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## 1. Molecular crystals with seemingly nonporous crystal architecture


calixarene: $\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathbf{R}=\mathrm{H}, n=4$ or 5 (ref. 6)
thiacalixarene: $\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{S}$ or $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathbf{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ or $\mathrm{H}, n=4$ (ref. 11)
azacalixarene: $\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{NH}, \mathbf{R}=\mathrm{Me}, n=4$ or 5 (ref. 14)

p-tert-butylcalix[4]dihydroquinone (ref. 6d)

clarithromycin (ref. 7)

molecular cage (ref. 8)

phosphazene (ref. 9)


4-phenoxyphenol (ref. 10)


1,3,5-triphenylbenzene (ref. 12)

cavitand (ref. 13)

Chart S1 Chemical structures of organic compounds depositing seemingly nonporous crystals. Reference numbers are identical to those listed in the main text.

## 2. General methods

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL ECX-400 NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts were reported in $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ relative to tetramethylsilane ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ) or chloroform-d ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR), and signal multiplicities in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are described as singlet ( s ), broad singlet (br), doublet (d), triplet ( t ), double doublet (dd) and multiplet (m). IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu IRSpirit-T spectrometer by using KBr tablets. Melting points were determined on a Shimadzu DSC-60. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) measurements were performed by using a Bruker micrOTOF II with ESI ionization method. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) studies were conducted on a Keyence VE8800 instrument. Gas sorption isotherms were measured using a Shimadzu Gemini VII 2390 surface area analyzer.

## 3. Synthesis of $N, C$-protected dipeptides

Scheme S1 Synthesis of methyl $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-methionyl-L-alaninate (1)


To a solution of N -(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-methionine (4, $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 15 mL ) at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, triethylamine ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}(0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added under Ar atmosphere. After stirring for 10 min , a solution of $5(0.840 \mathrm{~g}, 6.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture. After 15 min , the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum to give a colorless oil. It was dissolved in boiling hexane, and the solution was allowed to cool to room temperature. Colorless needle crystals of $\mathbf{1}(1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 88.4 \%)$ were obtained by filtration. mp $87.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.70(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.20(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.58$ (quintet, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCHCH}_{3}$ ), $4.30(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCHCO}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ), $2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SMe}), 2.10-2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 1.99-1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}, t-\mathrm{Bu}), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 173.0,171.1,155.5,80.1$, $53.1,52.4,48.0,31.6,29.9,28.2,18.1,15.1$; IR (KBr) $v_{\max }: 3288,3074,2981,1755,1679,1652,1535$, 1459, 1393, 1367, 1300, 1270, 1256, 1201, 1160, $1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 357.1455$; found 357.1474 .

Scheme S2 Synthesis of methyl $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-methionyl-L-valinate (2) and methyl $N$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-methionyl-L-leucinate (3)


To a mixture of $4(2.36 \mathrm{~g}, 9.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $N$-methylmorpholine ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF (40 $\mathrm{mL})$ at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, isobutyl chloroformate ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and then stirred for 1 h under Ar atmosphere. Aside from it, $6(1.71 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was neutralized with triethylamine ( $3.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 6 mL ). After evaporation under reduced pressure, the resultant residue was suspended in dry THF ( 15 mL ). The suspension was added to the reaction mixture, which was allowed to warm to room temperature. After stirring for 4 h , the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in
ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and brine, and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by evaporation to give a white solid. It was dissolved in boiling hexane, and the solution was allowed to cool to room temperature. Colorless needle crystals of 2 (2.73 $\mathrm{g}, 79.5 \%$ ) were obtained by filtration. $\mathrm{mp} 125.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.69(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, 5.17 (br, 1H, NH), 4.53 (dd, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}(i-\mathrm{Pr}) \mathrm{CO}), 4.31$ (br, 1H, NHCHCO), 3.74 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), $2.61\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 2.22-2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SMe}), 2.10-2.03$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ), $2.01-1.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t-\mathrm{Bu}), 0.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 172.0,171.5,155.5,80.0,57.1,53.1,52.1,31.1,31.0,30.0$, $28.2,18.9,17.5,15.0$; IR (KBr) $v_{\max }: 3308,3055,2979,1754,1682,1652,1538,1447,1436,1392$, $1365,1296,1256,1174,1156,1051 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 385.1768$; found 385.1769 .

