## **Supplementary information**

## Scalable Production of Foam-like Nickel-Molybdenum Coatings via Plasma Spraying as Bifunctional Electrocatalysts for Water Splitting

Xiuyu Wu,<sup>1</sup> Alexis Piñeiro García,<sup>1</sup> Mouna Rafei,<sup>1</sup> Nicolas Boulanger,<sup>1</sup> Esdras Josué Canto-Aguilar, and Eduardo Gracia-Espino.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden.

\*Corresponding author: Eduardo Gracia-Espino (eduardo.gracia@umu.se)

Current	Primary gas	Secondary gas	Power	Spray	Sample label	
(A)	(NL min <sup>-1</sup> )	(NL min <sup>-1</sup> )	(kW)	distance		
				(mm)		
500	35	0.5	20	250	NiMo 20kW@250mm	HER
600	35	0.5	24	250	NiMo 24kW@250mm	HER
750	50	2	38	250	NiMo 38kW@250mm	HER
500	35	0.5	20	200	NiMo 20kW@200mm	HER
500	35	0.5	20	250	NiMo 20kW@250mm	HER
500	35	0.5	20	300	NiMo 20kW@300mm	HER
400	20	0.5	16	100	NiMo 16kW@100mm	OER
400	20	0.5	16	150	NiMo 16kW@150mm	OER
400	35	0.5	17	100	NiMo 17kW@100mm	OER

Table S1. Spraying parameters and sample labels for samples tested for HER and OER.

**Table S2**. Double-layer capacitance calculated from CV obtained for three replicates of the sample NiMo 20kW@100mm in a solution 0.1 M NBu<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, before and after the Al leaching. The similar values of the capacitance calculated either with the cathodic or the anodic currents indicate a high coulombic efficiency and reproducibility of the measurements.

	Before Al leaching		After Al leaching	
n	C <sub>cathodic</sub> (F cm <sup>-2</sup> )	C <sub>anodic</sub> (F cm <sup>-2</sup> )	C <sub>cathodic</sub> (F cm <sup>-2</sup> )	C <sub>anodic</sub> (F cm <sup>-2</sup> )
1	0.0032	0.0031	0.0138	0.0146
2	0.003	0.0027	0.0132	0.0142
3	0.0035	0.0031	0.0155	0.016
Average $(\bar{x})$	0.0032	0.0030	0.0142	0.0149
Std. Deviation ( $\sigma$ )	0.0003	0.0002	0.0012	0.0009
Coefficient of	9.4 %	6.7%	8.4%	6.0%
variation (Cv)				

**Table S3.** Catalysts loading per square centimetre for samples sprayed at 20 kW but different distances (weighted area  $1 \times 4$  cm). Electrochemically active surface area (ECSA), and overpotential needed to achieve a current density of -50 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

Sample Label	Weight	ECSA	η <sub>50</sub>
	(mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(cm <sup>2</sup> per cm <sup>2</sup> of	(mV)
		geometric area)	
NiMo 20kW@100mm	200	1768.84	42
NiMo 20kW@200mm	126	1065.72	46
NiMo 20kW@250mm	90	573.58	62
NiMo 20kW@300mm	78	455.38	88

**Table S4**. Comparison of mass activity for different noble-metal free electrocatalysts for HER in 1 M KOH.

Catalyst	Overpotential (mV)	Mass activity (A g <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference.
NiMo 20kW@100mm	100	0.65	This work
Ni nanoparticles	50	0.28	[1]
3D-printed NiMo	100	0.32	[2]
Ni-Mo	200	0.28	[3]
Co Single-Atom-Catalyst	100	2.9	[4]
Co NPs	100	0.80	[4]
3D NiCu <sub>ed</sub>	-	0.24	[5]
MoSe <sub>2</sub> -NiSe <sub>2</sub> -CoSe <sub>2</sub> /PNCF	100	0.19	[6]
Mo-NiS/Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> -0.08 S	100	0.15	[7]
MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni	100	1.4	[8]

**Table S5.** Comparison of mass activity for different noble-metal free electrocatalysts for OER in 1 M alkaline electrolyte (KOH or NaOH).

