Supporting Information

Cs₂VOF₄(IO₂F₂): Rationally Designing A Noncentrosymmetric Early-

Transition-Metal Fluoroiodate

Mengmeng Ding¹, Hongping Wu¹, Zhanggui Hu¹, Jiyang Wang¹, Yicheng Wu¹ and

Hongwei Yu1*

¹Tianjin Key Laboratory of Functional Crystal Materials, Institute of Functional Crystal, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, China To whom correspondence should be addressed: hwyu15@gmail.com

1.	Experimental Section	S2
2.	References	S5
3.	Table S1 (Crystal data and structure refinement for Cs ₂ VOF ₄ (IO ₂ F ₂))	S6
4.	Table S2 (Atomic coordinates, displacement parameters and BVS for	
	$Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2))$	S7
5.	<i>Table S3</i> (Selected bond distances and angles for Cs ₂ VOF ₄ (IO ₂ F ₂))	S8
6.	Table S4 (Investigation of reported ETM iodates and F-containing ETM	
	iodates with MO ₆ octahedron in Figure 2)	S10
7.	<i>Table S5</i> (The residual negative charges of O/F atoms in M-O/F and I-O/F	
	bonds)	S11
8.	<i>Table S6</i> (The directions and magnitudes of dipole moments in $[IO_2F_2]^-$ and	
	[VO ₂ F ₄] ³⁻ polyhedra)	S12
9.	Figure S1 (Experimental and calculated PXRD patterns of Cs ₂ VOF ₄ (IO ₂ F ₂)) .	S13
10.	Figure S2 (TG curve of Cs ₂ VOF ₄ (IO ₂ F ₂))	S14
11.	Figure S3 (The elemental analysis of Cs ₂ VOF ₄ (IO ₂ F ₂))	S15
12.	Figure S4 (The residual PXRD patterns of Cs ₂ VOF ₄ (IO ₂ F ₂))	S16
13.	Figure S5 (IR transmission spectrum of Cs ₂ VOF ₄ (IO ₂ F ₂))	S17
14.	Figure S6 (Diffuse reflectance spectrum of Cs ₂ VOF ₄ (IO ₂ F ₂))	S18
15.	Figure S7 (The photo of crystal size for measuring birefringence)	S19

Experimental Section

Caution: Hydrofluoric acid is toxic and corrosive! It must be handled with extreme caution and the appropriate protective equipment and training.

Reagents.

Cesium fluoride (CsF, 99.9%, Tianjin hengshan Reagent), vanadium pentoxide (V_2O_5 , 99.0%, Shanghai Ailan Reagent) and iodine pentoxide (I_2O_5 , 98.0%, Shanghai Aladdin Reagent) were commercially available and used as received.

Hydrothermal Syntheses.

The crystals of title compound were synthesized by the hydrothermal method. The powder mixtures were prepared from CsF (0.5409 g, 3.56×10^{-3} mol), V₂O₅ (0.1619 g, 0.89×10^{-3} mol), I₂O₅ (0.2972 g, 0.89×10^{-3} mol), HF (0.5 mL) and H₂O (2 mL) with a Teflon liner (23 mL), and then sealed in an autoclave equipped. The autoclave was quickly heated to 220 °C for 72 hours, followed by slow cooling to 100 °C at a rate of 5 °C/h, subsequently cooled to room temperature by switching off the autoclave. The product was recovered by filtration and then dried in air. Thus, yellow crystals were obtained, and the yield of Cs₂VOF₄(IO₂F₂) was 80% based on V₂O₅.

Powder X-ray Diffraction

The Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$ was recorded on the SmartLab9KW X-ray diffractometer equipped with Cu K_{α} radiation at room temperature in the 2θ range of 10-70° with a step size of 0.02°. As seen in Figure S1, the experimental powder X-ray diffraction patterns are in agreement with the calculated pattern.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction.

