1 Supplementary material for

2 High-Pressure Induced Guest-Mediated Gate Opening Behaviour of the 3 Co-Based Framework ZIF-67

Isabelle M Jones,*^a Gemma F. Turner,^a Kari Pitts,^b Rees Powell,^b Alan Riboldi-Tunnicliffe,^c Rachel Williamson,^c Stephanie
Boer,^c Lauren Allen^a and Stephen A. Moggach*^a

8 a. School of Molecular Sciences, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia.

9 b. ChemCentre, Resources and Chemistry Precinct Level 2, South Wing, Building 500 Corner Manning Road and, Townsing 10 Dr, Bentley WA 6102

- 11 ^{c.} Australian Synchrotron, 800 Blackburn Road, Clayton, Victoria, Australia 3168
- ---

- _ _

28 Contents

29	S1 Single crystal crystallography data3
30	S2 Calculation of the Bulk Modulus9
31	S3 Colour change in ZIF-67 in N_2 10
32	S4 UV-Vis spectroscopy of ZIF-6710
33	SI References11
34 35	

37 S1 Single crystal crystallography data

38 Synthesis of ZIF-67

- 39 Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.058 g, 2×10⁻⁴ mol) and 2-methylimidazole (MeIm; 0.016 g, 2×10⁻⁴ mol) were dissolved at 140°C in 4.5 mL
- 40 of N,N'-dimethylformamide (DMF) in a 12 mL capped borosilicate glass vial for 24h. The mixture was filtered, and the
- 41 resulting bright purple crystals were washed in ethanol three times at ambient temperature to facilitate exchange of the
- 42 DMF solvent and remove any unreacted linker. The sample was then dried under vacuum.

43 Laboratory Ambient Data Collection

44 An ambient pressure single-crystal structure of ZIF-67 (crystal 1) was collected using a Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy-S 45 diffractometer equipped with a Hypix-6000HE detector using Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.54184 Å). The sample was cooled using

- 46 a Cryostream operating at 100 K, and data were collected, integrated, and corrected for adsorption using CrysAlisPRO.¹A
- 47 second crystal (crystal 2) was later collected at ambient temperature and pressure using Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å),
- 48 and used to compare the ambient temperature volumes/bond lengths.

49 Laboratory High-Pressure LN₂ Data Collection

- 50 A ZIF-67 crystal (crystal 1) was loaded into a Merrill Bassett diamond anvil cell (DAC) ² containing two Boehlar-Almax
- 51 diamond anvils with 600 μ m culet faces fixed to tungsten-carbide backing discs.³ The DACs had a 40° half-opening angle. A 52 300 μ m hole was drilled through a 200 μ m thick tungsten gasket and fixed to one of the diamond culets to create a
- 53 cylindrical sample chamber. Ruby crystals were also loaded into the sample chamber and used as a pressure calibrant,
- 54 measured using the ruby fluorescence technique.⁴ Steel springs were placed around the guide pins on the DAC so that the
- 55 cell could be held open while submerged in liquid nitrogen (LN_2).⁵ The DAC was closed and placed in an LN_2 bath. The DAC
- 56 was allowed to equilibrate to a stable temperature (minimal bubbling) before being opened to a pre-determined height
- 57 and allowed to re-equilibrate. After bubbling had minimised, the DAC was closed and allowed to return to room
- 58 temperature before the pressure was measured. The ZIF-67 crystal was then compressed using the LN₂ as the pressure
- 59 $\,$ transmitting medium (PTM), from 0.43 to 4.9 GPa in ~0.3 GPa intervals.
- 60 Diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction XtaLAB Synergy-S at room temperature using Mo K α radiation
- 61 (λ = 0.7107 Å). A pre-experiment was used to determine the best data collection strategy in CrysAlis Pro¹, assuming a half-
- 62 opening angle of 40°. As above, a resolution cut-off to 1.11Å was applied initially and was gradually reduced to 1.00Å as the
- 63 gasket thinned. Adsorption was corrected using SADABS.⁶