Catalyst	Overpotential	Mass activity (A g <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference.
	(mV)		
NiMo 16kW@100mm	300	0.3	This work
SCoNC	310	0.278	[9]
FeCo nanoparticles	310	0.3	[10]
NiFe LDH/Cu(OH)2/Cu	370	0.153	[11]
Cu(OH)2/Cu	370	0.044	[11]
Co3O4/Co0.85Se/Co9Se8	300	0.29	[12]
Co0.5Ni0.5Fe2O4	300	119	[13]
NCoM-Cb-Ar	500	536.5	[14]

Potential (V vs. RHE)	R <sub>1</sub> (Ω)	R <sub>2</sub> (Ω)	R <sub>3</sub> (Ω)
1.436	0.42	0.36	17.59
1.461	0.40	0.31	4.92
1.486	0.40	0.22	1.03
1.511	0.40	0.36	0.20

**Table S6.** Value of the resistors used in the equivalent circuit model shown in Figure 10e (mainmanuscript) after fitting the Nyquist plots (Figure 10e) at different applied potentials.



*Figure S1. X-ray diffractogram of the initial powder showing features corresponding to individual Ni, Al, and Mo. No signs of Ni-Mo alloys were observed.* 



*Figure S2. XRD patterns of as-sprayed NiAlMo coatings at 250 mm distance and two different power values.* 



*Figure S3.* (a) X-ray diffractograms of 20kW@100mm with no Al-leaching, leaching for 0.5h, and leaching for 24h. (b) Polarization curves of the electrodes in (a) without iR-correction.



**Figure S4**. Cyclic voltammograms for NiMo 20kW@100mm coatings in a three electrodeelectrochemical cell. (a) **Before** and (b) **after Al leaching**. The measurements were performed using a working solution consisting of 0.1 M NBu<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (saturated with Ar), Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup> (0.1 NBu<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>, 0.01 M AgNO<sub>3</sub>) as reference electrode (0.54 V vs NHE), a Pt-wire as counter electrode and varying the scan rate from 5 to 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S5.** Double-layer capacitance for the electrodes **before and after Al leaching** calculated with the cathodic (0.114 V vs NHE) and anodic currents (0.317 V vs NHE) at different scan rates from the voltammograms in **Figure S4**. The similar currents observed in the cathodic and anodic sweeps indicate a high Coulombic efficiency of the charging/discharging processes at the electrode surface/electrolyte interface.



Figure S6. SEM images of NiMo 20kW@100mm sample (a-c) as-sprayed, and (d-f) after Al leaching.



Figure S7. Polarization curve of stainless-steel mesh in the potential range relevant for the HER.



**Figure S8.** Double layer capacitance measurements of NiMo samples sprayed at 20kW at different distances. The electrochemical surface area (ECSA) was calculated using ECSA =  $C_{dl}/40 \ \mu F \ cm^{-2}$ , where 40  $\mu F \ cm^{-2}$  is the charge density for a flat surface. The samples had an exposed geometric area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup>. The observed ECSA are 1768.84 cm<sup>2</sup>(20kW@100mm), 1065.72 cm<sup>2</sup> (20kW@200mm), 573.58 cm<sup>2</sup> (20kW@250mm), and 455.38 cm<sup>2</sup> (20kW@300mm).



*Figure S9.* Polarization curves of NiMo 20kW@100mm, 20kW@200mm, 20kW@250mm, and 20kW@300mm before iR-correction.



*Figure S10.* (a) iR-corrected polarization curve of 20kW@100mm measured up to -0.5 V vs RHE. (b) iR-corrected polarization curve of 16kW@100mm measured up to 1.83 V vs RHE after activation (1000 CVs).



**Figure S11.** Atomic models used to evaluate the hydrogen adsorption free energy of  $Ni_4Mo$ . (a-d) (101), (121), (211), and (110) surface. The adsorption energy was calculated at full hydrogen monolayer with the hydrogen atoms sitting at 3-fold fcc sites as expected for Ni-based alloys.



**Figure S12.** (a-b) Water adsorption on (101) and (111) surfaces of Ni. (c-d) Water dissociation into H and OH on (101) and (111) Ni surface. The dissociation energy  $(E_{diss})$  is evaluated as  $E_{diss} = E_{H-OH-surf} - E_{H2O-surf}$ , where  $E_{H-OH-surf}$  ( $E_{H2O-surf}$ ) is the energy of the H and OH (H<sub>2</sub>O) adsorbed onto the Ni surface.  $E_{diss}$  for Ni (101), and Ni(111) is -0.89 and -0.40 eV, respectively.  $E_{diss} < 0$  indicates favourable configuration.



