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Fig. S1 SEM images of NiCoFe-MOF-1 in different sizes.

Fig. S2 SEM images of NiCoFe-MOF-3 in different sizes.
Fig. S3 SEM images of NiFe-MOF-1 in different sizes.

Fig. S4 SEM images of NiFe-MOF-2 in different sizes.
Fig. S5 SEM images of NiFe-MOF-3 in different sizes.

Fig. S6 SEM images of Ni-MOF in different sizes.
Fig. S7 SEM images of Co-MOF in different sizes.

Fig. S8 SEM images of Fe-MOF in different sizes.
Table S1 The mass percent of three elements in NiCoFe-MOF-2 measured by ICP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>element species</th>
<th>mass percent</th>
<th>mole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>11.90 wt%</td>
<td>0.015600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>5.79 wt%</td>
<td>0.007554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>5.30 wt%</td>
<td>0.007259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. S9 EDS of NiCoFe-MOF-2.

Fig. S10 (a) Structural unit of NH$_2$-Fe-MIL-88b; (b) Ideal structural unit of NiCoFe-MOF-2.
**Fig. S11** FTIR spectra of NiCoFe-MOF-2.

**Fig. S12** (a) Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isothermals of NiCoFe-MOF-2; (b) Barrett-Joyner-Halenda desorption pore-size distributions for NiCoFe-MOF-2.

**Fig. S13** The TGA of NiCoFe-MOF-2 in Nitrogen atmosphere.
Fig. S14 (a) XPS full survey spectrum of NiCoFe-MOF-2; (b) High resolution XPS spectra for O 1s.

Fig. S15 LSV curves toward OER of different samples.
Fig. S16 (a) The LSV curves of NiCoFe-MOF-2 with and without IR correction; (b) LSV curves toward OER of different samples.

Fig. S17 SEM images of NiCoFe-MOF-2 in different sizes after OER stability test.
Fig. S18 (a-d) High-resolution XPS spectra of Co 2p, Fe 2p, Ni 2p and O 1s after OER stability test.

Table S2. Comparison of OER performance of some recently reported MOFs electrocatalysts in alkaline electrolyte solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>$E_{j=10}$ (mV) vs. RHE</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV dec$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiCoFe-MOF-2</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Co-MOF (1:3)</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNi@CNF</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoFe-MOF-OH</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D CoZIF-9(III) sheets</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF-(Fe$_1$-Co$_3$)550N</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIF-8-C (C4&amp;C6)</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeCo&amp;FeCoNi</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoNi@OCNP</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Electrochemical measurements**

The electrochemical properties of the materials were evaluated in a three-electrode system on a CHI-760E electrochemical workstation (Chenhua instrument co., LTD., Shanghai) at room temperature. In a standard three-electrode system, different samples are coated on the glassy carbon electrode and used directly as the working electrode, and then the Hg/HgO electrode and the Pt electrode are used as the reference electrode and the counter electrode, respectively. All measured potentials were calibrated to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) based on the Nernst equation: $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Hg/HgO}} + 0.059 \ \text{pH} + 0.098$. The steady-state linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were obtained at a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ in 1.0 M KOH solution. The measurements of electrochemical impedance spectroscopies (EIS) were conducted at a frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 100000 Hz. The overpotential ($\eta$) is calculated according to the formula $\eta = E_{\text{RHE}} - 1.23$. The Tafel slope is calculated according to the Tafel equation, namely $\eta = b \log\left(\frac{j}{j_0}\right)$, where $\eta$ represents the overpotential, $b$ represents the Tafel slope, $j$ is the current density, $j_0$ represents the exchange current density. In order to determine the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA), the double layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) of the electrode can be obtained by carrying out the CV measurement and the ECSA can be calculated by $C_{dl}$ using the formula: ECSA = $C_{dl} / C_s$ ($C_s$ is assuming as 0.040 mF cm$^{-2}$). To evaluate the ECSA of the catalyst, the $C_{dl}$ of the catalyst is calculated. The CV test with sweep speed of 20 mV s$^{-1}$-100 mV s$^{-1}$ is carried out in non-Faraday voltage region. $C_{dl}$ is a linear fitting of sweep speed between 20 mV s$^{-1}$-100 mV s$^{-1}$ by current density at potential 1.025 V, and the slope obtained is the value of $C_{dl}$. 
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