Static Embedding with Pair Coupled Cluster Doubles Based Methods

Rahul Chakraborty, Katharina Boguslawski, and Paweł Tecmer

Institute of Physics, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Grudziadzka 5, 87-100 Torun, Poland

Supplementary Information

S1 XYZ Coordinates of $H_2O \cdots NH_3$ Complex

Ν	-0.3247549	-1.0163481	0.2284577
Η	0.3853716	-1.6985302	0.3923495
Η	-0.9158405	-0.9874769	1.0326156
Η	-0.8749286	-1.3247542	-0.5458969
Ο	0.3171256	1.9052994	-0.5156991
Η	1.2106186	2.1227180	-0.3263054
Н	0.2024080	0.9990920	-0.2655214
H O H H	$\begin{array}{c} -0.8749286\\ 0.3171256\\ 1.2106186\\ 0.2024080 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -1.3247542\\ 1.9052994\\ 2.1227180\\ 0.9990920 \end{array}$	-0.5458969 -0.5156991 -0.3263054 -0.2655214

Table S1: XYZ Coordinates of the optimized $H_2O \cdots NH_3$ structure in Å.

S2 EOM-CCSD vertical excitation energies of the H-bonded structures

TableS2: Vertical excitation energies (in eV) of $H_2O \cdots NH_3$ calculated with EOM-CCSD and aug-ccpVDZ basis set. For each particular excitation, we show the VEE of the isolated source of excitation at the unrelaxed geometry of the complex.

Frag	gment	supramolecule
H_2O	NH ₃	
	6.42	6.86
7.55		7.47
	7.96	8.43
	7.96	8.48

TableS3: The environmental shifts (ΔE_{env}) in vertical excitation energies (in eV) for the H₂O · · · NH₃ complex calculated with EOM-pCCD-LCCSD and compared with EOM-CCSD values. ΔE_{env} is calculated as ($VEE_{supra} - VEE_{frag}$), where VEE_{supra} is the VEE of the supramolecule and VEE_{frag} is the same for the bare source of excitation at the unrelaxed geometry of the complex.

Source of excitation	EOM-pCCD-LCCSD	EOM-CCSD
NH ₃	+0.43	+0.44
H_2O	-0.10	-0.08
NH ₃	+0.46	+0.47
NH ₃	+0.51	+0.52

Table S4: Vertical excitation energies (in eV) of thymine in microlvation calculated with EOM-CCSD and aug-cc-pVDZ basis set. ΔE_{env} is calculated as $(VEE_{supra} - VEE_{frag})$, where VEE_{supra} is the VEE of the supramolecule and VEE_{frag} is the same for the bare thymine at the unrelaxed geometry of the complex.

Thymine	Thymine in microsolvation	$\Delta E_{ m env}$
5.34	5.86	+0.52
5.87	6.30	+0.43
6.77	7.15	+0.38

S3 Spin-Orbit Coupling



Figure S1: Comparison of Dirac–Hartree–Fock orbital energies of uranyl computed with different Hamiltonians: Dyall spin-free, (spin-orbit) Dirac–Coulomb, and Dirac–Coulomb–Breit (spin-other-orbit). The computations were performed with the Dirac2012 software package, aug-cc-pVTZ (O), and dyall.v3z (U) basis sets for U–O bond length of 1.708 Å.

S4 EOM-CCSD vertical excitation energies of uranyl tetrahalides

Table S5 shows the vertical excitation energies of the uranyl tetrahalides and the corresponding bare uranyl moieties. For the bare uranyl moieties, it is to be noted that the two δ_u orbitals are degenerate. We label them as δ_u and δ'_u to keep parity with the tetrahalides. We observe two transitions with both $\pi_u \to \delta_u$ and $\pi_u \to \delta'_u$ characters, in case of bare uranyls. For UO₂Br₄²⁻, the $\pi_u \to \delta'_u$ transition is not observed with the 15 roots targeted in our EOM-CCSD calculation.

Table S5: EOM-CCSD/ANO-RCC-VDZP vertical excitation energies (in eV) of uranyl and its tetrahalides. The transitions are labelled according to their transition characters and not in the order of energies. The bare UO_2^{2+} calculations are done at each uranyl tetrahalide geometry, removing the halide atoms. The values in boldface in the 3rd column are the corresponding EOM-pCCD-LCCSD excitation energies of the bare uranyl, given here for comparison. Environmental shifts in EOM-CCSD excitation energies of uranyl due to the tetrahalide environment are given in the parenthesis in the 4th column.