Synthesis of $\mathbf{3}$ was carried out according to the above-mentioned procedure for $\mathbf{2}$ by using $4(1.97 \mathrm{~g}$, $7.90 \mathrm{mmol}), 7(1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 7.92 \mathrm{mmol}), N$-methylmorpholine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), isobutyl chloroformate $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triethylamine $(1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give colorless needle crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ ( 2.57 $\mathrm{g}, 86.5 \%$ ) . mp $108.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 6.52(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.15(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}(i-\mathrm{Bu}) \mathrm{CO}), 4.28(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCHCO}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, SMe), 2.10-2.03 (m, 1H, CH2 CH2S), 1.99-1.92 (m, 1H, CH2 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 1.70-1.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.60-$ 1.54(m, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t-\mathrm{Bu}), 0.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 173.0,171.3,155.4,79.9,52.9,52.2,50.6,41.0,31.4,29.8,28.2,24.6,22.7,21.6,15.0 ;$ IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) v_{\max }: 3338,3280,3074,2972,1759,1682,1659,1549,1520,1445,1392,1366,1296,1266,1253$, 1157, $1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$399.1924; found 399.1925.

## 4. NMR spectra



Fig. $\mathbf{S 1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. S2 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. $\mathbf{S 3}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. S4 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. $\mathbf{S 5}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. S6 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

## 5. Crystallography

Single crystals of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}$ were grown by cooling the boiling solutions in hexane. X-ray diffraction data of 1-3 were collected using a Rigaku Saturn724+ CCD area detector diffractometer [graphitemonochromated Mo K $\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ); $\omega$ scans]. All the structures were solved by direct methods using SIR97 ${ }^{\text {S1 }}$ and refined on $F^{2}$ with all data using SHELXL-2014/7. ${ }^{\text {S2 }}$ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and the hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically or using the riding model. All calculations were performed using CrysAlis ${ }^{\text {Pro S3 }}$ and Yadokari-XG 2009. ${ }^{\text {S4 }}$ Crystallographic data for the structures reported in the paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and allocated the deposition numbers CCDC 2298630-2298633, 2342412, and 2342427. Supplementary Movies S1 and S2 for explaining the crystal structures of $\mathbf{1}$ in the absence and presence of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ were prepared by using UCSF Chimera ver. 1.7. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 5}$

Table S1 Crystallographic data of $N, C$-protected dipeptide crystals

| Compound | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1-0. $25 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14.25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5.50} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| Formula mass | 334.44 | 334.44 | 334.44 | 345.61 |
| Crystal system | Hexagonal | Hexagonal | Hexagonal | Hexagonal |
| Space group | P65 | P65 | P65 | P65 |
| $a / \AA$ | 11.287(1) | 11.2333(9) | 11.1876(8) | 11.239(4) |
| $b / \AA$ | 11.287(1) | 11.2333(9) | $11.1876(8)$ | 11.239(4) |
| $c / \AA$ | 27.130(2) | 27.046(2) | 26.954(2) | 27.039(1) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta 1{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Unit cell volume / $\AA^{3}$ | 2993.1(5) | 2955.6(4) | 2921.6(5) | 2958(2) |
| Temperature / K | 288(2) | 238(2) | 188(2) | 188(2) |
| No. of formula units per cell, $Z$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| No. of reflections measured | 29458 | 28134 | 27857 | 9839 |
| No. of independent reflections | 6050 | 5962 | 5911 | 4090 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0732 | 0.0677 | 0.0519 | 0.0390 |
| Final $R_{1}$ values ( $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ) | 0.0859 | 0.0815 | 0.0774 | 0.0525 |
| Final $\mathrm{w} R\left(F^{2}\right)$ values $(I>2 \sigma(I))$ | 0.1623 | 0.1848 | 0.1692 | 0.1424 |
| Final $R_{1}$ values (all data) | 0.2396 | 0.2013 | 0.1442 | 0.1010 |
| Final $\mathrm{w} R\left(F^{2}\right)$ values (all data) | 0.2175 | 0.2460 | 0.1984 | 0.1886 |
| $S$ | 1.0392 | 0.9909 | 1.0289 | 0.8476 |