64 Synchrotron High-Pressure Single Crystal Data Collection

- 65 A single crystal of the as-prepared ZIF-67 was collected at the ANSTO (Australian Synchrotron) MX1 beamline in a modified
- $\frac{66}{7}$ micro-Merrill Basset DAC, following the method of Boer et al.⁷ The crystal was first analysed at ambient pressure and
- 67 temperature. The crystal was then loaded into a micro-Merrill Basset DAC with a ruby chip as pressure calibrant, and a pre-
- 68 indented tungsten gasket fixed to the opposing diamond face. A 4:1 methanol/ethanol mixture (MeOH:EtOH) was used as
- 69 pressure-transmitting medium. The collected frames were unpacked using the EIGER HDF5 to miniCBF converter 70 (https://github.com/biochem-fan/eiger2cbf), and peaks were indexed and reduced using CrysAlisPro¹, with a 40° cut-off
- 70 (https://github.com/biochem-fan/eiger2cbf), and peaks were indexed and reduced using CrysAlisPro¹, with a 40° cut-off mask. As above, a resolution cut-off to 1.11Å was applied initially and reduced to 0.9Å as the gasket thinned. SADABS ⁶ was
- 72 used to correct for adsorption and checked through XPREP.⁸

73 Crystal Structure Refinements

- 74 The ambient pressure structures were solved using intrinsic phasing by SHELXT ⁹ through the Olex2 1.5¹⁰ interface and
- 75 refined against |F²| using SHELXL.¹¹ These coordinates were used to refine all the high-pressure structures. At ambient
- 76 pressure, thermal similarity restraints were applied to all non-hydrogen atoms, hydrogens were constrained geometrically
- 77 to ride their host atoms, and all angles and bond distances were allowed to refine freely. High-pressure structures used the
- ambient pressure atomic coordinates were used as starting positions and then refined against $|F^2|$ using SHELXL¹¹ within Olex2.¹⁰
- 80 In structures under pressure, 1,2 and 1,3 distances were restrained to those found in the ambient structure, all metal-
- 81 nitrogen bonds were allowed to refine freely. H-atoms were placed geometrically and fixed to ride their host atoms.
- 82 Thermal similarity restraints were imposed for all C and N atoms in the ligand. In the LN₂ experiments, the location and
- 83 occupancy of N atoms within the pores were refined and compared to electron densities modelled using the Platon
- 84 SQUEEZE¹² algorithm.
- 85
- The Crystallographic data reported and used in this paper have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre repository under reference codes (2299159-2299176). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge by visiting https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures/, or Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ,
- 89 U.KCB21EZ, UK (fax +441223336033; deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).





Figure SI1: a) Length of the unit cell axes and b) void volume of ZIF-67 on compression in different PTM.



Figure SI2: Length of the Metal-N bond of ZIF-8(Zn) and ZIF-67(Co) on compression in different PTM. ZIF-8 data was extracted from published crystallographic data for meth:eth¹³ and LN₂⁵ PTMs.

100	Table S1.	Single Crystal cr	ystallography o	ata of ZIF-67 i	in 1:4 methanol:	ethanol at the Au	ustralian Synch	rotron (CCDC 229	9169 - 2299175).
		- 0 1	1						

