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## Supporting information S1

Table S1. Composition of $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{x} \mathrm{Sb}_{1-x}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ thin film obtained by CSS method.

| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\text {target }}=\boldsymbol{B i} /(\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{S b})$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\text {exp }}=\boldsymbol{B i} /(\boldsymbol{B i}+\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{b})$ | 0.00 | $0.01 \pm 0.003$ | $0.02 \pm 0.01$ | $0.06 \pm 0.01$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$ |
| $\boldsymbol{S e} /(\boldsymbol{B i}+\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{b})$ | $1.53 \pm 0.06$ | $1.55 \pm 0.03$ | $1.60 \pm 0.03$ | $1.50 \pm 0.02$ | $1.50 \pm 0.06$ |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\text {target }}=\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{i} /(\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{S b})$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\text {exp }}=\boldsymbol{B i} /(\boldsymbol{B i}+\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{b})$ | $0.11 \pm 0.01$ | $0.20 \pm 0.01$ | $0.30 \pm 0.01$ | 1 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{S e} /(\boldsymbol{B i}+\boldsymbol{S b})$ | $1.33 \pm 0.06$ | $1.45 \pm 0.03$ | $1.46 \pm 0.05$ | $1.66 \pm 0.09$ |  |
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To quantitatively understand the behaviour of the preferred orientation, the texture coefficient (TC) of the $\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ thin film has been calculated using equation (S1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T C_{h k l}=\frac{I_{h k l}}{I_{0, h k l}} / \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{I_{h_{i} k_{i} l_{i}}}{I_{0, h_{i} k_{i} l_{i}}} \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $I_{h k l}$ is the intensity of the diffraction peaks corresponding to hkl reflection $I_{o, h k l}$ is the intensity of hkl reflection of the standard $\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ (JCPDS no. 051-0861). $N$ is the number of reflections considered for the calculation.


Figure S2. Texture coefficient (TC) of the Sb 2 Se 3 thin-film estimated for (hk0) and (hk1) family of planes
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## Williamson-Hall Method

Crystallite domain size and microstrain in the thin films were estimated from the XRD peak broadening.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{l}=\frac{k \lambda}{L \cos \theta}  \tag{1}\\
& \beta_{e}=4 \varepsilon \tan \theta \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, wavelength of X-ray $\lambda=1.5406 \AA, \mathrm{~L}=$ crystallite domain size, $\mathrm{K}=0.94, \beta_{l}=$ peak broadening due to crystallite dome size, $\varepsilon=$ microstrain, $\beta_{e=}$ peak broadening due to micro strain. $\theta=$ Bragg diffraction angle

Total boarding in the XRD peaks is the sum of (1) and (2)
$\beta_{\text {total }}=\beta_{l}+\beta_{e}=\frac{k \lambda}{L \cos \theta}+4 \varepsilon \tan \theta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\text {total }} \cos \theta=\frac{k \lambda}{L}+4 \varepsilon \sin \theta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If was make a plot between $\beta_{\text {total }} \cos \theta$ and $4 \sin \theta$ than the intercept on the y -axis will give the crystallite domain size, and the slope will give us microstrain present in the film.

Here, $\beta_{\text {total }}$ is the full width at half maximum after subtracting the instrument broadening $\beta_{i}$. The instrument broadening $\beta_{i_{\text {was }}}$ estimated after subtracting the FWHM of the standard silicon reference sample as per the (4). Here $\beta$ is the FWHM of the XRD peaks of $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{x} \mathrm{Sb}_{1-x}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ thin film

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\text {total }}=\sqrt{\left(\beta-\beta_{i}\right) \sqrt{\beta^{2}-\beta_{i}^{2}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure S3. The plots of $\beta_{\text {total }} \operatorname{Cos} \theta$ versus $4 \operatorname{Sin} \theta$ for $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{x} \mathrm{Sb}_{1-x}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ thin films. Strain is extracted from the slop, and crystallite site is extracted from the y-intercept.
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Figure S 4 . Raman spectrum of the $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ thin film. Inset is the vibrational modes of $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ [1-2].
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The optical bandgap of the $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{x} \mathrm{Sb}_{1-x}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ thin films was estimated by measuring the diffuse reflectance on UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer.

