S-scheme α -Fe₂O₃/g-C₃N₄ heterojunction nanostructure with superior visible-light photocatalytic activity for Aza-Henry reaction

Zhen Li^{a,b}, Jiamei Zhang^a, Rujing Wu^a, Ping Qiu^a, Yue Yao^a, Xiaoyuan Liao^a, Yan Jiang^a, Jiawen Shi^c, Yi Chen^a, Shuxiang Lu^{a,b,*}

^a College of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Tianjin University of Science and Technology, Tianjin 300457, China

^b Tianjin Key Laboratory of Brine Chemical Engineering and Resource Eco-utilization, China

° School of Artistic Design, Tianjin University of Science and Technology, Tianjin 300222, China

* Corresponding authors at: College of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Tianjin University of Science and Technology, Tianjin 300457, China.

Tel.: +86-22-60601157; fax: +86-22-60600320

Email address: lshx@tust.edu.cn (S. Lu)



Figure S1. SEM image of α -Fe₂O₃.



Figure S2. HRTEM image spectra of FOCN composite



Figure S3. Raman spectra of pure g-C₃N₄, FOCN composites and α -Fe₂O₃.



Figure S4. pore size distribution curves of g-C₃N₄, α -Fe₂O₃, and FOCN composite



Figure S5. Estimated band gaps of pure g-C₃N₄, FOCN composite and α -Fe₂O₃.

 E_{CB} of g-C₃N₄ and α -Fe₂O₃ could be calculated by the Mulliken electronegativity theory:

$$E_{CB}=X-E_{C}-0.5E_{g}$$
(1)

$$X = [X(A)^{I}X(B)^{m}X(C)^{n}]^{1/(I+m+n)}$$
(2)

$$E_{VB} = E_{CB} + E_g \tag{3}$$

Here, E_{CB} is the conduction band edge energy, X is the electronegativity of the semiconductor, Ec is the energy of free electrons with the hydrogen scale (4.50 eV), Eg is the band gap energy of the semiconductor^[1]. So the values of E_{CB} and E_{VB} of α -Fe₂O₃ are determined to be 0.34 eV and 2.34 eV, respectively. The CB and VB edge potentials of g-C₃N₄ are at -1.14 eV and 1.52 eV, respectively^[2]. The valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) edge potentials of g-C₃N₄ and α -Fe₂O₃ were calculated according to Eqs. (1) ,(2) and (3).



Figure S6. SEM image of FOCN composites before and after the photocatalytic reactions



Figure S7. XRD patterns of FOCN composites before and after the photocatalytic reactions



Figure S8. FT-IR spectra of FOCN composites before and after the photocatalytic reactions



Figure S9 UV-vis spectra of FOCN composites before and after the photocatalytic reactions

Photocatalyst	Synthetic method	Light source	Solvent	Time	Yield	Ref.
CuO_2 - MoS_2 /graphene	precipitate method	24 W compact	nitromethan	8 h	70%	[1]
		fluorescent bulb	e		79%	
Cds	precipitate method	3 W LED	CH₃CN	24 h	97%	[2]
UiO-68Se	-	blue LED	CH_3NO_2	4 h	90%	[3]
TiO_2 or ZnO_2	-	11 W	E+OU	40 h	0.2%	[4]
		fluorescent bulb	ELUH	40 11	93%	
In_2S_3/MoS_2	hydrothermal	20 W LED	nitromethan	24 h	749/	[5]
	synthesis		e		7470	
α -Fe ₂ O ₃ /g-C ₃ N ₄	hydrothermal	50 W LED	nitromethan	24 h	62 7%	
	synthesis		е		02.7%	

Table S1. Summary of heterogeneous photocatalyzed Aza-Henry reaction.



Figure S10 The TEM of photodeposition of composite materials



Figure S11 Mott-Schottky curves of g-C₃N₄ and α -Fe₂O₃



Figure S12 The activity of photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline with different pH Process of photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline: 10 mg of FOCN was dispersed in 50 mL of tetracycline aqueous solution (50 ppm). After adsorption equilibrium, the mixture was

stirred under the irradiation of a 300W Xe lamp for 35 min, and the concentration of tetracycline analyzed by UV–vis spectrophotometer.

The pH value of the system is around 6, due the intrinsic acidity of tetracycline aqueous solution. As shown in Figure S12, the photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline in FOCN system could be approximately fitted as a first order reaction. Note that, the decrease of pH value might decline the photocatalytic performance of FOCN.



Figure S13 Design mechanism diagram from the band illustrating for the Schottky junction and the Ohmic contact of *n*-type semiconductor with the conductor.

When a semiconductor is combined with a conductor, them may form Schottky juntcion or

Ohmic junction. Both Schottky junction and Ohmic junction accelerate spatial charge carrier

separation and improve photocatalytic performance.

[1] Z. Li, Y. Pi, D. Xu, Y. Li, W. Peng, G. Zhang, F. Zhang, X. Fan, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 213, 1-8.

[2] T. Mitkina, C. Stanglmair, W. Setzer, M. Gruber, H. Kisch, B. Konig, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 3556-3561.

[3] W.Q. Zhang, Q.Y. Li, Q. Zhang, Y.Q. Lu, H. Lu, W.G. Wang, X.S. Zhao, X.J. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 1005-1007.

[4] M. Rueping, J. Zoller, D.C. Fabry, K. Poscharny, R.M. Koenigs, T.E. Weirich, J. Mayer, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 3478-3481.

[5] Z. Li, Z. Zhou, J. Ma, Y. Li, W. Peng, G. Zhang, F. Zhang, X. Fan, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 237, 288-294.

[6] H. Guo, M. Chen, Q. Zhong, Y. Wang, W. Ma, J. Ding, J. CO₂ Util., 2019, **33**, 233-241.

[7] S. Yan, Y. Shi, Y. Tao, H. Zhang, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, **359**, 933-943.