Pressure (GPa)	0.00	0.15	0.28	0.86	1.57	2.80	3.76
CCDC Deposition #	2299175	2299169	2299170	2299171	2299172	2299173	2299174
Chemical formula	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·1.417[C2H5O H]	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·3.167[CH3O H]	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·3.75[CH3O H]	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·3.833[CH3O H]	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·5.583[CH3O H]	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·4.5[CH3OH]	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·4.833[CH3O H]
Crystal system, space group	Cubic, I43m	Cubic, I43m	Cubic, I43m	Cubic, I43m	Cubic, <i>1</i> 43 <i>m</i>	Cubic, <i>1</i> 43 <i>m</i>	Cubic, I43m
M _r	290.23	314.68	341.29	338.71	394.79	365.32	375.9
a (Å)	17.1155 (2)	17.2086 (4)	17.2230 (4)	17.1640 (3)	17.1651 (3)	16.9823 (4)	16.8677 (5)
V (ų)	5013.82 (18)	5096.1 (4)	5108.9 (3)	5056.6 (3)	5057.5 (3)	4897.7 (4)	4799.2 (4)
μ (mm ⁻¹)	1.02	1.02	1.02	1.03	1.05	1.08	1.1
Crystal size (mm)	$0.15\times0.1\times0.1$	$0.15\times0.1\times0.1$	$0.15 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$	$0.15\times0.1\times0.1$	$0.15\times0.1\times0.1$	$0.15\times0.1\times0.1$	$0.15\times0.1\times0.1$
Data collection							
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 (Bruker,2016/2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1140 before and 0.0945 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.5854.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 (Bruker,2016/2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.0591 before and 0.0472 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.9342.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 (Bruker,2016/2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1006 before and 0.0751 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.5921.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 (Bruker,2016/2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1236 before and 0.0879 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.6922.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 (Bruker,2016/2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1055 before and 0.0866 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.7704.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 (Bruker,2016/2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.0618 before and 0.0512 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.8714.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 (Bruker,2016/2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.0959 before and 0.0767 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.7437.
T _{min} , T _{max}	0.436, 0.744	0.696, 0.745	0.441, 0.745	0.516, 0.745	0.574, 0.745	0.649, 0.745	0.554, 0.745
No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2o(I)] reflections	1193, 348, 327	2148, 515, 507	2030, 531, 495	1912, 638, 566	2236, 627, 581	2324, 632, 624	2322, 623, 616
R _{int}	0.05	0.024	0.041	0.051	0.052	0.04	0.023
ϑ _{max} (°)	18.6	21.2	21.7	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2
(sin ϑ/λ) _{max} (Å-1)	0.449	0.508	0.519	0.554	0.554	0.554	0.555

	, , ,				•	-	
Refinement							
R[F² > 2σ(F²)], wR(F²), S	0.029, 0.075, 1.08	0.018, 0.051, 1.12	0.043, 0.127, 1.20	0.083, 0.239, 1.11	0.061, 0.180, 1.13	0.037, 0.112, 1.20	0.028, 0.078, 1.10
No. of reflections	348	515	531	638	627	632	623
No. of parameters	24	24	24	25	24	24	23
No. of restraints	1	8	8	8	8	8	8
	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.008P)^2 + 0.4507P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0361P)^2 + 2.6871P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0419P)^{2} + 7.1678P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1712P)^2 + 3.1104P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0731P)^{2} + 15.2702P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0492P)^{2} + 2.5349P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0254P)^2 + 2.7463P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Δρ _{max} , Δρ _{min} (e Å ⁻³)	0.10, -0.14	0.13, -0.11	0.26, -0.28	0.36, -0.59	0.44, -0.41	0.28, -0.33	0.18, -0.23
Absolute structure	Flack x determined using 124 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259).	Flack x determined using 203 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259).	Flack x determined using 179 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259).	Flack x determined using 210 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259).	Flack x determined using 220 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259).	Flack x determined using 258 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249- 259).	Flack x determined using 250 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259).
Absolute structure parameter	0.11 (5)	0.114 (16)	0.14 (3)	0.27 (3)	0.22 (3)	0.14 (2)	0.124 (19)

Table S1. continued Single Crystal crystallography data of ZIF-67 in 1:4 methanol:ethanol at the Australian Synchrotron (CCDC 2299169 – 2299175).

	106	Table S2.	Single Crystal crystallography data of ZIF-67 in Nitrogen (CCDC 2299159 – 2299168, 22)	99170	5).
--	-----	-----------	--	-------	-----

