Supporting Information

Deep transfer learning for predicting frontier orbital energies of organic materials using small data and its application to porphyrin photocatalysts

An Su^{*}, Xin Zhang, Chengwei Zhang, Debo Ding, Yun-Fang Yang, Keke Wang, Yuan-Bin She^{*}

> College of Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. China

* Corresponding authors

Prof. An Su

Email: ansu@zjut.edu.cn

Prof. Yuan-Bin She

Email: sheyb@zjut.edu.cn

College of Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. China

Supporting information for Metalloporphyrins and Porphyrins Database (MpPD)

We constructed the MpPD containing 377 porphyrin structures by DFT calculation and literature review. The general porphyrin structure is shown in Figure S1. In the MpPD dataset, there are 69 A₄-type porphyrin molecules that have been synthesized by our group¹ and 16 porphyrin structures that were retrieved from the literature². We designed 292 A₂B₂-type porphyrins by combining the side chain groups of these porphyrins with some common electron-absorbing and donating groups, a total of 11 substituent groups, and several metals such as Fe, Ni, Cu, Mn, and Co in different combinations structures.

10



11

12

Figure S1. General porphyrin structure in MpPD

13

A4-type Porphyrin Structure. A4-type porphyrins have all four side-chain substituents
identical. In other words, the R1, R2, R3, and R4 groups are the same in Figure S1. 69
A4-type porphyrin structures are designed or collected by combining 26 substituents with
6 central metals, and the representative structure is shown in Figure S2. The structure of
the substituents is visualized in Figure S3, and the central metal is shown in Figure S4.





Figure S2. Representative structures of A4-type porphyrins in MpPD



Ph



4ChPh



4FluPh



HC

0

HC

27



4MetPh



4MePh



3MetPh



4BenPh

236ChPh

3BenPh





2BenPh

4TCP

3NitPh

2NitPh

4NitPh



23ChPh

4Phe



2ChPh

C





3MePh



3ChPh

Í





Figure S4. Central metals of A4-type porphyrins in MpPD

A₂B₂-type Porphyrin Structure. Using the 16 porphyrin side chain groups retrieved from the literature, a total of 11 substituents in combination with some common electron-absorbing and donating groups and central metals including Fe, Ni, Cu, Mn, and Co, 292 porphyrin structures of A₂B₂ type were designed. The A₂B₂ type porphyrin structures have R1 and R3 side-chain substituents the same and R2 and R4 the same (Figure S1). A representative A₂B₂-type porphyrin structure is shown in Figure S5, and the substituent structure is shown in Figure S6. The central metal is shown in Figure S7.

35





37

Figure S5. Representative structures of A2B2-type porphyrins in MpPD



Porphyrin Structures from literature. The 16 porphyrin structures retrieved from the





Statistical summary of DFT calculations of MpPD. A statistical summary of the results
of the DFT calculations is provided in Table S2, which includes the mean and standard
deviation (std) of HOMO, LUMO and E_gap, as well as the quartiles, maximum, and
minimum values.

Table S2. MpPD data set statistics

	HOMO (eV)	LUMO (eV)	E_gap (eV)
count	377	377	377
mean	-5.5049	-2.8510	2.6544
std	0.3630	0.3602	0.2379
min	-6.2695	-3.7337	1.7799
25%	-5.7315	-3.1372	2.5176
50%	-5.4817	-2.8450	2.7176
75%	-5.2500	-2.5731	2.8345
max	-4.6831	-2.0248	2.9982

2. Supporting Information for Porphyrin-based Dyes Database (PBDD)

For the model pre-training data, we used the Porphyrin-based Dyes Database (PBDD)
from the Computational Materials Repository (CMR)³. Currently, 12,096 porphyrin
molecular structures and information such as HOMO, LUMO, and energy gap
information are stored (Table S1).

