Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

# Enhancing reductive C-N coupling of nitrocompounds through interfacial engineering of MoO<sub>2</sub> in thin carbon layers

Mengting Liu,<sup>a,#</sup> Xuexue Dong,<sup>a,#</sup> Xiu Zhong,<sup>a</sup> Zhenxiao Wang,<sup>a</sup> Juanjuan Gong,<sup>a</sup> Heng Song,<sup>a</sup> Chao Yu,<sup>a</sup> Aihua Yuan,<sup>a</sup> Fu Yang,<sup>\*a</sup> Edison Ang Huixiang<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu University of Science

and Technology, Zhenjiang 212003, Jiangsu, P. R. China.

\* corresponding author: E-mail: *fuyang@just.edu.cn* 

<sup>b</sup>Natural Sciences and Science Education, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637616, Singapore.

\* corresponding author: Email: <u>edison.ang@nie.edu.sg</u>

These authors equally contribute to this work.

#### 1. Experimental

## 1.1. Chemicals

Ammonium heptamolybdenum tetrahydrate (AR, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>.4H<sub>2</sub>O), Phosphomolybdic acid n-Hydrate (AR, H<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>P), Molybdenyl acetylacetonate (AR, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>MoO<sub>6</sub>), Sodium molybdate (AR, MoNa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and Tetraethylorthosilicate (AR, TEOS)were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Bromo-3nitrobenzene, p-chloronitrobenzene, 5-Fluoro-2-nitroanisole, 2-Nitrofluorene , 4-Phenoxyphenylboronic acid · 4-(Phenylcarbonyl)phenylboronic acid · Phenylboric acid and 4-methoxy-phenylboric acid were purchased from Bidepharm. Dodecyl amine (DDA,  $\geq$ 98%) was commercially obtained from Aladdin., Triphenylphosphine (PPh<sub>3</sub>), ethanol anhydrous, aqueous ammonia, 3-Hydroxytyramine hydrochloride and methanol (gradient grade for HPLC) were purchased from Energy Chemical company. Toluene, Cyclopentyl anisole (CPME), dichloromethane (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and acetonitrile were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All chemicals were commercially available and utilized without further purification.

## 1.2. Synthesis of Mox@SiO<sub>2</sub> nanosphere

The typical preparation procedure was as follows: 5 mL of ammonia water was added to a beaker containing 100 mL of anhydrous ethanol and 30 mL of deionized water. The mixture was stirred for 5 min, and then 5 mL of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) was added and stirred for 1 hour to obtain solution A. In a separate beaker, 0.5 g of dopamine hydrochloride was added to 5 mL of anhydrous ethanol and 5 mL of deionized water. After thorough stirring, x mmol of molybdenum source (x=0.6, 0.8, 1.0; molybdenum sources: phosphomolybdate, sodium molybdate, ammonium molybdate, molybdenum acetylacetone) was added to obtain solution B. Solution B was then slowly added drop by drop to solution A (over about 10 min) and stirred at room temperature for 36 hours. The resulting brown precipitates were obtained by centrifugation, followed by washing with ethanol and deionized water, and then drying. The samples were ground and placed in a tubular furnace with a quartz tube for programmed thermal treatment under a nitrogen atmosphere (5 °C/min) at 600/700/800/900°C for 3 h to obtain black powder. The corresponding products were denoted as MoSm/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>, MoAm/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>, MoMa/C@SiO<sub>2</sub> (x=0.8, thermal treatment temperature is 700 °C), Mo<sub>0.6</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>, Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>, Mo<sub>1.0</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub> (thermal treatment temperature is 700 °C), Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-600, Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-700, Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-800, and Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-900, respectively. For Mo<sub>0.8</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub>, the same synthesis method as Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-700 was used, with the only difference being the annealing atmosphere changed to air.

