Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Analytical Methods. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Perylene diimide-based sensors for multiple analyte sensing (Fe²⁺/H₂S/ dopamine and Hg²⁺/Fe²⁺): Cell imaging and INH, XOR, Encoder logic

Navdeep Kaur^a, Rasdeep Kour^b, Satwinderjeet Kaur^b and Prabhpreet Singh^{*a}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, UGC Centre for Advanced Studies-II, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar 143001 (Pb.)-India, E-mail: <u>prabhpreet.chem@gndu.ac.in; M: +91 8427101534</u>

^bDepartment of Botanical and Environmental Sciences, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar 143001 Pb.)-India.



Figure S1a: ¹H NMR spectrum of DNP.







Figure S1c: IR spectrum of DNP.



Figure S1d: ¹H-¹H COSY data of **DNP**.



Figure S1e: HMBC data of DNP.



Figure S1f: HSQC data of DNP.



Figure S2a: ¹H NMR spectrum of DNB.



Figure S2b: ¹³C NMR spectrum of DNB.



Figure S2c: IR spectrum of DNB.



Figure S2d: HSQC spectrum of DNB.



Figure S3. The absorbance spectrum of DNP (10 μ M, 3 mL, CH₃CN-HEPES, 1:1, v/v, pH 7.2) in the presence of various anions and absorbance bar graph to investigate the competitive changes in DNP (10 μ M at 560 nm and 688 nm in presence of various metal ions.



DNP DNP+Fe²⁺ 1 Min. 2 Min. 3 Min. 4 Min.

Figure S4: Photographs of naked eye color change in **DNP** upon addition of Fe^{2+} ions and reversal of green color back to violet with continuous increasing interval of time.



Figure S5. (a) The absorbance spectrum of **DNB** (10 μ M, CH₃CN-HEPES, 1:1, v/v, pH 7.2) in the presence of various anions; (b) Ratiometric (A_{689nm}/A_{566nm}) and (c) absorbance plot to investigate the competitive changes in **DNB** (10 μ M) with other anions.



Figure S6: Plot of absorbance intensity of **DNP** (10 μ M) (a) at 688 nm and (b) 688 nm and 561 nm upon addition of Fe²⁺ (0–150 μ M) recorded in 50% HEPES buffer–CH₃CN (pH 7.2) solution.



Figure S7: (a) Absorbance spectra and (b) Plot of absorbance intensity of **DNP** (10 μ M) + Fe²⁺ (100 μ M) assay recorded after regular interval of time; (c-d) effect of O₂ on **DNP** (10 μ M) + Fe²⁺ (100 μ M) assay when the vials kept open and closed. All readings have been recorded in 50% HEPES buffer–CH₃CN (pH 7.2) solution.



Figure S8. The proton NMR spectrum of green product (reduction of one -NO₂ group to -NH₂) isolated from model reaction.



Figure S9: (i) Absorbance spectra of **DNP** (10 μ M) recorded in 50% HEPES buffer–CH₃CN (pH 7.2) solution on addition of Cysteine (Cys) in concentration range of 0-420 μ M; (ii) Ratiometric plot of absorbance intensity at A_{703nm}/A_{560nm} against different concentrations of Cys.



Figure S10. (a) Emission spectra of **DNP** (10 μ M) recorded in HEPES buffer–CH₃CN (1:1, v/v, pH 7.2) upon addition of different concentrations of Fe²⁺ ions; (b) Plots of fluorescence intensity for **DNP** in the presence of various concentrations of Fe²⁺ ions; (Inset) Photographs of **DNP** (20 μ M) under 365 nm UV illumination in the presence of (i) 0 μ M (ii) 80 μ M (iii) 140 μ M, (iv) 200 μ M, (v) 400 μ M, (vi) 1 mM, concentrations of Fe²⁺ ions.



Figure S11. (a) Emission spectra of **DNP** (10 μ M) recorded in HEPES buffer–CH₃CN (1:1, v/v, pH 7.2) upon gradual addition of H₂S; (b) Plot of fluorescence intensity of **DNP** (10 μ M) upon addition of different concentrations of H₂S; (c) The color change photographs of **DNP** under 365 nm UV illumination in the presence of different concentrations of H₂S; labels in figure 2d (i) 0 μ M (i) 10 μ M, (ii) 20 μ M, (iii) 40 μ M,

(iv) 70 μ M, (v) 100 μ M, (vi) 150 μ M, (vii) 200 μ M, (viii) 500 μ M, (ix) 750 μ M, (x) 2.45 mM, (xi) 4.45 mM, (xii) 8.75 mM concentrations of H₂S.



Figure S12. The bar graph for current values of **DNP** in air at different concentrations of H_2S from 37 μM to 6.45 mM; (inset) plot to show the increment in current values at high concentration of H_2S in air at working temperature of 25 °C in comparison to H_2S itself; (inset) the magnified view for current values of **DNP**, **DNP**+645eq. H_2S and H_2S alone.



Figure S13: Plot of absorbance intensity of DNP (10 μ M) (a) at 562 and 689 nm and (b) Ratiometric plot (A_{689nm}/A_{561nm}) versus concentration of DA (0–100 μ M) recorded in 50% HEPES buffer–CH₃CN (pH 7.2) solution.



Figure S14: Plot of absorbance intensity of **DNB** (10 μ M) (a) at 689 nm and 566 nm and (b) 689 nm upon addition of Fe²⁺ (0–150 μ M) recorded in 50% HEPES buffer–CH₃CN (pH 7.2) solution.



Figure S15: (a) Fluorescence spectra of **DNB** (10 μ M) recorded in HEPES buffer–CH₃CN (1:1, v/v, pH 7.2) upon adding different concentrations of Fe²⁺ ions; (b) Plot of emission intensity of **DNB** (10 μ M) at 532 nm upon addition of (0–600 equivalents) concentration of Fe²⁺ solution.



Figure S16: (a) Fluorescence spectra of **DNB** (10 μ M) recorded in HEPES buffer–CH₃CN (1:1, v/v, pH 7.2) upon adding different concentrations of Hg²⁺ ions; (b) Plot of emission intensity of **DNB** (10 μ M) at 532 nm upon addition of (0–100 equivalents) concentration of Hg²⁺ solution.



Figure S17: The Fluorescence imaging of Fe^{2+} ions in A549 cells; (a) bright field images of A549 cells (b) **DNP** (20 μ M) + Fe²⁺ ions (700 μ M); (c) Magnified view of cells shown in (b).