7	റ
1	9

Table S1. Molecular information in PBDD

key	description	unit
А	Anchor group	
E_HOMO	HOMO location calculated as ionization potential	eV
E_LUMO	LUMO location calculated as electron affinity	eV
E_gap	Electronic gap calculated as E_LUMO – E_HOMO	eV
ID	The unique number of the molecule in the database	
Μ	Metal center	
Mass	Relative molecular weight	
R1	First side group	
R2	Second side group	
R3	Third side group	

3. Hyperparameters optimization of PorphyBERT and PorphyDMPNN and learning curves of optimized models

We tuned the hyperparameters of the PorphyBERT and PorphyDMPNN models, mainly
on the epoch and dropout. The optimization results are listed in Table S3 and Table S4.
With the optimized hyperparameters, learning curves were plotted in Table S5 and Table
S6.

Table S3. Parameters tuning of PorphyBERT and the performance on training, validation and test
 sets

		Train Validation		Test			
Epoch	Dropout	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE
		(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)
E_gap							
30	0.4	0.0598	0.0466	0.1043	0.0646	0.0933	0.0589
38	0.4	0.0426	00269	0.0901	0.0412	0.0378	0.0261
50	0.4	0.0904	0.0700	0.1177	0.0884	0.1005	0.0853
НОМО							
30	0.4	0.0498	0.0288	0.0871	0.0458	0.0431	0.0314
50	0.4	0.1419	0.1170	0.1465	0.1202	0.1820	0.1507
LUMO							
30	0.4	0.0867	0.0632	0.1520	0.0999	0.1104	0.0793
39	0.2	0.1388	0.1182	0.1757	0.1378	0.1771	0.1530
43	0.5	0.0685	0.0522	0.1268	0.0809	0.0975	0.0726

	Train Validation		Test			
Dropout	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE
	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)
0.4	0.0709	0.0498	0.0947	0.0682	0.0690	0.0527
0.4	0.0638	0.0425	0.0872	0.0598	0.0564	0.0427
0.4	0.0535	0.0326	0.0811	0.0467	0.0417	0.0315
0.4	0.0648	0.0479	0.0972	0.0702	0.0673	0.0494
0.4	0.1419	0.1063	0.1388	0.1048	0.1693	0.1334
0.4	0.1365	0.1030	0.1234	0.0982	0.1320	0.0973
0.4	0.1149	0.0860	0.1179	0.0913	0.1132	0.0864
	Dropout 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4	Dropout RMSE (eV) 0.4 0.0709 0.4 0.0638 0.4 0.0535 0.4 0.0648 0.4 0.1419 0.4 0.1365 0.4 0.1149	Train RMSE MAE (eV) (eV) 0.4 0.0709 0.0498 0.4 0.0638 0.0425 0.4 0.0535 0.0326 0.4 0.0648 0.0479 0.4 0.1419 0.1063 0.4 0.1365 0.1030 0.4 0.1149 0.0860	TrainValieDropoutRMSEMAERMSE (eV) (eV) (eV) (eV) 0.40.07090.04980.09470.40.06380.04250.08720.40.05350.03260.08110.40.06480.04790.09720.40.14190.10630.13880.40.13650.10300.12340.40.11490.08600.1179	TrainValidationDropoutRMSEMAERMSEMAE(eV)(eV)(eV)(eV)0.40.07090.04980.09470.06820.40.06380.04250.08720.05980.40.05350.03260.08110.04670.40.06480.04790.09720.07020.40.14190.10630.13880.10480.40.13650.10300.12340.09820.40.11490.08600.11790.0913	Train Validation T Dropout RMSE MAE RMSE MAE RMSE RMSE (eV) (eV) (eV) (eV) (eV) (eV) (eV) (eV) 0.4 0.0709 0.0498 0.0947 0.0682 0.0690 0.4 0.0638 0.0425 0.0872 0.0598 0.0564 0.4 0.0535 0.0326 0.0811 0.0467 0.0417 0.4 0.0648 0.0479 0.0972 0.0702 0.0673 0.4 0.1419 0.1063 0.1388 0.1048 0.1693 0.4 0.1365 0.1030 0.1234 0.0982 0.1320 0.4 0.1149 0.0860 0.1179 0.0913 0.1132

Table S4. Parameter tuning of PorphyDMPNN and its performance on training, validation and
 test sets





Table S6.The learning curves of PorphyDMPNN on HOMO, LUMO and E_gap

4. PorphyDMPNN fine-tuned with the feature-based approach

116 This section shows the performance of PorphyDMPNN fine-tuned using the 117 feature-based approach rather than the fine-tuning approach shown in the main text. The 118 performance on the training and validation sets is shown in Table S7 and performance on 119 the test set is shown in Figure S9.