### **1.3.** Characterizations

Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM, Zeiss Germany) was used to study the morphology of the samples. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were collected on a JEM-2010 EX microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with EDX. The wide-angle X-ray diffraction patterns were collected by XRD-6000X (Shimadzu Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a rotating anode and Cu Ka radiation ( $\lambda = 0.154$  nm). Raman spectra were collected on a Spex 1877 D triplemate spectrograph with 2 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution. The X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were recorded on a PHI 5000 Versa Probe X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (ULVAC-PHI, Kanagawa, Japan) equipped with Al  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation (1486.6 eV). The C1s peak at 284.6 eV was used as the reference for binding energies. The structural properties of catalysts were measured by BELSORP -MAX volumetric adsorption analyzer (BEL Japan, Osaka, Japan). The surface area and pore volume were calculated by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method, and the pore size was calculated by the BJH model. Electrochemical cyclic voltammetry curve (CV spectra, Chenhua CHI760E) was employed to study the electron transfer capacity. The working electrode was prepared by dispersing 4 mg of the catalyst in a mixed solution of 450  $\mu$ L of ethanol, 500  $\mu$ L of deionized water, and 50 µL of Nafion solution. The dispersion process involved ultrasound treatment for 1 h to ensure thorough mixing of the catalyst in the solution. Subsequently, 5 µL of the dispersed solution was dropped onto the surface of the glassy carbon electrode and allowed to dry in the air. A platinum foil was used as the counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl electrode served as the reference electrode. The electrolyte solution used was 0.05 mol L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.



**Fig. S1** Representative SEM images of Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-600 (a), Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-700 (b), Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-800 (c), Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-900(d), Mo<sub>0.6</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub> (e), Mo<sub>1.0</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub> (f).



Fig. S2 Representative TEM images of  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-600$  (a, b),  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-700$  (c, d),  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-800$  (e, f) and  $Mo_{0.8}@SiO_2-O_2$  (g, h).



Fig. S3 Wide-angle XRD patterns of (a)  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-600$ ,  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-700$ ,  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-800$  and  $Mo_{0.8}@SiO_2-900$ , (b)  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-700$ ,  $Mo_{Sm}/C@SiO_2$ ,  $Mo_{Am}/C@SiO_2$ , and  $Mo_{Ma}/C@SiO_2$ , and (c)  $Mo_{0.6}/C@SiO_2$ ,  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2$ , and  $Mo_{1.0}/C@SiO_2$ . (d) Raman spectra of  $Mo_{1.0}/C@SiO_2$ ,  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-700$  and  $Mo_{0.6}/C@SiO_2$ .



Fig. S4 Raman spectra of  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-600$ ,  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-800$  and  $Mo_{0.8}@SiO_2-900$  samples.



Fig. S5 (a) Pore diameter distribution of the different samples; (b)  $N_2$  adsorptiondesorption isotherm of the optimal and other comparative catalysts; (c) Pore diameter distribution of the different samples.



**Fig. S6** XPS spectra of  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-700$ : (b) Mo 3d core level, (c) O 1s core level, (d) C 1s core level, (e) N 1s core level and (f) atomic ratio of the samples derived from XPS data.



Fig. S7 CV curves of  $Mo_x/C@SiO_2$  samples with scan rate from 20 mV/s to 120 mV/s (a-e); Linear fits of capacitive currents versus scan rates for the extraction of  $C_{dl}$  (f). ECSA = (Cdl\*A)/Cs (A is the area of the working electrode; Cs =0.04 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>)



Fig. S8 Leaching experiments of  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-700$ . Reaction conditions:  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-700$  was used as the catalyst, 1a (0.2 mmol), 1b (0.3 mmol), using toluene as solvent (2 mL), under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 100 °C for 24 h.



Fig. S9 Recycled coupling reaction tests of  $Mo_{0.8}$  ( $Mo_{0.8}$ ) SiO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> and  $Mo_{0.8}$ /C ( $Mo_{0.8}$ ) SiO<sub>2</sub>-700 for the synthesis of 3a product.



Fig. S10 The SEM images of spent Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-700 for reductive C-N coupling.



Fig. S11 XRD patterns and Raman spectra of fresh and spent Mo<sub>0.8</sub>/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>-700 for

reductive C-N coupling.