Using Kubelka-Munk (KM) function the reflectance spectrum can be transformed to the corresponding absorbtion spectrum using eq. (1) [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F(R)=\alpha / S=(1-R)^{2} / 2 R\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Tauc method the energy dependent absorbction coefficient $(\alpha)$ can be expressed by following eq. (2) [2-3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha h v)^{\frac{1}{n}}=B\left(h v-E_{g}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining eq1 and eq2

$$
\begin{equation*}
[F(R) h v]^{\frac{1}{n}}=B\left(h v-E_{g}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of n is equal to $1 / 2$ or 2 for the direct and indirect transition bandgaps, respectively.

The bandgaps were estimated by a linear fit of the linear part of the KM plot. Errors for the bandgaps are estimate by shifting the fitting range by $\pm 10 \mathrm{meV}$.


Figure S5. (a) Measured diffused reflectance and (b) and (c) shows the corresponding KM function for the estimation of direct and indirect bandgap, respectively.

1. E.N. Davis, Developments in Applied Spectroscopy, Springer US, 1965. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-8691-9
2. J. Tauc, R. Grigorovici, A. Vancu, Optical Properties and Electronic Structure of Amorphous Germanium, Phys. Status Solidi. 15 (1966) 627-637. https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb. 19660150224.
3. N.F. Mott, E.A. Davis, Conduction in non-crystalline systems V. Conductivity, optical absorption and photoconductivity in amorphous semiconductors, Philos. Mag. 22 (1970) 903922. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786437008221061.

## Supporting information S6

Table S6. $\left(\mathrm{Bi}_{x} \mathrm{Sb}_{1-x}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ thin film solar cell performance parameters. $P C E$ is power conversion efficiency, $J_{s c}$ is short circuit current, $F F$ is fill factor, $R_{s h}$ is shunt resistance, $R_{s}$ is series resistance.

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{\text {exp }}$ | $\boldsymbol{J}_{\text {sc }}\left(\mathbf{m A} / \mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{o c}}(\mathbf{m V})$ | $\boldsymbol{F F}(\%)$ | $\boldsymbol{P C E} \mathbf{( \% )}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{s h}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | $0.68 \pm 0.28$ | $187.42 \pm 46.21$ | $31.04 \pm 2.64$ | $0.68 \pm 0.28$ | $25.75 \pm 8.59$ | $10.68 \pm 2.52$ |
| Best cell | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4 0}$ |
| 0.01 | $3.14 \pm 0.64$ | $39.33 \pm 5.23$ | $23.74 \pm 0.22$ | $0.03 \pm 0.009$ | $12.46 \pm 1.39$ | $13.31 \pm 1.42$ |
| Best cell | $\mathbf{3 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7 8}$ |
| 0.02 | $21.72 \pm 1.93$ | $139.96 \pm 5.9$ | $28.79 \pm 0.69$ | $0.88 \pm 0.10$ | $8.32 \pm 0.65$ | $4.81 \pm 0.59$ |
| Best cell | $\mathbf{2 2 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 6}$ |
| 0.06 | $16.16 \pm 1.03$ | $136.45 \pm 0.0354$ | $27.90 \pm 1.43$ | $0.633 \pm 0.216$ | $10.19 \pm 2.88$ | $6.33 \pm 1.09$ |
| Best cell | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 . 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5 3}$ |
| 0.07 | $18.68 \pm 8.71$ | $59.87 \pm 0.01$ | $25.23 \pm 0.33$ | $0.27 \pm 0.11$ | $4.39 \pm 2.59$ | $4.02 \pm 2.29$ |
| Best cell | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 8}$ |