	Crystal 1*	Crystal 2									
Pressure	0	0.0^	0.45	0.71	1.27	1.59	2.03	2.32	2.75	2.88	3.49
CCDC Deposition #	2299160	2299176	2299159	2299168	2299161	2299162	2299163	2299164	2299165	2299166	2299167
Chemical formula	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·1[CH ₂ CH₃OH]	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·1.833 [CH₂CH₃OH]	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·0. 646(N ₈)·0.16 7[CH₂CH₃OH]	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·1. 521(N₄)	₈ H ₁₀ CoN ₄ ·12. 889(N _{0.5})	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·1 8(N _{0.5})	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN ₄ ·2.4 33(N ₄)	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·2. 458(N₄)	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·1 8.667(N _{0.5})	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·1 8.667(N _{0.5})	C ₈ H ₁₀ CoN₄·1 8.667(N _{0.5})
M _r	271.02	305.57	301.33	306.36	311.41	347.22	357.49	358.89	351.89	351.89	351.89
Temperature (K)	293	100	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293
a (Å)	17.0441 (4)	16.9230 (5)	17.1155 (7)	17.0740 (4)	16.9979 (6)	16.9247 (6)	16.8057 (4)	16.7265 (4)	16.6284 (4)	16.5684 (3)	16.4992 (4)
V (Å ³) Radiation type μ (mm ⁻¹)	4951.3 (3) Μο <i>Κ</i> α 1.03	4846.5 (4) Cu <i>K</i> α 8.35	5013.8 (6) Μο <i>Κ</i> α 1.03	4977.4 (3) Μο <i>Κ</i> α 1.04	4911.2 (5) Μο <i>Κ</i> α 1.05	4848.0 (5) Μο <i>Κ</i> α 1.08	4746.5 (3) Μο Κα 1.11	4679.7 (3) Μο <i>Κ</i> α 1.12	4597.8 (3) Μο <i>Κ</i> α 1.14	4548.2 (2) Μο <i>Κ</i> α 1.15	4491.4 (4) Μο <i>Κ</i> α 1.17
		0.14 × 0.1 × 0.00	$0.14 \times 0.1 \times$	$0.14 \times 0.1 \times$	$0.14 \times 0.1 \times$	$0.14 \times 0.1 \times$	$0.14 \times 0.1 \times$	$0.14 \times 0.1 \times$	$0.14 \times 0.1 \times$	$0.14 \times 0.1 \times$	$0.14 \times 0.1 \times$
Crystal size (mm)	0.15 × 0.1 × 0.07	0.14 × 0.1 × 0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09
Data collection											
T _{min} , T _{max}	0.868, 1.000	0.475, 0.711	0.480, 0.744	0.440, 0.745	0.480, 0.745	0.516, 0.745	0.635, 0.706	0.628, 0.745	0.634, 0.745	0.676, 0.731	0.605, 0.745
No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2a(I)] reflections	6615, 888, 682	4186, 880, 741	3880, 366, 342	4014, 391, 373	5119, 505, 463	5113, 501, 460	9257, 496, 455	11050, 491, 458	7482, 409, 371	16850, 373, 346	7385, 470, 409
R _{int}	0.043	0.043	0.077	0.083	0.091	0.094	0.076	0.086	0.074	0.067	0.092
(sin ϑ/λ) _{max} (Å ⁻¹)	0.625	0.628	0.443	0.452	0.499	0.5	0.5	0.500	0.554	0.458	0.500
Absorption correction	Gaussian CrysAlis PRO 1.171.41.115a (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2021) Numerical absorption correction based on gaussian integration over a multifaceted crystal model Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm.	Gaussian CrysAlis PRO 1.171.42.58a (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2022) Numerical absorption correction based on gaussian integration over a multifaceted crystal model Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/ 2 (Bruker,2016/ 2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1072 before and 0.0718 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.6455. The $\lambda/2$ correction factor is Not present.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/ 2 (Bruker,2016/ 2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1171 before and 0.0857 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.5910. The $\lambda/2$ correction factor is Not present.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/ 2 (Bruker,2016/ 2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1078 before and 0.0816 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.6446. The $\lambda/2$ correction factor is Not present.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/ 2 (Bruker,2016/ 2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1139 before and 0.0835 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.6928. The $\lambda/2$ correction factor is Not present.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/ 2 (Bruker,2016/ 2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.0984 before and 0.0867 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.8994. The $\lambda/2$ correction factor is Not present.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/ 2 (Bruker,2016/ 2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1012 before and 0.0855 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.8439. The λ/2 correction factor is Not present.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/ 2 (Bruker,2016/ 2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.0907 before and 0.0782 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.8509. The $\lambda/2$ correction factor is Not present.	Multi-scan SADABS2016/ 2 (Bruker,2016/ 2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.0861 before and 0.0728 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.9243. The $\lambda/2$ correction factor is Not present.	Multi-scan SADABS2016 /2 (Bruker,2016 /2) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.1018 before and 0.0855 after correction. The Ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.8122. The $\lambda/2$ correction factor is Not present.

