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Table S1 Calculated lattice constants of BAO, BN, MoS$_2$, and Gr, as well as the strain state of each component in eight FHSs. Here, the positive sign denotes tensile strain and the experimental lattice constants are also showed for demonstrating credibility of the results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Lattice constant</th>
<th>Lattice constant$^a$</th>
<th>Strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAO</td>
<td>$a = b = 5.363$ Å</td>
<td>$a = b = 5.375$ Å</td>
<td>$+1.4%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>$a = b = 2.505$ Å</td>
<td>$a = b = 2.500$ Å</td>
<td>$+8.6%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$</td>
<td>$a = b = 3.140$ Å</td>
<td>$a = b = 3.151$ Å</td>
<td>$0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gr</td>
<td>$a = b = 2.470$ Å</td>
<td>$a = b = 2.460$ Å</td>
<td>$+10.1%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Experimental lattice constants of BAO,$^1$ BN,$^2$ MoS$_2$,$^3$ and Gr.$^4$

---

Fig. S1 Schematic illustrating advantages of 2D materials: surfaces of (a) bulk and (b) 2D materials. The pristine interfaces (without out-of-plane dangling bonds) of 2D materials help reduce the interface traps. Mobile charge distribution in (c) bulk and (d) 2D crystals used as channel materials. $V(x)$ and $|\psi(x)|^2$ represent the potential and the probability density of the electronic charges, respectively. The carrier confinement effect in 2D materials leads to better gate control than bulk materials.$^5$

---

Fig. S2 PDOS of (a and c) BN interlayer and (b and d) MoS$_2$ overlayer in the (a and b) BAO Z+/BN/MoS$_2$ and (c and d) BAO Z+/BN/MoS$_2$ FHSs with five 1 × 1 Bi-Al-O$_3$ trilayers plus surface termination. Here, the PDOS of BN interlayer and MoS$_2$ overlayer after removing backside four trilayers of the
BAO(0001) slabs are also shown for comparison, the right insets show corresponding optimized FHSs (the FHSs after removing the backside four trilayers are marked by red short dash rectangles and the red arrows show the polarization directions), and the $E_f$ is set to the energy of 0 eV.

Fig. S3 PDOS of (a and c) BN interlayer and (b and d) MoS$_2$ overlayer in the (a and b) BAO $Z\sim$/BN/MoS$_2$ and (c and d) BAO $Z\sim$/BN/MoS$_2$ FHSs with five $1 \times 1$ Bi-Al-O$_3$ trilayers plus surface termination. Here, the PDOS of BN interlayer and MoS$_2$ overlayer after removing backside four trilayers of the BAO(0001) slabs are also shown for comparison, the right insets show corresponding optimized FHSs (the FHSs after removing the backside four trilayers are marked by red short dash rectangles and the red arrows show the polarization directions), and the $E_f$ is set to the energy of 0 eV.

Fig. S4 Real-space scatting states of (a and b) $n$MoS$_2$-BAO $Z^+/BN/MoS_2$, (c and d) $p$MoS$_2$-BAO $Z^+/BN/MoS_2$, (e and f) $n$MoS$_2$-BAO Z$^–$/BN/MoS$_2$, and (g and h) $p$MoS$_2$-BAO Z$^–$/BN/MoS$_2$ FeFETs at the $E_f$. 
Fig. S5 PDOS of (a and c) Gr interlayer and (b and d) MoS$_2$ overlayer in the (a and b) BAO Z$^\leftrightarrow$/Gr/MoS$_2$ and (c and d) BAO Z$^\downarrow$/Gr/MoS$_2$ FHSs with five 1 × 1 Bi-Al-O$_3$ trilayers plus surface termination. Here, the PDOS of Gr interlayer and MoS$_2$ overlayer after removing backside four trilayers of the BAO(0001) slabs are also shown for comparison, the right insets show corresponding optimized FHSs (the FHSs after removing the backside four trilayers are marked by red short dash rectangles and the red arrows show the polarization directions), and the $E_F$ is set to the energy of 0 eV.

Fig. S6 PDOS of (a and c) Gr interlayer and (b and d) MoS$_2$ overlayer in the (a and b) BAO Z$^\leftrightarrow$/Gr/MoS$_2$ and (c and d) BAO Z$^\uparrow$/Gr/MoS$_2$ FHSs with five 1 × 1 Bi-Al-O$_3$ trilayers plus surface termination. Here, the PDOS of Gr interlayer and MoS$_2$ overlayer after removing backside four trilayers of the BAO(0001) slabs are also shown for comparison, the right insets show corresponding optimized FHSs (the FHSs after removing the backside four trilayers are marked by red short dash rectangles and the red arrows show the polarization directions), and the $E_F$ is set to the energy of 0 eV.
Fig. S7 Schematic diagram of electron tunneling across the vertical interface. Here, the tunneling and Schottky barriers are two key factors to evaluate the electron injection efficiency from the source region to channel.6

Fig. S8 Real-space scattering states of (a and b) BAO $Z^+(Z^+\downarrow)/\text{Gr/MoS}_2$-MoS$_2$ and (c and d) BAO $Z^-(Z^\uparrow)/\text{Gr/MoS}_2$-MoS$_2$ FeFETs at the $E_F$.

Fig. S9 Planar and macroscopic averaged Coulomb potential of (a) BAO $Z^+(Z^+\downarrow)/\text{Gr/MoS}_2$-MoS$_2$ and (b) BAO $Z^-(Z^\uparrow)/\text{Gr/MoS}_2$-MoS$_2$ FeFETs. Here, the S (D) represents the source (drain) region and the red (blue) lines represent the devices before (after) polarization reversal.
Fig. S10 Comparison of work function $W$ of the BAO $Z^+(Z^+\downarrow)$ surface (with +1.4% tensile strain), BAO $Z^-(Z^\uparrow)$ surface (with +1.4% tensile strain), Gr layer (with +10.1% tensile strain), and MoS$_2$ layer (with 0 strain) before contact. Here, the black short dash lines represent $E_F$, the $E_{\text{Vac}}$ represents the vacuum electrostatic potential energy of an electron, the VBM and CBM of intrinsic ML-MoS$_2$ are marked by black solid lines, and the work function $W'$ (see the red short dash lines) of intrinsic Gr and BN layer with 0 strain is also showed to demonstrate credibility of the results.

Fig. S11 Band structures of $2 \times 2\sqrt{3}$ (a) ML-BN with 0 strain (blue solid line) and +8.6% tensile strain (red short dash line) and (b) Gr with 0 strain (blue solid line) and +10.1% tensile strain (black short dash line). Here, the $E_F$ is set to the energy of 0 eV and the high-symmetry points of Brillouin zone are shown in Fig. 2.