Table S7. The prediction performance of PorphyDMPNN fined by tge feature-based approach on
 training and validation sets

		Train		Validation		
	Energy type	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE	
		(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)	
	НОМО	0.0511	0.0381	0.0728	0.0517	
	LUMO	0.1500	0.1167	0.1730	0.1423	
	E_gap	0.1655	0.1329	0.1607	0.1288	
123 124						
125						
126						
127						
128						
129						
130						
131						
132						
133						



Figure S9. Performance of PorphyDMPNN fine-tuned with the feature-based approach in
 predicting HOMO, LUMO levels and E_gap. a) HOMO level, b) LUMO level, c) Energy gap
 (E_gap).

144 **5.** Performance of baseline models trained with PBDD or MpPD only

145 A BERT model was trained only with PBDD or MpPD to directly predict its HOMO and

146 LUMO energy levels and E_gap. The performance of the model is shown in Table S8

147 (training set and validation set) and Figure S10 (test set).

148

149

Table S8. Performance of BERT model trained only on PBDD or MpPD in predicting HOMO,
 LUMO level and E_gap (training and validation)

Training datasats	Tra	in	Validation		
Training uatasets	RMSE(eV)	MAE(eV)	RMSE(eV)	MAE(eV)	
НОМО					
Pure PBDD	0.0394	0.0291	0.0550	0.0409	
Pure MpPD	0.0858	0.0649	0.1011	0.0789	
LUMO					
Pure PBDD	0.0667	0.0558	0.0708	0.0599	
Pure MpPD	0.0824	0.0610	0.1476	0.1025	
E_gap					
Pure PBDD	0.0887	0.0743	0.1278	0.1105	
Pure MpPD	0.0551	0.0355	0.0714	0.0561	



154 Figure S10. BERT model trained only on PBDD (left) or MpPD (right) in predicting a) HOMO
155 energy, b) LUMO energy, and c) energy gap (E_gap).

Similarly, a DMPNN model was trained only on PBDD or MpPD and directly predict its
HOMO and LUMO energy levels and E_gap. The performance of the model is shown in
Table S9 (training set and validation set) and Figure S11 (test set).

Table S9.	Performance of DMPNN model trained only on PBDD or MpPD in predicting
	HOMO, LUMO level and E_gap

Training datagate	Tra	nin	Validation		
Training uatasets	RMSE (eV)	MAE (eV)	RMSE (eV)	MAE (eV)	
НОМО					
Pure PBDD	0.0468	0.0355	0.0491	0.0370	
Pure MpPD	0.0307	0.0200	0.0401	0.0254	
LUMO					
Pure PBDD	0.0627	0.0537	0.0609	0.0532	
Pure MpPD	0.0627	0.0457	0.0968	0.0592	
E_gap					
Pure PBDD	0.0966	0.0833	0.1002	0.0874	
Pure MpPD	0.0756	0.0462	0.0667	0.0490	







168 6. Random Forest as benchmark model



177 **References**

- 178 1. Shen, H.-M.; Hu, M.-Y.; Liu, L.; Qi, B.; Ye, H.-L.; She, Y.-B., Efficient and
- 179 selective oxidation of tertiary benzylic C-H bonds with O₂ catalyzed by
 180 metalloporphyrins under mild and solvent-free conditions. *Applied Catalysis A: General*181 2020, 599.
- 182 2. Chang, I. J.; Jeon, Y. S.; Hwang, K. J., Synthesis and Band Gap Analysis of
- 183 Designed Porphyrin Derivatives Containing Electron Donating and Accepting Group.
- 184 *Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society* **2019**, *40* (2), 173-179.
- 185 3. Porphyrin based dyes[DB/OL]. https://cmr.fysik.dtu.dk/dssc/dssc.html.