) were determined using intensity ratio ($r_p$, $r_d$) and total PLQY.

\[
\Phi_{total} = \Phi_p + \Phi_d
\]  
(9)

\[
\Phi_p = r_p \Phi_{total}
\]  
(10)

\[
\Phi_d = r_d \Phi_{total}
\]  
(11)

Supplementary Figures and Tables

Figure S1. (a) TGA and (b) DSC curve of p-D2T, m-D2T and c-D2T under the heating rate of 10 K/min under N₂.
Figure S2. Theoretical simulation results of ground and excited states of m-D2T using DFT under B3LYP/6-31g** level and TD-DFT under pbe0/def2SVP level, respectively. Cyan, magenta, lime and blue: distribution of LUMO, HOMO, hole NTO and particle NTO.

Figure S3. Theoretical simulation results of ground and excited states of c-D2T using DFT under B3LYP/6-31g** level and TD-DFT under pbe0/def2SVP level, respectively. Cyan, magenta, lime and blue: distribution of LUMO, HOMO, hole NTO and particle NTO.
Figure S4. Transient PL decay of p-D2T, m-D2T and c-D2T in doped films (10 wt% in DPEPO) under oxygen free atmosphere in the scale of 25 μs.

Figure S5. CV profiles of cyclic voltammetry analysis of p-D2T, m-D2T and c-D2T at a scan rate of 100 mV/s
Figure S6. The EQE-J curves and the fitting lines of TTA and SPA for a) m-D2T and b) c-D2T.

Figure S7. Current efficiency and power efficiency versus Luminance profiles of devices based on p-D2T, m-D2T and c-D2T under various doping concentrations.
## Table S1. Crystallographic data for p-D2T.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>p-D2T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCDC No.</td>
<td>2128754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formular</td>
<td>C_{91}H_{68}N_{6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>1245.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P21/n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>18.7905(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>18.7820(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>21.137(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>113.331(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume/Å³</td>
<td>6849.8(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ_{calc}/g/cm³</td>
<td>1.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>2624.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm³</td>
<td>0.15 × 0.08 × 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Θ range (deg)</td>
<td>4.198 to 51.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁/wR₂ [I&gt;=2σ (I)]</td>
<td>0.0555/0.1237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Table S2. Data of SOC matrix of p-D2T, m-D2T and m-D2T.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SOC_{S₁-T₁} (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>SOC_{S₁-T₂} (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>SOC_{S₁-T₃} (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-D2T</td>
<td>0.01789</td>
<td>0.02299</td>
<td>0.70354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-D2T</td>
<td>0.01435</td>
<td>0.03262</td>
<td>0.71105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-D2T</td>
<td>0.06550</td>
<td>0.20198</td>
<td>0.72130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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