Table S1 continued

|  | Compound | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| Formula mass | 362.49 | 376.52 |
| Crystal system | Hexagonal | Hexagonal |
| Space group | $P 65$ | $P 65$ |
| $a / \AA$ | $11.3887(8)$ | $11.4929(7)$ |
| $b / \AA$ | $11.3887(8)$ | $11.4929(7)$ |
| $c / \AA$ | $27.3424(2)$ | $27.929(2)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 120 | 120 |
| Unit cell volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $3071.3(4)$ | $3194.8(3)$ |
| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $188(2)$ | $188(2)$ |
| No. of formula units per cell, $Z$ | 6 | 6 |
| No. of reflections measured | 29375 | 30468 |
| No. of independent reflections | 5754 | 6393 |
| $R$ int | 0.0886 | 0.0614 |
| Final $R_{1}$ values $(I>2 \sigma(I))$ | 0.0754 | 0.0732 |
| Final w $R\left(F^{2}\right)$ values $(I>2 \sigma(I))$ | 0.1414 | 0.1499 |
| Final $R_{1}$ values (all data) | 0.1359 | 0.1203 |
| Final w $R\left(F^{2}\right)$ values (all data) | 0.1646 | 0.1700 |
| $S$ | 1.0308 | 1.0291 |

Table S2 Unnormalized and normalized equivalent isotropic displacement factors $\left(U_{\mathrm{eq}}\right)^{a, b}$ of $\mathbf{1}$ at 15 , -35 , and $-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and those of 2 and 3 at $-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Compound | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| C1 | 0.095(2) | 0.084(2) | 0.080(2) | 0.0400(9) | 0.0303(6) |
|  | [1.7(1)] | [1.8(1)] | [2.0(1)] | [1.59(5)] | [1.29(4)] |
| C2 | $0.102(2)$ | $0.089(2)$ | $0.080(1)$ | 0.0360 (8) | $0.0255(6)$ |
|  | [1.8(1)] | [1.9(1)] | [2.0(1)] | [1.43(5)] | [1.09(3)] |
| C3 | $0.185(4)$ | $0.162(4)$ | 0.146 (3) | $0.048(1)$ | 0.0280 (6) |
|  | [3.3(1)] | [3.5(1)] | [3.7(1)] | [1.9(1)] | [1.20(4)] |
| C4 | $0.065(1)$ | $0.056(1)$ | $0.0479(8)$ | $0.0261(6)$ | $0.0224(5)$ |
|  | [1.2(1)] | [1.2(1)] | [1.22(3)] | [1.04(3)] | [0.96(3)] |
| C5 | $0.057(1)$ | 0.0463 (9) | $0.0393(7)$ | $0.0252(6)$ | 0.0234(5) |
|  | [1.0(1)] | [1.00(3)] | [1.00(3)] | [1.00(3)] | [1.00(3)] |
| C6 | 0.076 (1) | 0.063(1) | $0.0529(9)$ | $0.0323(7)$ | $0.0325(7)$ |
|  | [1.3(1)] | [1.36(4)] | [1.35(3)] | [1.28(4)] | [1.39(4)] |
| C7 | $0.098(2)$ | 0.081(2) | $0.066(1)$ | $0.0382(8)$ | $0.0432(8)$ |
|  | [1.7(1)] | [1.8(1)] | [1.7(1)] | [1.52(5)] | [1.85(5)] |
| C8 | $0.154(3)$ | 0.133(3) | $0.117(2)$ | 0.063(1) | 0.076(2) |
|  | [2.7(1)] | [2.87(9)] | [2.98(7)] | [2.5(1)] | [3.3(1)] |
| C9 | 0.200 (5) | $0.175(5)$ | $0.163(4)$ | 0.099(2) | 0.072(2) |
|  | [3.5(1)] | [3.8(1)] | [4.2(1)] | [3.9(1)] | [3.1(1)] |
| C10 | 0.073(1) | 0.064(1) | $0.058(1)$ | $0.0307(7)$ | $0.0269(6)$ |
|  | [1.3(1)] | [1.4(1)] | [1.5(1)] | [1.22(4)] | [1.15(4)] |
| C11 | $0.129(3)$ | 0.112(3) | $0.102(2)$ | $0.0406(9)$ | $0.0379(8)$ |
|  | [2.3(1)] | [2.42(8)] | [2.60(7)] | [1.61(5)] | [1.62(5)] |
| C12 | 0.179(4) | 0.152(4) | 0.131(3) | 0.068(2) | 0.117 (3) |
|  | [3.1(1)] | [3.3(1)] | [3.3(1)] | [2.7(1)] | [5.0(2)] |
| C13 | $0.262(7)$ | 0.247 (8) | $0.252(7)$ | 0.061(1) | $0.067(1)$ |
|  | [4.6(2)] | [5.3(2)] | [6.4(2)] | [2.4(1)] | [2.9(1)] |
| C14 | $0.301(9)$ | $0.28(1)$ | $0.241(7)$ | $0.067(1)$ | $0.119(3)$ |
|  | [5.3(2)] | [6.1(3)] | [6.1(2)] | [2.7(1)] | [5.1(2)] |
| C15 | - | - | - | $0.067(1)$ | $0.0288(6)$ |
|  |  |  |  | [2.7(1)] | [1.23(4)] |
| C16 | - | - |  | $0.087(2)$ | $0.0433(8)$ |
|  | - | - | - | [3.5(1)] | [1.85(5)] |
| C17 | - | - | - | - | 0.0433(8) |
|  | - | - | - | - | [1.85(5)] |

