## **Supporting Information**

## Efficient Second-Order Nonlinear Response and Upconversion Emission from

## Wide-Bandgap Quasi-1D Lead Bromide Perovskite

Yun-ke Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Wanning Li<sup>b</sup>, Xiao-mei Chen<sup>a</sup>, Xiao-Ze Li<sup>a</sup>, Xiao-Jie Wang<sup>a</sup>, Benfeng Bai \*<sup>a</sup>, Yu Chen \*<sup>b</sup>, Hong-Hua Fang \*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> State Key Laboratory of Precision Measurement Technology and Instruments, Department of Precision Instrument, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China. E-mail: <u>hfang@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn</u>, baibenfeng@tsinghua.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> MIIT Key Laboratory for Low-Dimensional Quantum Structure and Devices, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, P. R. China. E-mail: chenyubit@bit.edu.cn.



Figure S1. Left panel: Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) plots for  $PEA_3PbBr_5 \cdot H_2O$  crystals. Right panel: The corresponding region as marked with a red rectangular.



Figure S2. Simulated XRD patterns of PEA<sub>3</sub>PbBr<sub>5</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O and PEA<sub>2</sub>PbBr<sub>4</sub> crystals.



Figure S3. Comparison of measured powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) diagram of PEA3PbBr5 crystals over three months.



Figure S4. The power-dependent SHG plots excited at different wavelengths, A) 800 nm, B) 900nm, and C) 1000 nm.



Figure S5. Polar plot of SHG intensity as a function of excitation polarization angle  $\theta$ .



Figure S6. A) Power-dependent TPPL spectra at the excitation wavelength 800 nm with various power from 15.0 mW to 79.4 mW. B) Logarithmic diagrams of the power-dependent TPPL intensity corresponding to the spectra in A).



Figure S7. A) Normalized spectral- and power-dependent PL images at the excitation wavelength of 900 nm with various power from 7 mW to 57.1 mW. B) Gaussian fitted full-width of half maximum (FWHM) as a function of power density.



Figure S8. Wavelength-dependent TPPL spectra at the excitation wavelength varying from 780 to 1040 nm with a step of 20 nm.



Figure S9. A-C) Excitation power-dependent PL dynamics at different positions excited by a femtosecond pulsed laser at 400 nm.



Figure S10. Photoluminescence image with wide-field UV (330 nm - 380 nm) LED illumination. Scale bar: 30  $\mu m.$ 



Figure S11. A-B) Photograph of the  $PEA_3PbBr_5 H_2O$  single-crystal captured by a monochrome CMOS camera with wide-field blue LED illumination. A) Surface. B) Interior. Scale bar: 20  $\mu$ m.

Formula	(PEA)₃PbBr₅·H₂O		
Formula weight	987.7		
Temperature (K)	Room temperature (296)		
Crystal system	monoclinic		
Space group	C2/c		
a (Å)	29.269(3)		
b (Å)	8.1369(7)		
c (Å)	27.879(2)		
α	90.0000		
β	92.448(2)		
γ	90.0000		
Unit-cell volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	6633.6 (10)		
Z	8		
Z'	1		
Density (calculated) / (g•cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.985		
F000	3744		
μ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	11.130		
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073		
Data completeness	0.991		
R (reflections)	0.0372 (3843)		
wR2 (reflections)	0.1108 (5822)		
S	0.836		

Table S1: Crystallographic data for  $PEA_3PbBr_5 \cdot H_2O$  single-crystal.

Composition	Morphology	Optical gap (eV)	λ (nm)	χ <sup>(2)</sup> (pm/V)	Ref
PEA <sub>3</sub> PbBr <sub>5</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	Single crystals	4.20	900	0.1	This
					work
(R-MPEA) <sub>1.5</sub> PbBr <sub>3.5</sub> (DMSO) <sub>0.5</sub>	Nanowires	3.07	850	0.68	1
(PMA) <sub>2</sub> PbCl <sub>4</sub>	Single crystals	3.65	1550	1.4	2
(C5H13N2) PbCl₄·H₂O	Single crystals	3.80	1064	0.32	3
(2-FBA) <sub>2</sub> PbCl <sub>4</sub>	Single crystals	3.62	1064	0.35	4

Table S2: Comparision of second-order nonlinear behavior of lead halide perovskites.

## References

- 1. C. Yuan, X. Li, S. Semin, Y. Feng, T. Rasing and J. Xu, Nano Lett., 2018, 18, 5411-5417.
- Y. Gao, G. Walters, Y. Qin, B. Chen, Y. Min, A. Seifitokaldani, B. Sun, P. Todorovic, M. I. Saidaminov, A. Lough, S. Tongay, S. Hoogland and E. H. Sargent, Adv. Mater., 2019, 31, e1808336.
- Y. Peng, Y. Yao, L. Li, Z. Wu, S. Wang and J. Luo, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2018, 6, 6033-6037.
- P. P. Shi, S. Q. Lu, X. J. Song, X. G. Chen, W. Q. Liao, P. F. Li, Y. Y. Tang and R. G. Xiong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 18334-18340.