# Small-Molecule Fluorogenic Probe for the Detection of Hypochlorite and Its Application in the Bio-imaging of Human Breast Cancer Cells

Shilpita Banerjee<sup>a</sup>, Anirban Karak<sup>a</sup>, Satyajit Halder<sup>b</sup>, Moumi Mandal<sup>a</sup>, Dipanjan Banik<sup>a</sup>, Kuladip Jana<sup>b</sup>, Ajit Kumar Mahapatra<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, Shibpur, Howrah 711 103, India

<sup>b</sup>Division of Molecular Medicine, Bose Institute, P 1/12, CIT Scheme VIIM, Kolkata-700 054, India.

\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: <u>akmahapatra@chem.iiests.ac.in;</u> Tel.: +91 – 9434508013

\*Corresponding author. Fax: +91 33 26684564; Tel: +91 33 2668 4561; E-mail: mahapatra574@gmail.com (A. K. Mahapatra)

# **Table of Contents**

Description	Page
1. Comparison table of previously reported hypochlorite sensors	3-4
2. Solid state hypochlorite sensing	5
3. Computational method	5
4. Live cell imaging study	5-6
5. Calculation of LOD	6-7
6. pH effect, application of the probe in commercial bleach	7-8
and water samples	
7. Calculation of first order rate constant	8
8. Emission spectra of probe	9
9. Job's plot	9
10. Determination of Binding constant	10
10. NMR spectra of aldehyde, probe and product	10-11
11. Mass spectra of probe and product	12

# 1. Table S1 Comparison between previously reported ClO<sup>-</sup> sensors with the current work

Sl.	Probe structure	Solvent	Sensor type	LOD	Application	Reference
No.						
1.		DMF	Ratiometric	0.02µM	MCF-7 cells	Zhou et al., <i>Chem.</i> <i>Commun.</i> , 2021, <b>57</b> , 11366
2.		DMSO (1:1)	Ratiometric	71.4 nM	HeLa cells	Li et al., Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2020, <b>241</b> , 118672
3.		Acetonitrile	Turn off	72nM	_	Podsiadły et al., Dyes and Pigments, 2021, <b>193</b> , 109563
4.	-N-Co-s	Acetonitrile	Turn on	0.17µM	HeLa cells	Yoon et al., Sensors & Actuators: B. Chemical, 2020, <b>317</b> , 128213
5.	S S N H <sub>2</sub> N CN	~100% aqueous solution	Turn on	8.3nM	HeLa cells	Niu et al.,   Journal of   Molecular   Liquids, 2020, <b>320</b> , 114396
6.	S N CN CN	DMSO	Turn off	0.64 μΜ	HepG-2 cells	Qu et al., <i>Ind.</i> Eng. Chem. Res. 2018, <b>57</b> , 7735–7741

7.	NCCN	DMF	Ratiometric	94 nM	RAW264.7	Shao et al., New
					calls	J. Chem., 2020,
	S-				cens	<b>44</b> , 6232
	Et <sub>2</sub> N <sup>^</sup> 0 <sup>0</sup> 0					
0	Н	DMSO	Tumpon	0.26M	Zahrafiah	Liu at al
ð.		DMSO	1 urn on	0.36 μΜ	Zebraiish	Spectrochimica
						Acta Part A:
	Å					Molecular and
						Biomologular
						Snaatnaaaanu
						<i>Speciroscopy</i> ,
						2021, <b>230</b> ,
-	OHC					119827
9		DMF	Ratiometric	$1.4 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$	HepG2	Li et al., Dyes
	∑ S					and pigments,
	$\square$					2022, 208,
						110879
	> `N					
	/					
10.	F F, Å, F	DMF	Ratiometric	59nM	Zebrafish	Kim et al., Anal
	E F				and mice (in	Chem, 2019, 91,
					vivo)	4172-4178
					viv0)	
	FF					
	S					
11.		DMSO	Turn on	1.44 nM	HepG2 cells	Yan et al., $J$
	S N N	(1:9)				Fluoresc,
						2021, <b>31</b> , 569–
	0113					576
12.	<b>o</b>	THF/H <sub>2</sub> O	Turn On	53.8nM	Human	This work
	N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-N-	(2:8)			breast	
	NC				cancer cella	
					(MDA-MB	
					231)	
1		1	1	1		

#### 2. Solid state ClO<sup>-</sup> sensing:

Small pieces of TLC sticks were dipped into  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ M probe solution followed by drying in open air. The sticks were further dipped into different concentrated solutions of NaOCl and dried for 5 minutes. After that photographs were taken to examine the sensing behaviour of the probe TPHZ.

