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## Experimental section

Materials. Hexamethonium bromide, $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(99.998 \%)$, hydrobromic acid (48 $\mathrm{wt} . \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) were purchased from Aladdin Industrial Inc. All reagents were purchased from Aladdin and used as received.

Synthesis of 1D (HM) $\mathbf{P b}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{B r}_{\mathbf{6}}$ bulk crystals. $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.3793 \mathrm{~g})$ and hexamethonium bromide ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.3622 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were dissolved in 6.5 mL of $48 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ hydrobromic acid under heating at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a methyl silicone oil bath with stirring until a clear solution formed. After that, the precursor solution was cooled to room temperature at a rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$, yielding colorless prismatic crystals.

Characterization. The Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were performed on a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer with rotating anode (Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation). The structure data was solved and refined using SHELXT and Olex2. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected using a Bruker D8 Advance powder X-ray diffractometer (D8 ADVANCE, Bruker) with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. Optical absorption spectra were recorded using a UV-Vis-NIR pectrophotometer (UV-3600 Plus, Shimadzu). Raman spectra were acquired with 532 nm laser, using a Horiba LabRAM HR Evolution. The morphology and elemental mapping images of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ were measured by the SIGMA 500 field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, Zeiss, Germany). Steady-state PL spectra, temperature-dependent photoluminescence spectra of the perovskites, time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) and photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQYs) were measured using an FLS980 spectrofluorometer (Edinburgh Instruments Ltd.). The light intensity-dependent photoluminescence measurement was carried out using a spectrofluorometer (Fluo Time 300) with 375 nm laser.

Calculated methods. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were conducted with the Vienna ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) to study the electronic structure. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional correlated with spin-orbit coupling (SOC) corrections was considered for all the calculations. Additionally, the energy cutoff was set at 500 eV and a $4 \times 5 \times 2 \mathrm{k}$ -
point mesh was built in the first Brillouin zone integration. The value of energy and force convergence were $10^{-7} \mathrm{eV}$ and $0.01 \mathrm{eV} / \AA$. To survey the origin of self-trapped charges, four possible structures were designed by decreasing the corresponding bond lengths. Partial charge densities of VBM and CBM were calculated to describe their hole and electron densities distribution in these four situations. The crystal structure and charge densities graphs were plotted by the software visualization for electronic and structural analysis (VESTA).


Figure S 1 . Photograph of the bulk single crystal of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$. It shows prism morphology with a size of $6 \times 2 \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$.


Figure S 2 . The side view of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ crystal structure along the $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{axis}$.


Figure S3. (a) The SEM image of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ single crystal. The EDS elemental mapping images of (b) Pb and (c) Br elements of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ single crystal.
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|  | Point 1 |  | Point 2 |  | Point 3 |  | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pb | Br | Pb | Br | Pb | Br | Pb | Br |
| Atom\% | 8.16 | 27.79 | 6.67 | 25.60 | 8.43 | 29.31 | $/$ | $/$ |
| Ratio | 1 | 3.41 | 1 | 3.84 | 1 | 3.48 | 1 | 3.58 |

Figure S4. The SEM images of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}$ and e) and the corresponding EDS spectrum ( $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}$ and f ). ( g ) The contents of Pb and Br elements obtained from EDS spectra.


Figure S5. Raman spectra of (HM) $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ in the range $50-300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ under excitation of 532 nm laser. The Raman peaks located at 60 and $77 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are assigned as the bending modes of the $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Br}$ framework, and the sharp peaks located at 116 and $135 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are ascribed to the symmetric stretching vibrations of the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond respectively.


Figure S6. Plot of $\left(\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{R})^{*} \mathrm{~h} v\right)^{1 / 2}$ versus $\mathrm{h} v$ for $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$. The optical bandgap of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ is estimated as 3.05 eV as an indirect bandgap using the function of ( $\left.\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{R})^{*} \mathrm{~h} v\right)^{1 / 2}$.


Figure S7. Time-resolved PL decay curves of $\mathrm{HMBr}_{2}$ collected at (a) 415 nm , (b) 443 nm and (c) 473 nm emission wavelength at room temperature.