Fig. S12 High-resolution XPS spectra of fresh and spent  $Mo_{0.8}/C@SiO_2-700$  for reductive C-N coupling. (a) Survey spectra, (b) Mo3d, (c) O1s, (d) C1s, (e) N1s and (f) atomic ratio of the samples derived from XPS data.

Samples	$\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{BET}}{}^{\mathrm{a}}}{(\mathrm{m}^2~\mathrm{g}^{\text{-1}})}$	Average pore diameter (nm)	$\frac{V_{total}}{(cm^3 g^{-1})}$	Mo content <sup>c</sup> (wt%)
Mo <sub>0.8</sub> /C@SiO <sub>2</sub> -600	40.49	15.45	0.156	
Mo <sub>0.8</sub> /C@SiO <sub>2</sub> -700	41.83	32.54	0.1228	31
Mo <sub>0.8</sub> /C@SiO <sub>2</sub> -800	32.24	17.79	0.143	
Mo <sub>0.6</sub> /C@SiO <sub>2</sub>	52.11	11.08	0.144	20
Mo <sub>1.0</sub> /C@SiO <sub>2</sub>	49.08	12.54	0.154	44
Mo <sub>0.8</sub> @SiO <sub>2</sub> -O <sub>2</sub>	5.06	13.70	0.017	

Table S1 Textural properties of Mo/C@SiO<sub>2</sub>.

<sup>a</sup>S<sub>BET</sub> represents specific surface area derived from BET method; <sup>b</sup>V<sub>total</sub> represents total pore volume; <sup>c</sup>measured by ICP-OES analysis.

 Table S2. Optimization of reaction conditions for reductive C-N coupling.

Cl	la	$\int_{2a}^{NO_2} + \frac{B(OH)_2}{2a} \xrightarrow{B(OH)_2} \frac{B(OH)_2}{Red. (3equiv.)} \xrightarrow{B(OH)_2} \frac{B(OH)_2}{Red. (3equiv.)} \xrightarrow{H} \\ Heat treatment} 3a$							
_	Entry	T (°C)	Time (h)	Solvent	Catalyst (mg)	Yield (%)			
	1	100	24 h	Toluene	10	94.3 %			
	2	100	24 h	СРМЕ	10	54.4 %			
	3	100	24 h	Acetonitrile	10	42.4 %			
	4	100	24 h	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	10	38.2 %			
	5	100	24 h	Ethanol	10	12.6 %			
	6	100	12 h	Toluene	10	59.4 %			
	7	60	24 h	Toluene	10	20.8 %			
	8	80	24 h	Toluene	10	61.0 %			
	9	120	24 h	Toluene	10	95.1 %			
	10	100	24 h	Toluene	8	56.1 %			
	11	100	24 h	Toluene	12	89.2 %			

R <sub>1</sub> -NO <sub>2</sub>	+	R <sub>2</sub> -B(OH) <sub>2</sub>	Mo <sub>0.8</sub> /C@SiO <sub>2</sub> -700 <u>PPh<sub>3</sub></u> Solvent 2 mL 100 °C	$\mathbf{R}_{1}^{\mathbf{N}}\mathbf{R}_{2}$	Yield%
Br <sub>NO2</sub>	+ MeC	B(OH) <sub>2</sub>	→Br	H N OMe	98.3%
	2 +	B(OH) <sub>2</sub>			72.1%
NO <sub>2</sub>	e + MeC	B(OH) <sub>2</sub>			84.3%
NO	<sup>2</sup> + MeC	B(OH) <sub>2</sub>			34.0%
	2 + MeC	B(OH) <sub>2</sub>			94.3%

**Table S3** The substrate scope used  $Mo_{0.8}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-700 as the catalyst.

Reaction conditions: reactants: nitrocompounds (0.2 mmol), boric compounds (0.3 mmol), using toluene as solvent (2 mL), under  $N_2$  atmosphere at 100 °C for 24 h.