Single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments of title compounds at 296(2) K were performed on a Bruker SMART APEX II CCD with Mo–K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The data were collected and integrated with the APEX II software. The structure was solved by using the SHELXTL system, and the structure's atomic positions were refined employing a full matrix least-squares technique.¹⁻² The crystal data and structure refinement details are shown in Table S1 and the atomic coordination, atomic displacement parameters, selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Tables

S2 and S3.

Elemental Analysis.

Microprobe elemental analyses and the elemental distribution maps were performed using an energy dispersive X-ray spectroscope (EDS) with a field-emission scanning electron microscope (Quanta FEG 250) made by FEI.

UV-vis-NIR Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy

The UV-Vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectrum of title compounds in 190–2500 nm wavelength range was performed on a Shimadzu SolidSpec-3700DUV spectrophotometer with $BaSO_4$ as a reference material at room temperature.

Infrared Spectroscopy

The Infrared (IR) spectrum of title compounds in 400–4000 cm⁻¹ range was recorded on a Shimadzu IR Affinity spectrometer at room temperature.

Thermal Stability Measurement

The thermal properties of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$ was measured on a NETZSCH STA 449C thermal analysis instrument. Powder samples (~10 mg) were placed in Al_2O_3 crucibles at a heating rate of 10 °C/min from 20 to 800 °C under flowing nitrogen gas.

Second-Order NLO Measurements.

The second-order NLO effects of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$ was performed by employing the powder measurement method proposed by Kurtz–Perry.³ Polycrystalline samples of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$ was ground and then sieved them into six different standard sizes, as follows: 52–74, 74–100, 100–125, 125–150, 150–180 and 180–225 µm, and the KDP and KTP was considered as reference material.

The first-principles Calculations

The electronic structure of above crystals was carried out on the CASTEP package based on the plane-wave pseudopotential method.⁴⁻⁵ The exchange and correlation functional was acquired by the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) in the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), and the relationship between the ionic cores and the electrons was described by using norm-conserving pseudopotential.⁶⁻⁷ The valence-electron configurations, Cs $5p^{6}6s^{1}$, V $3p^{6}3d^{3}4s^{2}$, I $5s^{2}5p^{5}$, O $2s^{2}2p^{4}$, F $2s^{2}2p^{5}$ were considered in the computation. The plane waves cutoff energy was set to 900 eV. And

the Monkhorst-Pack *k*-point sampling, $4 \times 4 \times 4$, was carried on numerical integration of the Brillouin zone for Cs₂VOF₄(IO₂F₂).

The calculated band gaps tend to be smaller than the experimental values because of the discontinuous exchange-correlation in the DFT. Therefore, we calculated the imaginary part of the dielectric function according to the electron transition from the valence bands (VB) to the conduction band (CB) based on the electron band structure of the scissor-corrected. Consequently, the real part of the dielectric function was acquired and the calculated refractive index was determined through the Kramers-Kronig transform.⁸

The Birefringence Experiment

The birefringence of Cs₂VOF₄(IO₂F₂) were measured by a Nikon Eclipse polarizing microscope E200MV POL equipped with a visible light filter. According to crystal optics, the retardation is proportional to birefringence and thickness of crystal. The specific formula is $R = \Delta n \times d$, where R, Δn and d represent retardation, birefringence and thickness of crystal, respectively.

Refence

- 1. SAINT: Program for Area Detector Absorption Correction, Ver, 4.05; Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments: Madison, WI, 1995.
- 2. G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXTL*, *version 6.12*; Bruker Analytical X-ray Instruments, Inc.: Madison, WI, 2001.
- 3. S. K. Kurtz and T. T. Perry, J. Appl. Phys., 1968, 39, 3798.
- 4. M. D. Segall, P. J. D. Lindan, M. J. Probert, C. J. Pickard, P. J. Hasnip, S. J. Clark and M. C. Payne, *J Phys-Condens Mat.*, 2002, **14**, 2717–2744.
- 5. V. Milman, B. Winkler, J. A. White, C. J. Pickard, M. C. Payne, E. V. Akhmatskaya and R. H. Nobes, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2000, 77, 895–910.
- 6. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- 7. J. S. Lin, A. Qteish, M. C. Payne and V. Heine, Phys Rev B, 1993, 47, 4174-4180.
- 8. E. D. Palik, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A., 1984, 1, 1297–1297.