Figure S8. PL spectrum of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ as a function of excitation power. The PL intensity exhibits significant decrease as the optical power increases.


Figure S9. (a) XRD patterns and (b) PL stability of the as-synthesized, after storage for 6 months in air or after illumination under high-power UV lamp ( 300 W ) for 6 h , indicating high structure stability.


Figure S10. Plots of charge-density distributions of (HM) $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ for VBM and CBM calculated at the PBE/wSOC level. The CBM and VBM structures of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ is undisturbed ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) and disturbed undergoing shortening of the following atomic distances: $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}), \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}), \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h})$. In the unperturbed structure, the charges are fully delocalized in the inorganic lead bromide framework compared to strong charge localization upon disturbation.


Figure S 11 . Energy for the unperturbed and perturbed structure of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ calculated at the PBE/wSOC level.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement of $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ at 298 K .

| Compound | $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Br}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 1096.22 |
| Temperature/K | 298.00 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P2/c |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | 12.1162(2) |
| b/Å | 9.5440(2) |
| c/ $\AA$ | 22.0199(5) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 99.2890(10) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 2512.92(9) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 2.898 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 22.921 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1960.0 |
| $2 \theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 3.406 to 54.944 |
| Index ranges | $-15 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 14,-7 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,-28 \leq 1 \leq 28$ |
| Reflections collected | 25084 |
| Independent reflections | $5720\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.1058, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0945\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5720/171/206 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.061 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0577, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1285$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0927, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1508$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 2.97/-4.60 |

Table S2. Selected $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond lengths $[\AA]$ for $(\mathrm{HM}) \mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$.

| Atom-Atom | Length / $\AA$ | Atom-Atom | Length / $\AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1^{1}$ | 2.9499(11) | $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Br} 5$ | $2.8138(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | 2.9499(11) | $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Br} 6$ | 2.9799(12) |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Br} 2^{1}$ | $3.1611(16)$ | Pb3-Br2 | 3.1416(15) |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $3.1611(16)$ | $\mathrm{Pb} 3-\mathrm{Br} 2^{2}$ | $3.1416(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Br} 4$ | $3.0644(11)$ | $\mathrm{Pb} 3-\mathrm{Br} 3^{2}$ | $3.0231(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Br} 4^{1}$ | 3.0644(11) | $\mathrm{Pb} 3-\mathrm{Br} 3$ | 3.0231(12) |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $3.1316(12)$ | $\mathrm{Pb} 3-\mathrm{Br} 6^{2}$ | $3.0192(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Br} 3$ | 3.1441(12) | Pb3-Br6 | 3.0192(11) |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Br} 4$ | 2.9569(11) |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} 1-\mathrm{X},+\mathrm{Y}, 3 / 2-\mathrm{Z} ;{ }^{2} 2-\mathrm{X},+\mathrm{Y}, 3 / 2-\mathrm{Z}$ |  |  |  |

Table S3. Selected $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for ( HM ) $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$.

| Atom-Atom-Atom | Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ | Atom-Atom-Atom | Angle / |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table S4. Summary of distortion Index ( $\Delta d$ ) of " $\mathrm{PbX}_{6}$ " octahedra and bond angle variance ( $\sigma^{2}$ ).

Compound $\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\Delta d_{\mathrm{Pb}} 1 & \Delta d_{\mathrm{Pb}} 2 & \Delta d_{\mathrm{Pb}} 3 & \Delta d_{\mathrm{avg}} \\ \left(\times 10^{-5}\right) & \left(\times 10^{-5}\right) & \left(\times 10^{-5}\right) & \left(\times 10^{-5}\right) & \sigma^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{Pb}} 1 & \sigma_{\mathrm{Pb}}^{2} 2 & \sigma_{\mathrm{Pb}}^{2} 3 & \sigma_{\text {avg }}^{2}\end{array}$
( HMB ) $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$
$79.7 \quad 334$
$34.4 \quad 149$
49
$74 \quad 20$
48