107 1	Table S2. continued	Single Crystal crystallography dat	ta of ZIF-67 in Nitrogen	(CCDC 2299159 -	- 2299168, 2299176).
--------------	---------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------	----------------------

Refinement											
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2),$ S	0.035, 0.084, 0.95	0.057, 0.186, 1.09	0.066, 0.188, 1.19	0.067, 0.210, 1.25	0.080, 0.240, 1.13	0.082, 0.214, 1.11	0.072, 0.195, 1 .11	0.074, 0.194, 1.16	0.087, 0.222, 1.18	0.080, 0.212, 1.15	0.085, 0.232 , 1.14
No. of reflections	888	880	367	391	505	501	496	491	619	373	470
No. of parameters	24	24	38	47	43	45	48	49	54	54	54
No. of restraints	4	4	5	8	8	10	10	10	11	11	11
	w = 1/[σ2(Fo2) + (0.0596P)2] where P = (Fo2 + 2Fc2)/33	w = 1/[σ 2(Fo2) + (0.1222P)2 + 1.1651P] where P = (Fo2 + 2Fc2)/3	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.1359P)^{2} + 7.6237P]$ where P = $(F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.1469P)^{2} + 11.7616P]$ where P = $(F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	w = 1/[g2(Fo2) + (0.1926P)2] where P = (Fo2 + 2Fc2)/3	w = 1/[σ2(Fo2) + (0.1666P)2] where P = (Fo2 + 2Fc2)/3	w = 1/[σ2(Fo2) + (0.1592P)2 + 0.3076P] where P = (Fo2 + 2Fc2)/3	w = $1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) +$ $(0.1547P)^2 +$ 0.2984P] where P = $(F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.1508P)^{2}]$ where P = $(F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.1472P)^{2}]$ where P = $(F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.1304P)^{2} + 11.9372P]$ where P = $(F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
Δρ _{max} , Δρ _{min} (e Å ⁻³)	0.15, -0.18	0.30, -0.25	0.51, -0.40	0.62, -0.53	0.83, -0.38	0.73, -0.83	0.79, -0.68	0.90, -0.72	0.85, -0.81	0.75, -0.83	0.83, -0.79
Absolute structure	Flack x determined using 243 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259).	Flack x determined using 244 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259).	Flack x determined using 138 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249- 259).	Flack x determined using 153 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249- 259).	Flack x determined using 182 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249- 259).	Flack x determined using 172 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249- 259).	Flack x determined using 181 quotients [(I+)- (I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249-259).	Flack x determined using 192 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249- 259).	Flack x determined using 180 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249- 259).	Flack x determined using 143 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249- 259).	Flack x determined using 158 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, Acta Cryst. B69 (2013) 249- 259).
AS parameter	-0.033 (13)	-0.021 (11)	0.09 (4)	0.07 (3)	0.13 (3)	0.08 (3)	0.02 (2)	0.06 (2)	0.07 (2)	0.088 (18)	0.09 (2)

109 S2 Calculation of the Bulk Modulus

EoSFit7¹⁴ was used to fit the unit cell volume compression to a third-order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state to estimate the bulk modulus after the phase transition of ZIF-67 and ZIF-8 in LN2 (Figure S1). Pressure (P) is calculated in the equation below where V0 is the volume at ambient pressure, V is the volume at any given pressure, K0 is the isothermal bulk modulus, and K' is the pressure derivative of the isothermal bulk modulus.