Empirical formula	$Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$
Formula weight	605.66
Temperature	295(2) K
Crystal system	Orthorhombic
space group	$Cmc2_1$
<i>a</i> / Å	12.188(2)
<i>b</i> / Å	10.349(15)
<i>c</i> / Å	7.779(12)
$V/Å^3$	981.2(3)
Ζ	4
D _{calcd} / g/cm ³	4.100
Completeness to	100.0%
μ (Mo_K α)/mm ⁻¹	11.535
Flack factor	0.11(7)
GOF on F^2	1.094
Final <i>R</i> indices $[F_o^2 > 2s(F_o^2)]^{[a]}$	$R_1 = 0.0402,$
	$wR_2 = 0.0508$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0582,$
	$wR_2 = 0.0567$
Absolute structure parameter	0.11(7)
Largest diff. peak and hole (e·Å ³)	1.286 and -1.007
^[a] $R_1 = \Sigma F_o - F_c / \Sigma F_o $ and $wR_2 = [\Sigma w (F_o^2 -$	$F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w F_o^4$] ^{1/2} for $F_o^2 > 2\sigma(F_o^2)$

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$.

	Х	у	Z	U(eq)	BVS
Cs(1)	1947(1)	3412(1)	3578(2)	35(1)	1.07
V(1)	5000	1696(5)	3320(8)	27(1)	5.17
I(1)	5000	4695(2)	6346(3)	25(1)	5.16
O(1)	5000	3765(18)	4410(20)	36(6)	2.05
O(2)	5000	3510(20)	8020(20)	43(7)	2.03
O(3)	5000	310(20)	2450(30)	46(6)	2.01
F(1)	3994(10)	2456(10)	1934(13)	32(3)	1.12
F(2)	6073(10)	1427(14)	4869(16)	43(4)	1.03
F(3)	6645(9)	4664(11)	6380(20)	49(3)	1.03

Table S2. Atomic coordinates (× 10⁴) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($A^2 \times 10^3$) for Cs₂VOF₄(IO₂F₂). Useq is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ii} tensor.