# 3. Computational method:

#### **Theoretical calculations:**



# Figure S1. Absorption spectra of the Probe (TPHZ)

Table S2The vertical main orbital transition of the TPHZ calculated by TDDFT method

Energy (eV)	Wavelength (nm)	Osc. Strength (f)	Transition
3.1042	399.40	1.0321	HOMO → LUMO
3.8713	320.27	0.1164	HOMO-1→ LUMO
3.9800	311.52	0.0907	HOMO →LUMO +1

#### 4. Live cell imaging study:

#### Cytotoxicity assay:

In the present study Human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB 231 and human normal lung fibroblast cell line WI-38 have been used and MTT cell proliferation assay<sup>1</sup> was performed to assess the cytotoxic effect of the ligand TPHZ. In brief, cells growing in a log phase were first seeded in 96-well plates at a concentration of  $1 \times 10^4$  cells per well and were incubated overnight at 37 °C under 5% CO2. The cells were then exposed to the different working concentration of ligand TPHZ (0  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ M, 20  $\mu$ M, 40  $\mu$ M, 80  $\mu$ M, 100  $\mu$ M) for 24 hrs.

Following incubation, 0.5 mg/ml of MTT solution were added to each well and incubated for 4h, and the cells were then rinsed with 1X PBS. The formazan crystals that formed were then dissolved in DMSO, and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader. Cell viability was calculated as a percentage of the experimental design used as the control.



Figure S2. Cell survivability of MDA-MB 231 and WI-38 cells exposed to different ligand **TPHZ** concentration. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments and bar graph shows mean  $\pm$  SEM, \*p < 0.0001, \*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p

#### 5. Calculation of Limit of detection:

From the plot of fluorescence intensity  $I_{399}$  vs concentration of ClO<sup>-</sup> limit of detection was calculated by using the formula LOD= k × $\delta$ /m where k= 3,  $\delta$  is the standard deviation of the blank solution (4484.72) and m is the slope of the calibration curve.



Figure S3. Plot of fluorescence intensity vs concentration of ClO<sup>-</sup>



Figure S4. Calibration of the probe at an intensity I<sub>399</sub> depending on ClO<sup>-</sup> concentration.

LOD= 53.8 nM (R<sup>2</sup>=0.977)

# 6.pH effect:



Figure S5. Effect of pH on fluorescence of TPHZ and TPHZ+ClO<sup>-</sup> in THF/H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\lambda_{ex}$ -339nm)

## 7. Application of the probe in commercial bleach and water samples:



Figure S6: Fluorescence intensity changes of TPHZ upon gradual addition of bleach in THF-water (2:8 v/v) ( $\lambda_{em}$ =399nm)

Water sample	Spiked (µM)	Found (µM)	% Recovery	<b>RSD</b> (%)
	CIO			
Tap water	15	14.79 (± 0.33)	98.6	2.2
	30	29.82 (± 0.51)	99.4	1.7
River water	15	$15.045(\pm 0.36)$	100.3	2.4
	30	29.25 (±0.58)	97.5	2.0

#### **Table S3: Water sample study for TPHZ**

# 8. Calculation of first order rate constant (k'):



**Figure S7.** First order kinetic plot of probe  $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{M})$  in the presence of  $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{M ClO}^{-5}$  solution ( $\lambda_{em}$ =399nm)

First order rate constant k'= 0.0189 s<sup>-1</sup>

#### 9. Emission spectra of probe:



**Figure S8.** Fluorescence intensity changes of TPHZ ( $1 \times 10^{-5}$ M) upon gradual addition of NaOCl in **THF-water** (2:8 v/v) ( $\lambda_{em}$ =399nm).

#### 10. Job's plot of the probe TPHZ for ClO-

Job's plots were drawn by plotting  $\Delta F.X(host) vs X(host) (\Delta F = change of intensity of the emission spectrum [I<sub>399</sub>] for TPHZ during titration and X(host) is the mole fraction of the host in each case respectively).$ 



Figure S9. Job's plot of TPHZ with ClO<sup>-</sup> using fluorescence data

#### 11. Determination of binding constant value (Ka) using linear method for TPHZ

Binding constant value (Ka) was calculated by plotting  $1/\Delta I vs 1/[G]$  [ ( $\Delta I$  = change of intensity of the emission spectrum at 399nm for TPHZ during titration and [G] is the concentration of ClO<sup>-</sup> in each case respectively).



Binding constant  $K_a(A/B) = 6.87 \times 10^6$ 

Figure S10. Binding constant value of TPHZ with ClO<sup>-</sup> using fluorescence data

# 13. NMR spectra: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR



Figure S11: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of TPHA in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



Figure S12: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of TPHZ in DMSO-d<sup>6</sup>





# Figure S13 :<sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of TPHZ in DMSO-d<sup>6</sup>

Figure S14 : ESI-MS of probe TPHZ



Figure S15: ESI-MS of product TPHZ-OCI

# **Reference:**

1) P. R. Twentyman and M. Luscombe, Br. J. Cancer, 1987, 56, 279–285.