${ }^{a}$ The $U_{\text {eq }}$ values $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ of the constituent carbon atoms were normalized by using those of the asymmetric carbon atoms (C5) of each methionine moiety as a reference. ${ }^{b}$ Normalized $U_{\text {eq }}$ values are shown in the square brackets.
6. Gas sorption isotherms of 1


Fig. S7 Gas sorption isotherms of 1 for $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, Ar , and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at (a] $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $(\mathrm{b})-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(P_{\mathrm{o}}=760 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$. Isotherms for $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{Ar}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ are shown by blue, black, green, and red closed circles, respectively.


Fig. S8 First and second $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption isotherms of 1 in two consecutive $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption experiments at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(P_{\mathrm{o}}=760 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$. Single crystals of $\mathbf{1}$, obtained from a different recrystallization batch from that applied to Figs. 4 and S 7 , were used to record the two consecutive $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption isotherms.

## 7. SEM images of the crystals of 1 before and after $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption experiment



Fig. S9 SEM images of the crystals of $\mathbf{1}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ before and $(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$ after they were subjected to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption experiment. Magnification: (a,c) 500x, (b,d) 1,000x.

## 8. Theoretical calculations

As mentioned in the main text, the main chain of $\mathbf{1}$ with a smaller occupancy is assignable to the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sorption state of $\mathbf{1}$, and its atomic coordinates were extracted from the crystallographic data of $\mathbf{1} \cdot 0.25$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The atomic coordinates of hydrogen atoms were optimized under periodic boundary conditions using the fixed lattice. The $\mathrm{DMol}^{3}$ module implemented in BIOVIA Materials Studio 2017 software was used for the optimization. The PBE functional, DND basis set, and Grimme's D2 dispersion correction were used for the calculations.
$A b$ initio calculations were then carried out to evaluate intermolecular interaction energies using the optimized structures. The Gaussian 16 program (Revision C.01) ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 6}$ was used for the $a b$ initio calculations. Electron correlation was corrected by the MP2 method. The aug-cc-pVDZ basis set was used for the calculations of intermolecular interaction energies. The basis set superposition error was corrected by the counterpoise method. Total intermolecular interaction energy ( $E_{\text {int }}$ ) between $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and each adjacent molecule of 1 was calculated at the MP2 level. The Hartree-Fock level interaction energies $\left(E_{\mathrm{HF}}\right)$ was also calculated.

GDMA ver. 2.2.03 program ${ }^{\text {S7 }}$ was used to calculate distributed multipole of each molecule on all atoms up to hexadecapole by using the wave functions obtained at the MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ level. Orient ver. 4.8.31 program ${ }^{\text {S8 }}$ was employed to calculate electrostatic ( $E_{\text {es }}$ ) and induction energies ( $E_{\text {ind }}$ ). The $E_{\text {es }}$ was calculated as the interactions between distributed multipoles. The $E_{\text {ind }}$ was calculated as the interactions between the polarizable sites and the electric field produced by the distributed multipole. For the calculations of $E_{\text {ind }}$, the following values of polarizability were used; $\mathrm{C}: 10, \mathrm{H}: 3, \mathrm{~N}: 8, \mathrm{O}: 6$, and S: 20 a.u. The effect of electron correlation $\left(E_{\text {corr }}=E_{\mathrm{int}}-E_{\mathrm{HF}}\right)$ is mainly the contributions of the dispersion interactions. The short-range interaction energy ( $E_{\text {short }}$ ), that is comprised of exchange repulsion and charge transfer interaction, was calculated as the difference between the $E_{\mathrm{HF}}$ and the sum of $E_{\text {es }}$ and $E_{\text {ind }}$, namely $E_{\text {short }}=E_{\mathrm{HF}}-E_{\text {es }}-E_{\text {ind }}$.
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