Table 55. Dolla lenguis	[A] and angles	$[ucg.] 101 Cs_2 VOI 4(10212)$	•
Cs(1)-F(1)	2.973(11)	F(1)-Cs(1)-F(2)#6	133.3(3)
Cs(1)-F(1)#1	2.989(11)	F(1)#1-Cs(1)-F(2)#6	84.2(3)
Cs(1)-F(3)#2	3.060(15)	F(3)#2-Cs(1)-F(2)#6	65.3(3)
Cs(1)-F(2)#3	3.080(12)	F(2)#3-Cs(1)-F(2)#6	96.7(3)
Cs(1)-O(2)#4	3.129(14)	O(2)#4-Cs(1)-F(2)#6	112.5(4)
Cs(1)-F(3)#5	3.138(14)	F(3)#5-Cs(1)-F(2)#6	75.7(3)
Cs(1)-O(3)#6	3.204(14)	O(3)#6-Cs(1)-F(2)#6	45.1(4)
Cs(1)-F(2)#2	3.324(14)	F(2)#2-Cs(1)-F(2)#6	134.1(4)
Cs(1)-F(2)#6	3.447(14)	F(1)-Cs(1)-F(3)#3	65.8(3)
V(1)-O(3)	1.580(2)	F(1)#1-Cs(1)-F(3)#3	96.3(3)
V(1)-F(2)	1.800(12)	F(3)#2-Cs(1)-F(3)#3	139.7(2)
V(1)-F(2)#2	1.800(12)	F(2)#3-Cs(1)-F(3)#3	64.6(4)
V(1)-F(1)	1.813(12)	O(2)#4-Cs(1)-F(3)#3	45.5(3)
V(1)-F(1)#2	1.813(12)	F(3)#5-Cs(1)-F(3)#3	110.7(4)
V(1)-O(1)	2.303(19)	O(3)#6-Cs(1)-F(3)#3	109.6(4)
I(1)-O(2)	1.784(19)	F(2)#2-Cs(1)-F(3)#3	71.0(3)
I(1)-O(1)	1.787(18)	F(2)#6-Cs(1)-F(3)#3	154.1(3)
I(1)-F(3)#2	2.006(11)	F(1)-Cs(1)-O(1)	44.2(3)
I(1)-F(3)	2.006(11)	F(1)#1-Cs(1)-O(1)	104.8(4)
F(1)-Cs(1)-F(1)#1	126.7(2)	F(3)#2-Cs(1)-O(1)	44.6(4)
F(1)-Cs(1)-F(3)#2	88.6(3)	F(2)#3-Cs(1)-O(1)	119.6(4)
F(1)#1-Cs(1)-F(3)#2	73.8(3)	O(2)#4-Cs(1)-O(1)	145.9(5)
F(1)-Cs(1)-F(2)#3	84.5(3)	F(3)#5-Cs(1)-O(1)	59.7(3)
F(1)#1-Cs(1)-F(2)#3	134.6(3)	O(3)#6-Cs(1)-O(1)	135.5(4)
F(3)#2-Cs(1)-F(2)#3	146.9(4)	F(2)#2-Cs(1)-O(1)	45.3(4)
F(1)-Cs(1)-O(2)#4	111.4(4)	F(2)#6-Cs(1)-O(1)	99.6(3)
F(1)#1-Cs(1)-O(2)#4	68.9(4)	O(3)-V(1)-F(2)	98.4(7)
F(3)#2-Cs(1)-O(2)#4	142.6(4)	O(3)-V(1)-F(2)#2	98.4(7)
F(2)#3-Cs(1)-O(2)#4	69.0(4)	F(2)-V(1)-F(2)#2	93.2(9)
F(1)-Cs(1)-F(3)#5	61.1(3)	O(3)-V(1)-F(1)	98.0(7)
F(1)#1-Cs(1)-F(3)#5	151.3(3)	F(2)-V(1)-F(1)	163.1(7)
F(3)#2-Cs(1)-F(3)#5	79.21(19)	F(2)#2-V(1)-F(1)	88.5(5)
F(2)#3-Cs(1)-F(3)#5	69.1(4)	O(3)-V(1)-F(1)#2	98.0(7)
O(2)#4-Cs(1)-F(3)#5	138.0(4)	F(2)-V(1)-F(1)#2	88.5(5)
F(1)-Cs(1)-O(3)#6	135.1(4)	F(2)#2-V(1)-F(1)#2	163.1(7)
F(1)#1-Cs(1)-O(3)#6	98.0(4)	F(1)-V(1)-F(1)#2	85.1(8)
F(3)#2-Cs(1)-O(3)#6	110.4(4)	O(3)-V(1)-O(1)	176.4(10)
F(2)#3-Cs(1)-O(3)#6	57.0(4)	F(2)-V(1)-O(1)	84.1(6)
O(2)#4-Cs(1)-O(3)#6	78.0(4)	F(2)#2-V(1)-O(1)	84.1(6)
F(3)#5-Cs(1)-O(3)#6	82.3(4)	F(1)-V(1)-O(1)	79.4(5)
F(1)-Cs(1)-F(2)#2	46.8(3)	F(1)#2-V(1)-O(1)	79.4(5)
		O(2) $I(1)$ $O(1)$	1042(8)
F(1)#1-Cs(1)-F(2)#2	80.2(3)	O(2)-I(1)-O(1)	104.2(0)

Table S3. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg.] for $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$.