**Figure S13.** (a-c) Water adsorption on (101), (110), and (121) surfaces of Ni<sub>4</sub>Mo. (d-f) Water dissociation into H and OH on (101), (110), and (121) surfaces of Ni<sub>4</sub>Mo. The dissociation energy  $(E_{diss})$  is evaluated as  $E_{diss} = E_{H-OH-surf} - E_{H2O-surf}$  where  $E_{H-OH-surf}$  ( $E_{H2O-surf}$ ) is the energy of the H and OH (H<sub>2</sub>O) adsorbed onto the Ni<sub>4</sub>Mo surface.  $E_{diss}$  for (101), (110), and (121) is -0.51, -0.96, and -1.16 eV, respectively.  $E_{diss} < 0$  indicates favourable configuration.



**Figure S14.** Polarization curves of NiMo 16kW@100mm, NiMo 16kW@150mm, and NiMo 17kW@150mm after 1000 CVs activation process, the polarization curves were recorded at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S15. X-ray diffractograms of NiMo 16kW@100mm as sprayed and after Al leaching.



Figure S16. SEM images of NiMo 16W@100mm (a-c) as-sprayed, and (d-f) after Al leaching.



*Figure S17. Polarization curve of stainless-steel mesh in the potential range relevant for OER.* 

## References

- Zhuang, Z., et al., Nickel supported on nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes as hydrogen oxidation reaction catalyst in alkaline electrolyte. Nature Communications, 2016. 7(1): p. 10141.
- 2. Bu, X., et al., *Remarkable gas bubble transport driven by capillary pressure in 3D printingenabled anisotropic structures for efficient hydrogen evolution electrocatalysts.* Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 2023. **320**: p. 121995.
- 3. Patil, R.B., et al., *Direct Observation of Ni–Mo Bimetallic Catalyst Formation via Thermal Reduction of Nickel Molybdate Nanorods*. ACS Catalysis, 2020. **10**(18): p. 10390-10398.
- Liu, X., et al., Identifying the Activity Origin of a Cobalt Single-Atom Catalyst for Hydrogen Evolution Using Supervised Learning. Advanced Functional Materials, 2021. 31(18): p. 2100547.
- Zhou, B., et al., *Thermal oxidation–electroreduction modified 3D NiCu for efficient alkaline hydrogen evolution reaction*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2021. 46(43): p. 22292-22302.
- 6. Wang, G., et al., *Trimetallic Mo–Ni–Co selenides nanorod electrocatalysts for highly-efficient and ultra-stable hydrogen evolution.* Nano Energy, 2020. **71**: p. 104637.
- Zhang, K., et al., Unveiling the synergy of polymorph heterointerface and sulfur vacancy in NiS/Ni3S2 electrocatalyst to promote alkaline hydrogen evolution reaction. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 2023. 323: p. 122144.

- Wang, A., et al., Flower-like MoS2 with stepped edge structure efficient for electrocatalysis of hydrogen and oxygen evolution. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2019. 44(13): p. 6573-6581.
- 9. Lei, C., et al., *Nanostructured Carbon Based Heterogeneous Electrocatalysts for Oxygen Evolution Reaction in Alkaline Media*. ChemCatChem, 2019. **11**(24): p. 5855-5874.
- Park, J.-H., J.C. Ro, and S.-J. Suh, *FeCo nanoparticles with different compositions as electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution reaction in alkaline solution.* Applied Surface Science, 2022. 589: p. 153041.
- 11. Babar, P., et al., *Towards highly efficient and low-cost oxygen evolution reaction electrocatalysts: An effective method of electronic waste management by utilizing waste Cu cable wires.* Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 2019. **537**: p. 43-49.
- 12. Ghosh, S., et al., *Inception of Co3O4 as Microstructural Support to Promote Alkaline Oxygen Evolution Reaction for Co0.85Se/Co9Se8 Network*. Inorganic Chemistry, 2020. **59**(23): p. 17326-17339.
- Maruthapandian, V., et al., Study of the Oxygen Evolution Reaction Catalytic Behavior of CoxNi1–xFe2O4 in Alkaline Medium. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2017. 9(15): p. 13132-13141.
- Gond, R., et al., Sodium Cobalt Metaphosphate as an Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction Catalyst in Alkaline Solution. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2019. 58(25): p. 8330-8335.