Advancing Energy Storage through Solubility Prediction: Leveraging the Potential of Deep Learning

Mesfin Diro Chaka ^{©a,c,*}, Yedilfana Setarge Mekonnen ^{©b}, Qin Wu ^{©d}, Chernet Amente Geffe ^{©a}

^aDepartment of Physics, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Addis Ababa University, P. O. Box 1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia,

^bCenter for Environmental Science, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Addis Ababa University, P. O. Box 1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia,

^cComputational Data Science Program, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Addis Ababa University, P. O. Box 1176, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia,

^dCenter for Functional Nanomaterials, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA,

1

2

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: mesfin.diro@aau.edu.et (Mesfin Diro Chaka)

1. AqSolDB Dataset

	Experimental	
smiles	LogS(Mol/L)	
O=C([O-])CO.[NH4+]	1.701	
0ССССССО	1.626	
CC(N)=O	1.581	
CNC	1.558	
CN	1.369	
C1CN1	1.366	
CC=O	1.356	
NC=O	1.346	
CNN	1.337	
clcn[nH]cl	1.288	
C#CCO	1.251	
CC1CN1	1.243	
C=CCN	1.243	
NC1CC1	1.243	
O=CC=O	1.236	
C1COC1	1.236	
CCO	1.234	
CNC=O	1.229	
CC(C)N	1.228	
CCCN	1.228	
NCCN	1.221	
CN(C)N	1.221	
NCCO	1.214	
OCCO	1.207	
OCCF	1.193	
CN(C)C	1.178	
O=CO	1.176	
Clc1cc(Cl)c(Cl)c(-c2c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c2Cl)c1Cl	-10.412	
Clc1cc(Oc2cc(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c2Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c1Cl	-10.100	
Clc1cc(Oc2c(Cl)c(Cl)cc(Cl)c2Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c1Cl	-10.100	
Clc1cc(Cl)c(Oc2c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c2Cl)cc1Cl	-10.100	
Clc1cc(-c2c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c2Cl)cc(Cl)c1Cl	-9.700	
Clc1ccc(Oc2c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c2Cl)c(Cl)c1	-9.640	
Clc1cc(Oc2cc(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c2Cl)cc(Cl)c1Cl	-9.540	
Clc1cc(Cl)c(-c2c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c(Cl)c2Cl)cc1Cl	-9.500	

Table S 1: Randomly sampled smiles strings with their corresponding LogS in AqSolDB dataset





-3.6987

-3.695

-3.6949







-3.6929

-3.6912

-3.69















-3.6826



-3.6804

-3.68

Figure S 1: Some of randomly sampled molecules in AqSolDB dataset

S3

2. Explanantory Analysis



Figure S 2: The total number of heavy atoms in AqSolDB dataset used for the training



Figure S 3: Histogram of LogS, Molar mass and Numbber of atoms in AqSolDB dataset



Figure S 4: Pair Plot of Selected Descriptors and Experimental LogS



Figure S 5: The distribution of dominant functional groups in AqSolDB dataset a) polar functional groups b) non-polar functional groups

2.1. Pytorch_geometeric(Pyg) graph representation of AqSolDB from the SMILE Strings

Data(x=[290455, 30], edge_index=[2, 590090], edge_attr=[590090, 12], y=[8494, 1], smiles=[8494])

```
2.2. Target variable normalized to mean = 0 and std = 1
```

```
r_mean = mol_sol.data.y.mean()
r_std = mol_sol.data.y.std()
mol_sol.data.y = (mol_sol.data.y - r_mean) / r_std
print("Normalized LogS:\n",mol_sol.data.y)
```

```
Normalized LogS:
tensor([[ 0.8059],
[-0.0870],
```

```
[ 0.0549],
...,
[-1.8041],
[-0.1992],
[-1.3752]])
```

S6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.3. Sample atomic attributes

```
Sample atomic features in Pyg graph format:
 tensor([[0.0549, 0.0000, 0.0000, ..., 0.0464, 0.3704, 0.2632],
        [0.0549, 0.0000, 0.0000, \ldots, 0.0464, 0.3704, 0.2632],
        [0.0549, 0.0000, 0.0000, ..., 0.0464, 0.3704, 0.2632],
        . . . ,
        [0.0000, 0.0000, 1.0000, ..., 0.0000, 0.0000, 0.0000],
        [0.0000, 0.0000, 1.0000, \ldots, 0.0000, 0.0000],
        [0.0000, 0.0000, 1.0000, ..., 0.0000, 0.0000, 0.0000]])
2.4. Sample edge attributes
Sample edge features in Pyg graph format:
 tensor([[0., 0., 0., ..., 0., 0.],
        [0., 0., 0., \ldots, 0., 0., 0.],
        [1., 0., 0., \ldots, 0., 0.],
        . . . ,
        [1., 0., 0., \ldots, 0., 0., 0.],
        [1., 0., 0., \ldots, 0., 0.],
        [1., 0., 0., \ldots, 0., 0., 0.]])
3. MolGAT Model Implementation
MolGAT(
  (conv_list): ModuleList(
    (0): MolGATConv(30, 192, 12, heads=4)
    (1-2): 2 x MolGATConv(192, 192, 12, heads=4)
 )
  (fc_list): ModuleList(
    (0): Linear(in_features=384, out_features=384, bias=True)
    (1): Linear(in_features=384, out_features=192, bias=True)
    (2): Linear(in_features=192, out_features=192, bias=True)
  )
  (fc_out): Linear(in_features=192, out_features=1, bias=True)
)
Number of parameters: 699793
```

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

32

33

34

35

37

38

20

41

42

43

44

45

47

48

49

4. Training MolGAT Model



(a)

Figure S 6: Error loss plot during MolGAT model training on AqSolDB



Figure S 7: Distributions of log solubility, molar mass (g/mol), and number of atoms for molecules in screened data set with MolGAT.

