Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

## **Supporting Information**

## Metal-oxide interaction modulating activity of active oxygen species

## in atomically dispersed silver catalyst

Rongtan Li,<sup>a,b</sup> Conghui Liu,<sup>a</sup> Yamei Fan,<sup>a</sup> Qiang Fu<sup>\*a</sup> and Xinhe Bao<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China.

<sup>b</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

Email: <u>qfu@dicp.ac.cn; xhbao@dicp.ac.cn</u>

## Contents

1. Experimental Section	3
1.1. Catalyst Preparation	3
1.2. Catalyst Characterization	3
1.3. Catalytic Test	4
2.Figures	5
Fig. S1. XRD pattern of Ag <sub>1</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> and Ag <sub>1</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalysts	6
Fig. S2. HAADF-STEM images of Ag <sub>1</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> and Ag <sub>1</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalysts	6
Fig. S3. CO conversion of Ag <sub>1</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> and Ag <sub>1</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalysts	7
Fig. S4. Ethylene conversion and EO selectivity of Ag <sub>1</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> and Ag <sub>1</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	
catalysts	7
Fig. S5. In situ Raman spectra of Ag <sub>1</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalysts	7
Fig. S6. $C_2H_4$ -TPSR signals of Mass = 44 (CO <sub>2</sub> )	8
Fig. S7. Ag 3d spectra of Ag <sub>1</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> and Ag <sub>1</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalysts	8
Fig. S8. CO-TPR results of Ag <sub>1</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> and Ag <sub>1</sub> /Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalysts	8

#### **Experimental Section**

#### 1.1. Catalyst preparation.

The supported Ag catalysts were synthesized by impregnation method. Silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Qingdao Haiyang Chemicals Co.) and pseudo boehmite (AlOOH·nH<sub>2</sub>O with a Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) area of 300 m<sup>2</sup>/g, Macklin) were calcinated in a muffle furnace at high temperature (800 °C) for 5 h to obtain the SiO<sub>2</sub> support<sup>1</sup> and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support,<sup>2</sup> to reduce the effect of support acidity as much as possible.<sup>3</sup> The oxide powders were mixed with Ag nitrate (AgNO<sub>3</sub>) solution with Ag weight loading at 0.5 wt%. Then the solution was dried in air at 60 °C under magnetic stirring and subsequently dried at 110 °C overnight. The dried powders were pretreated in O<sub>2</sub> at 500 °C with a ramping rate of 2 °C/min and a dwell time of 2 h before each catalytic test and characterization.

#### 1.2. Catalyst Characterization.

High-angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images were recorded on a JEOL JEM-ARM300F microscope operating at 200 kV. To avoid the influence of ethanol dispersion solution on the structure of supported Ag species, the catalyst powders were directly dispersed on carbon films supported on copper grids. In situ Raman spectroscopy tests were performed on a micro-laser confocal Raman spectrometer of model LabRAM HR800 (HORIBA JOBIN YVON corporation) with a laser excitation of 532 nm. 500 °C and 250 °C were selected as the test temperature for reaction with CO and ethylene respectively, according to corresponding catalytic activity. The spectrometer was equipped with a hightemperature reaction cell. X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments were carried out on the Empyrean diffractometer using Cu K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å) radiation source and scanning rate of 10°/min. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were performed on a SPECS spectrometer with an Al Ka source (1486.6 eV) operated at 300 W. X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) measurements at the Ag K-edge (25517 eV) were performed in the transmission mode with the Si (311) monochromator at the BL14W1 beamline of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF), China. CO temperature-programmed reduction (CO-TPR) and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> temperature-programmed surface reaction (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-TPSR) were performed in a Micromeritics AutoChem II 2920. 0.1 g sample was put into the U tube, which was heated from 25 to 500 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min under a flow of a 5% CO/Ar mixture (10% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/Ar mixture). Before a TP(S)R run, the samples were pretreated in O<sub>2</sub> at 500 °C for 1 h and then cooled to room temperature (RT). Quasi in situ electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) experiments were carried out on a Bruker A200 spectrometer. The powders were loaded into the quartz EPR tube and treated in O<sub>2</sub> at 500 °C for 1 h and 5% O<sub>2</sub>/Ar after cooling to RT. The experimental temperatures applied were -150 °C.

#### 1.3. Catalytic test.

CO oxidation reaction performance was evaluated using a homemade fixed-bed microreactor, in which catalysts were loaded into a quartz tube with an inner diameter of 4 mm. The reactant was composed of 1% CO and 20% O<sub>2</sub> (volume ratio), balanced with N<sub>2</sub>. The outlet gas was analyzed online using an Agilent GC490 chromatograph. Before activity tests, each catalyst was pretreated in reaction gas at RT for 1 h. Ethylene oxidation performance was evaluated using the same microreactor. The gas feed was composed of 22% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/11% O<sub>2</sub>/22% He/45% N<sub>2</sub> and the outlet gas was analyzed online using an Agilent GC490 chromatograph. Before activity tests, each catalyst was pretreated in the reaction gas at RT for 1 h. Total weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) was 12000 mL per gram catalyst per hour (12000 mL·h<sup>-1</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup>) and 2000 - 4000 mL·h<sup>-1</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup> for CO oxidation and ethylene oxidation, respectively. To compare the difference of the intrinsic activity, weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) of 4000 mL·h<sup>-1</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup> and 2000 mL·h<sup>-1</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup> were used for the Ag<sub>1</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ag<sub>1</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, respectively, ensuring the similar ethylene conversion within 1% - 5% at the same temperature range (100 - 300 °C).

# Figures



Fig. S1. XRD patterns of  $\rm Ag_1/SiO_2$  and  $\rm Ag_1/Al_2O_3$  catalysts.



Fig. S2. HAADF-STEM images of  $Ag_1/SiO_2$  catalyst (a, b) and  $Ag_1/Al_2O_3$  catalyst (c, d).



Fig. S3. CO conversion of  $Ag_1/Al_2O_3$  and  $Ag_1/SiO_2$  catalysts; Reaction conditions: 1% CO/20%  $O_2/79\%$  N<sub>2</sub>, WHSV = 12000 mL·h<sup>-1</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S4.** Ethylene conversion and ethylene oxide selectivity of (a)  $Ag_1/Al_2O_3$  and (b)  $Ag_1/SiO_2$  catalysts in ethylene epoxidation reaction; Reaction conditions: 22%  $C_2H_4/11\% O_2/22\%$  He/45% N<sub>2</sub>, WHSV = 3000 mL·h<sup>-1</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. S5. In situ Raman spectra of  $Ag_1/Al_2O_3$  catalysts in 5% CO at 500 °C.



**Fig. S6.**  $C_2H_4$ -TPSR signals of Mass = 44 for  $CO_2$ .



Fig. S7. Ag 3d XPS spectra of  $Ag_1/SiO_2$  and  $Ag_1/Al_2O_3$  catalysts.



Fig. S8. CO-TPR results of  $Ag_1/SiO_2$  and  $Ag_1/Al_2O_3$  catalysts.

## Reference

- 1. Z. Qu, W. Huang, S. Zhou, H. Zheng, X. Liu, M. Cheng and X. Bao, J. Catal., 2005, 234, 33-36.
- 2. Y. Fan, F. Wang, R. Li, C. Liu and Q. Fu, ACS Catal., 2023, 13, 2277-2285.
- 3. B. T. Egelske, W. Xiong, H. Zhou and J. R. Monnier, J. Catal., 2022, 410, 221-235.