F(2)#3-Cs(1)-F(2)#2	124.6(3)	O(1)-I(1)-F(3)#2	90.1(5)
O(2)#4-Cs(1)-F(2)#2	101.5(4)	O(2)-I(1)-F(3)	88.9(4)
F(3)#5-Cs(1)-F(2)#2	99.2(3)	O(1)-I(1)-F(3)	90.1(5)
O(3)#6-Cs(1)-F(2)#2	178.1(4)	F(3)#2-I(1)-F(3)	177.8(8)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

#1 -x+1/2,-y+1/2,z+1/2 #2 -x+1,y,z #3 x-1/2,-y+1/2,z-1/2 #4 -x+1/2,-y+1/2,z-1/2 #5 -x+1,-y+1,z-1/2 #6 x-1/2,y+1/2,z #7 -x+1/2,y-1/2,z #8 x+1/2,y-1/2,z #9 x+1/2,-y+1/2,z-1/2 #10 x+1/2,-y+1/2,z+1/2 #11 -x+1,-y+1,z+1/2

						Phase	Lewis acid		
Ratio	Compounds	Space group	Dimensio	on BBUs	SHG	matching	strengths of A-		
						behavior	site cations		
	ETM iodates								
/	KMoO ₃ (IO ₃)	Pbca	2D	[MoO ₄ (IO ₃) ₂] ^{4.} cluster	CS	/	0.111		
/	AMoO ₃ (IO ₃) (A= Rb, Cs)	$Pna2_1$	3D	$[\mathrm{MoO}_4(\mathrm{IO}_3)_2]^{4\text{-}} cluster$	$400 \times SiO_2$	PM	0.111		
,	A[(VO) ₂ (IO ₃) ₃ O ₂]		10	$\mathbf{W}(0)$ h sheir	500×5:0	DM	0.100		
/	(A=Rb,Cs,NH4)	Imaz	ID	$[VO_2(IO_3)_2]$ chain	300×310 ₂	PM	0.100		
,	A2Ti(IO3)6(A=Li, Na)	P63	0D	[Ti(IO3)6] ²⁻ cluster	600,400×SiO ₂	PM	0.167		
/	$A_2Ti(IO_3)_6$ (A= K, Rb, Cs, Tl)	R ³	0D	[Ti(IO3)6] ²⁻ cluster	CS	/	0.111		
/	BaNbO(IO ₃) ₅	Сс	0D	[NbO(IO ₃) ₅] ²⁻ cluster	$14 \times \text{KDP}$	PM	0.200		
/	NaVO ₂ (IO ₃) ₂ (H ₂ O)	<i>P</i> 2 ₁	2D	[(VO ₂)(IO ₃) ₂] ⁻ chain	$20 \times \text{KDP}$	PM	0.167		
/	K(VO) ₂ O ₂ (IO ₃) ₃	Ima2	1D	[VO ₂ (IO ₃) ₂] ⁻ chain	$3.6 \times \text{KTP}$	PM	0.125		
	F-containing ETM	1 iodates with MC	D ₆ octahedro	on					
	α, β-Ba[VFO ₂ (IO ₃) ₂]	a-Pbcn	0D	$[VFO_2(IO_3)_2]^{2-}$ cluster	a-CS	/	0.102.0.200		
		$\beta - P2_12_12_1$			β -1.5×KDP	PM	0.182-0.200		
I/ETM> 1	$\alpha,\beta\text{-Ba}_2[\mathrm{VO}_2\mathrm{F}_2(\mathrm{IO}_3)_2]\mathrm{IO}_3$	α -Pna2 ₁	2 ₁ 0D	$[VO_2F_2(IO_3)_2]^{3\text{-}} \text{ cluster}$	9 × KDP	РМ	0.192		
		β -P2 ₁					0.182		
	$K_{3}V_{2}O_{3}F_{4}(IO_{3})_{3}$	$Cmc2_1$	0D	$[V_2O_3F_4(IO_3)_3]^{3-}$ cluster	$1.3 \times \text{KTP}$	РМ	0.125-0.143		
	K ₅ (W ₃ O ₉ F ₄)(IO ₃)	Pm	1D	$[W_3O_{12}F_4]^{10\text{-}}$ chain	$11 \times \text{KDP}$	РМ	0.125-0.200		
I/E1M<	$Ba_2[MoO_3F(IO_3)](MoO_3F_2)$	Сс	1D	[MoO ₃ F(IO ₃)] ²⁻ chain	$8 \times \text{KDP}$	PM	0.167-0.200		
	CsVO ₂ F(IO ₃)	Pna2 ₁	3D	[VO ₂ F(IO ₃) ₂] ²⁻ chain	1.1 × KTP	РМ	0.100		
I/ETM= 1	$A_2MoO_2F_3(IO_2F_2)$ (A= Rb, Cs	s) <i>Cmc</i> 2 ₁	0D	$[MoO_2F_3(IO_2F_2)]^{2\text{-}} cluster$	5 × KDP 4.5× KDP	РМ	0.100		
	Cs ₂ VOF ₄ (IO ₂ F ₂)(This work)	$Cmc2_1$	0D	$[VOF_4(IO_2F_2)]^{2-}$ cluster	$5 \times \text{KDP}$	РМ	0.111		