$$P = \frac{3K_0}{2} \left[\left(\frac{V}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{7}{3}} - \left(\frac{V}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{5}{3}} \right] + \left[1 + \frac{1}{3} \left(K'_{T_0} - 4 \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{V}{V_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} - 1 \right\} \right]$$
(1)





117 Figure SI3: Fits of the third-order Birch-Murnaghan (solid lines) to the unit cell volume of (a) ZIF-8 and (b) ZIF-67 during compression

118 using N2 as the PTM, as determined by EoSFit7. 14

120 S3 Colour change in ZIF-67 in N₂



121

Figure SI4: Colour change in the ZIF-67 crystal in LN_2 with increasing pressure. Micrographs were taken at the same magnification and using the same back and forward light settings. The final micrograph (0 GPa*) shows the crystal after release of pressure, showing

- 124 the almost complete reversal of the colour transition, although the crystal does appear less blue than typical ambient crystals.
- 125

126 S4 UV-Vis spectroscopy of ZIF-67

A single crystal of ZIF-67 was crushed on a quartz glass slide using one half of a micro-diamond compression cell. The UV-Vis colour absorbance spectrum of the crystal was measured in transmission orientation mode at wavelengths between 310–800nm at ~0.436nm resolution using a Craic 20/30PV Microspectrophotometer. Each scan was collected using a 15x objective lens, and an aperture of 19.6 x 19.6 microns. The spectra comprise 100 scans, for a total acquisition time of 14ms, that are background corrected against blank air/diamond collected prior to sample spectra acquisition. NIST traceable holmium oxide and didymium standards were used to calibrate wavelength accuracy. Photometric accuracy was calibrated using three neutral density standards.

133





137 SI References

- 138 139 Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, CrysAlisPro Software System, Rigaku Corporation, Oxford, UK, 2023. 1
- 2 L. Merrill and W. A. Bassett, Rev Sci Instrum, 1974, 45, 290. DOI: 10.1063/1.1686607
- 140 S. A. Moggach, D. R. Allan, S. Parsons and J. E. Warren, J Appl Crystallogr, 2008, 41, 249-251. DOI: 10.1107/S0021889808000514 3
- G. J. Piermarini, S. Block, J. D. Barnett, and R. A. Forman, J Appl Phys, 1975, 46, 2774-2780. DOI: 10.1063/1.321957 4
- 141 142 143 144 C. L. Hobday, C. H. Woodall, M. J. Lennox, M. Frost, K. Kamenev, T. Düren, C. A. Morrison and S. A. Moggach, Nat Commun, 2018, 9, 1–9. DOI: 5 10.1038/s41467-018-03878-6
 - G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Program for area detector adsorption correction, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1996. 6
- 145 146 147 S. A. Boer, J. R. Price, A. Riboldi-Tunnicliffe, R. Williamson, A. Summers, G. F. Turner, I. Jones, C. Bond, A. Vrielink, A. C. Marshall, J. Hitchings and S. 7 A. Moggach, J Synchrotron Radiat, 2023, 30, 841–846. DOI: 10.1107/S160057752300406
- 8 Bruker AXS Inc, APEX2, XPREP and SAINT, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2007.
- G. M. Sheldrick and IUCr, Acta Crystallogr A Found Adv, 2015, 71, 3-8. DOI: 10.1107/S2053273314026370 9
- 148 149 150 151 152 153 10 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, J Appl Crystallogr, 2009, 42, 339-341. DOI: 10.1107/S0021889808042726
- 11 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr C Struct Chem, 2015, 71, 3–8. DOI: 10.1107/S2053229614024218
- 12 A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr C Struct Chem, 2015, 71, 9–18. DOI: 10.1107/S2053229614024929
- 13 S. A. Moggach, T. D. Bennett and A. K. Cheetham, Angewandte Chemie Int Ed, 2009, 48, 7087–7089. DOI: 10.1002/anie.200902643
- 154 14 J. Gonzalez-Platas, M. Alvaro, F. Nestola and R. Angel, J Appl Crystallogr, 2016, 49, 1377–1382. DOI: 10.1107/S1600576716008050