4.1. Benchmarking

4.1.1. Random Forest(RF) model training



Figure S 8: Parity plot for Random Forest model with AqSolDB.



4.1.2. Message Passing Neural Network(MPNN) Model Training

Figure S 9: Parity plot for MPNN model with AqSolDB.





Figure S 10: Parity plot for GCM model with AqSolDB.

4.1.4. AttentiveFP Model Training



Figure S 11: Parity plot for AttentiveFP model with AqSolDB.

4.1.5. Graph attention Network(GAT) Model Training



Figure S 12: Parity plot for GAT model with AqSolDB.

5. Screened Dataset

5.1. Sample molecules from screened dataset

Table S 2: Sample smiles, reaction energy and logS from a total screened dataset using the trained molgat model

SMILES	Reaction energy(eV)	Predicted LogS(Mol/L)
CONC1 = C2C = C(C = CC2 = NC1 = O)[N+](=O)[O-]	-2.31088	-2.31388
COC1 = CC (= CN = C2C = CC3 = NC (= NC3 = C2)C4 = CC = CC = C4)C (= O)C (= C1)N(O)O(= C1)C = C1)C (= C1)C (= C1)C (= C1)C = CC = C1)C = CC = C1)C (= C1)C = CC = C1)C (= C1)C = CC = C1)C = CC = C1)C = CC = C1)C = CC = C	-2.30341	-2.66933
C1 = CC = C(C = C1)C2 = NC3 = CC(=NC = C4C = C(C = CC4 = O)N(O)O)C = CC3 = N2	-2.28478	-2.75551

56

57

58



S11

	Reaction energy(eV)	Predicted LogS(Mol/L)
SMILES		
$C1 = CC = C(C = C1)C2 = NC(=C3C = CC(=O)C(=C3)N(O)O) \\ N = C2C4 = CC = CC = C4$	-2.20292	-3.32659
C1 = CC2 = C(C(=C1)[N+](=O)[O-])C(=O)N = C2[O-]	-2.08322	-2.38020
C1 = CC2 = NC (= C3C = C(C = CC3 = O)N = CC4 = C(C (= CC = C4)N(O)O)O)N = C2C = C1	-2.06541	-3.33318
CC1 = CC(=CN = C2C = CC(=C3N = C4C = CC = CC4 = N3)C = C2)C(=O)C(=C1)N(O)O	-2.01272	-2.42499
C1 = CC2 = C3C (= CC (= N)C (= O)N3C4 = CC = C(C = C4)N(O)O)N = C2C = C1	-1.94489	-3.32238
C1 = CC2 = C3C(=C1)NC(=NC3 = CC = C2)C(=O)O	-1.78178	-3.04786
C1 = CC = C2C (= C1)C (= CC3 = C(N(C(=S)S3)CC(=O)[O-])O)C = N2	-1.76830	-3.55150
CC(=O)N = C1C = CC2 = NC(=NC(=S)NC(=O)C3 = CC = C(O3)C4 = CC = CC = C4N(O)O)SC2 = C1C = CC2 = C1C =	-1.75821	-3.09294
CC1 = CC = CC2 = C(C(=O)N = C12)C3 = C(N(C(=S)S3)CCC(=O)O)O	-1.71661	-3.48864
C1 = COC (= C1)C2 = NN3C (= N)C (= CC4 = CC = C(O4)[N+] (= O)[O-])C (= O)N = C3S2	-1.71067	-3.51928
C1 = CC = C2C (= C1)C (= CC3 = C(N(C(=S)S3)C(CCC(=O)[O-])C(=O)[O-])O)C = N2	-1.70025	-3.29246
CCCN[C@H](clcnccclC)C(C)(NH+](C)C	2.29304	-1.58715
CCCC[NH2+]CCOclcccnclC	2.29404	-1.31283
COclccsclCN[C@H]1CC[C@H]([NH+](C)C)C1	2.29995	-1.29218
Cc1cnccc1CC[NH2+][C@@H](C(C)C)C1CC1	2.30251	-3.13770
CC[NH+](CC)CC[C@H](N) c1cnccc1C	2.31325	-0.421087
CC[NH2+]C[C@@H](OclcccnclC)C(C)C	2.31491	-1.53976
COclccsclC[NH+](C)[C@H](C)C1(C)CC1	2.32320	-3.54068
Cc1ncccc1O[C@H](C)C[NH2+]CC(C)C	2.33822	-1.31810
CCC(C)(C)[NH2+]CCOclcccnclC	2.36882	-1.49300
CN[C@@H](c1cnccc1C)[C@]1([NH+](C)C)CCC[C@H](C)C1	2.79311	-2.82409
CC(C)(C)[NH2+]Cc1ccc(OCC[NH+]2CCCCC2)cn1	2.79488	-1.70223
CCC(CC)(CCO)CNc1cncc(Br)c1	2.81312	-3.69122
CC[C@H](C)COclccc(C[C@H]([NH3+])CC)nc1	2.83353	-1.78672
Cclccc(O[C@H](C)[C@@H](C)O)c(C[NH2+]CC(C)C)n1	2.85439	-1.72013
CC[C@@H](C)CN(CC)c1nc2c(s1)[C@H]([NH2+]C)CC(C)(C)C2	2.87374	-2.58608