Table S4. Investigation of reported ETM iodates and F-containing ETM iodates with MO_6 octahedra in Figure 2.

MO ₆ and IO ₃	Bond	$R_{\rm o}({\rm \AA})$	Si	V-S _i	Lewis base strengths of anions group
[MoO ₆] ⁶⁻	Mo-O	1.907	1.00	1.000	0.333
using F ⁻ to substitute O ²⁻	Mo-F	1.821	1.00	0	0
[WO ₆] ⁶⁻	W-O	1.917	1.00	1.000	0.333
	W-F	1.836	1.00	0	0
[VO ₆] ⁷⁻	V-O	1.803	0.833	1.167	0.389
	V-F	1.709	0.833	0.167	0.083
[NbO ₆] ⁷⁻	Nb-O	1.911	0.833	1.167	0.389
	Nb-F	1.870	0.833	0.167	0.083
[TaO ₆] ⁷⁻	Ta-O	1.920	0.833	1.167	0.389
	Ta-F	1.880	0.833	0.167	0.083
[TiO ₆] ⁸⁻	Ti-O	1.815	0.667	1.333	0.444
	Ti-F	1.756	0.667	0.333	0.167
[ZrO ₆] ⁸⁻	Zr-O	1.928	0.667	1.333	0.444
	Zr-F	1.846	0.667	0.333	0.167
[IO ₃]-	I-0	2.003	1.667	0.333	0.111
	I-F	1.910	0.767	0.233	0.042

Table S5. The residual negative charges of O/F atoms in M-O/F and I-O/F bonds.

	Х	у	Z	Total (Debye)		
IO_2F_2	0	0	-17.958	-17.958		
VO_2F_4	0	0	0.676	0.676		
Total	0	0	-17.282	-17.282		

Table S6. The directions and magnitudes of the dipole moments in $[IO_2F_2]^-$ and $[VO_2F_4]^{3-}$ polyhedra.



Figure S1. Experimental and calculated PXRD patterns of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$.





Figure S3. The elemental analysis of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$.



Figure S4. The residual PXRD patterns of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$.



Figure S5. IR transmission spectrum of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$.



Figure S6. Diffuse reflectance spectrum of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$.



Figure S7. The photo of crystal size for measuring birefringence of $Cs_2VOF_4(IO_2F_2)$.