System	Character (Term)	UO_2^{2+}	$UO_2X_4^{2-}$
	$\sigma_u o \phi_u \ (^1 \Phi_g)$	3.02 (3.50)	4.22(1.20)
	$\sigma_u \to \delta_u \ (^1\Delta_g)$	3.39 (3.89)	$3.89\ (0.50)$
$UO F^{2-}$	$\sigma_u \to \delta'_u \ (^1\Delta_g)$	3.39 (3.89)	4.14(0.75)
00214	$\pi_u \to \delta_u \ ({}^1\Gamma_g)$	3.83 (4.82)	4.91 (1.08)
	$\pi_u \to \delta'_u \ (^1\Pi_g)$	3.92 (4.94)	5.24(1.32)
	$\sigma_u \to \phi_u \ (^1 \Phi_q)$	3.26 (3.68)	4.04(0.78)
	$\sigma_u \to \delta_u \ (^1\Delta_g)$	3.62 (4.05)	3.98(0.36)
$U_{0} O^{2-}$	$\sigma_u \to \delta'_u \ (^1\Delta_q)$	3.62 (4.05)	4.08(0.46)
$00_{2}0_{4}$	$\pi_u \to \delta_u \ ({}^1\Gamma_g)$	4.16 (5.02)	5.01 (0.85)
	$\pi_u \to \delta'_u \ (^1\Pi_g)$	4.25 (5.13)	5.08(0.83)
	$\sigma_u \to \phi_u \ (^1 \Phi_g)$	3.29 (3.69)	3.83(0.54)
	$\sigma_u \to \delta_u \ (^1\Delta_g)$	3.66 (4.07)	3.79(0.13)
UO Dr^{2-}	$\sigma_u \to \delta'_u \ (^1\Delta_g)$	3.66 (4.07)	3.87(0.21)
UU_2Dr_4	$\pi_u \to \delta_u \ ({}^1\Gamma_g)$	4.21 (5.05)	4.44 (0.23)
	$\pi_u \to \delta'_u \ (^1\Pi_g)$	4.30 (5.16)	_



S5 Orbital entropies and mutual information of uranyl tetrahalides

Figure S2: Single-orbital entropies of $UO_2F_4^{2-}$ and related models.



Figure S3: Single-orbital entropies of $UO_2Cl_4^{2-}$ and related models.



Figure S4: Single-orbital entropies of $UO_2Br_4^{2-}$ and related models.

System	Orbital	UO_2^{2+}	WFT-in-pc	WFT-in-DFT	Supramolecule
	σ	0.319	0.920	0.279	0.323
	- u	0.319	0.921	0.292	0.324
	π_u	0.470	0.373	0.443	0.371
		0.470	0.359	0.443	0.402
		0.469	0.512	0.442	0.420
$UO_2F_4^2$		0.469	0.526	0.442	0.421
	ϕ_u	0.082	0.087	0.085	0.209
		0.082	0.001	0.085	0.232
		0.002	0.200	0.000	0.202
	δ_u	0.095	0.095	0.090	0.097
	δ'_u	0.095	1.11	0.090	0.157
		0 202	0.281	0.973	0.266
	σ_u	0.202	0.284	0.273	0.260
		0.303	0.204	0.215	0.209
		0.434	0.362	0.417	0.373
		0.434	0.366	0.417	0.373
cu?	π_u	0.434	0.370	0.417	0.373
$UO_2Cl_4^{2-}$		0.434	0.371	0.417	0.373
	ϕ_u	0.086	0.084	0.022	0.255
		0.086	0.084	0.082	0.255
		0.080	0.092	0.082	0.200
	δ_u	0.094	0.092	0.092	0.092
	δ'_u	0.094	0.100	0.092	0.219
		0.300	0.279	0.269	0.260
	σ_u	0.300	0.290	0.270	0.264
		0.000	0.200	0.210	0.201
	π_u	0.435	0.344	0.411	0.346
		0.435	0.354	0.411	0.346
UO D $2-$		0.435	0.372	0.411	0.345
$UO_2Br_4^-$		0.435	0.375	0.411	0.345
		0 000	0.090	0.081	0.319
	ϕ_u	0.000	0.000	0.081	0.320
		0.033	0.000	0.001	0.020
	δ_{u}	0.094	0.091	0.091	0.078
	$\tilde{\delta'_u}$	0.095	0.101	0.092	0.211

Table S6: Single-orbital entropies $(s(1)_i)$ of the uranyl orbitals involved in the electronic spectra of the different moieties. The $s(1)_i$ values are calculated with the pCCD-LCCSD method.



(c) UO_2^{2+} -in-DFT (d) $UO_2F_4^{2-}$

Figure S5: Mutual information $(I_{i|j})$ of $UO_2F_4^{2-}$ and related models studied in this work. The orbitals are numbered as obtained after pCCD optimization. The $I_{i|j}$ values are calculated based on single-orbital entropies calculated with the pCCD-LCCSD method.



(c) UO_2^{2+} -in-DFT

(d) $UO_2Cl_4^{2-}$

Figure S6: Mutual information $(I_{i|j})$ of $UO_2Cl_4^{2-}$ and related models studied in this work. The orbitals are numbered as obtained after pCCD optimization. The $I_{i|j}$ values are calculated based on single-orbital entropies calculated with the pCCD-LCCSD method.



(c) UO_2^{2+} -in-DFT

(d) $UO_2Br_4^{2-}$

Figure S7: Mutual information $(I_{i|j})$ of $UO_2Br_4^{2-}$ and related models studied in this work. The orbitals are numbered as obtained after pCCD optimization. The $I_{i|j}$ values are calculated based on single-orbital entropies calculated with the pCCD-LCCSD method.