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## Box sizes and CV settings

The first step is the chain initiation step as shown in Step I of Scheme 1. To obtain the free energy profiles, we conducted AIMD simulations on the $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ and EADC•CEE complex in a periodic box with dimensions of $15.0 \AA \times 15.0 \AA \times 15.0 \AA$. The initial configuration, illustrated in Fig. 1a, exhibits a $3.305 \AA$ distance between the $\mathrm{Cl14}$ and the Al atom. The distance between tertC atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ and metallic atoms ( Al ) of Lewis acid was set as CV 1 , and tert- C atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ and Cl atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ was used as CV2. A repulsive Gaussian potential hill with a height of 2.6 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a width of 0.2 was added.

The second step is the propagation step as shown in Step II of Scheme 1. It is not the aim to consider the role of the counterion. Therefore, we focused solely on the Gibbs free energy of the addition of carbocation to IB as shown in Scheme 2b. In the initial four chain propagations, CVs were established based on the distance between the end group $C$ atom on the olefin moiety and tert- C atom on the carbon positive ion, as well as their CN .

Specifically, for $N=1$, starting from an initial configuration placed in a periodic $12.0 \AA \times 12.0$ $\AA \times 12.0 \AA$ box, two key CVs were utilized (Fig. 3a): the distance between C5 and C10 (CV3) and their $\mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{CV} 4)$. Chose $2.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the hill height and 0.2 for the hill width. For $N=2$, the initial configuration was placed in a cubic periodic box of side $13.0 \AA$. The C7-C12 bond distance (CV5) and the CN (CV6) were selected as the CVs. The selected MTD parameters were a hill height of 0.3 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a hill width of 0.1 . For $N=3$, the periodic box size was set to $17.0 \AA \times 17.0 \AA \times 17.0 \AA$. The distance and CN of $\mathrm{C} 29-\mathrm{C} 38$ were selected as CV7 and CV8. The simulation utilized a Gaussian height of $0.3 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a hill width of 0.1 . For $N=4$, the initial configuration was placed in a cubic periodic box of side $17.0 \AA$. The selected MTD parameters were a hill height of $0.3 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a hill width of 0.1 . The distance and CN were selected as CV9 and CV10.

The CVs for reaction of $\mathrm{EADC} \cdot i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ are set to the distance of Al atom and tertC atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}(\mathrm{CV} 11)$ and the distance of $t e r t-\mathrm{C}$ atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ and Cl atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}(\mathrm{CV} 12)$

The CVs for reaction of EADC•CEEE with $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ are set to the distance of Al atom and tertC atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}(\mathrm{CV} 13)$ and the distance of tert- C atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ and Cl atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}(\mathrm{CV} 14)$.

The CVs for reaction of $\mathrm{GaCl}_{3} \cdot i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ are set to the distance of Ga atom and tertC atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}(\mathrm{CV} 15)$ and the distance of $t e r t-\mathrm{C}$ atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ and Cl atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ (CV16).

The CVs for reaction of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl} \cdot i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ are set to the distance of Al atom and tertC atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}(\mathrm{CV} 17)$ and the distance of tert- C atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}$ and Cl atom of $t-\mathrm{BuCl}(\mathrm{CV} 18)$. The CVs for reaction of $t-\mathrm{Bu}^{+}$with CEE are set to the distance of O atom and tert-C atom of $t-$ $\mathrm{Bu}^{+}(\mathrm{CV} 19)$ and their $\mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{CV} 20)$. The selection of CVs in solvent is the same as in vacuum.


Fig. S1 Plot of the Gaussian height added to the system along the MTD simulation.


Fig. S2 Gradual build-up of the 1D free energy profiles for convergence testing in vacuum for $N=1$.


Fig. S3 2D FESs for chain propagation reactions in vacuum from $N=2$ to 4 . The white line represents the MEP. The simulated temperature is 273K.


Fig. S4 2D FESs of initiation catalyzed by (a) EADC $\cdot i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and (b) EADC•CEEE, which were computed from MTD simulations in vacuum. The white line represents the MEP.


Fig. S5 2D FESs of the chain initiation and propagation steps in $n$-hexane. The initiations catalyzed by (a) EADC $\cdot \mathrm{CEE}$, (b) EADC $\cdot i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (c) EADC $\cdot \mathrm{CEEE}$; propagations from $N=$ (d) 1 , (e) 2 and (f) 3 . The white line represents the MEP.
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Fig. S6 Optimized structures of (a) CEE, (b) EADC•CEE and (c) tert-butyloxonium $\cdot \mathrm{EtAlCl}_{3}{ }^{-}$. The units for bond distances are given in $\AA$ and the NPA charges are in parentheses.

We have tried to search the transition states (TSs) structures using the static method. As shown in the following Fig. S7, we used the TSs structures obtained from the CP2K MTD calculations as the initial structures to search possible TSs by Gaussian 09 at the M062X/6-31G(d) level. However, we failed to identify these TSs. We failed to obtain the TS-I-2 and TS-II-1. The two optimized structures by Gaussian have no imaginary frequency. For TS-II-2 and TS-II-3, the two structures have the only imaginary frequency of $-93 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ and $-130 \mathrm{~cm}-1$, respectively. However, the vibrational mode of the imaginary frequency of the two TSs corresponds to the rotation of the methyl group. The present calculations shown that the classical static DFT strategy failed to describe the titled polymerization reactions.

TS structures obtained from the MTD by CP2K
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TS-II-2


TS-II-3
failed TS structures
optimized by Gaussian 09
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(without imaginary frequency)



TS-II-2
(with a wrong imaginary frequency of $-93 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ )


TS-II-3
(with a wrong imaginary frequency of $-130 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ )

Fig. S7 Initial structures by CP2Kand failed TSs structures using the static method.

We chose the $t-\mathrm{Bu}^{+}$, a reactant in present titled reaction, to test the different DFT methods. The calculated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length of the $t-\mathrm{Bu}^{+}$with different functionals were compared with the available experimental value from reference (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7240-7245.). As shown the following Table S1, the most DFT methods overestimate the bond length. But the differences between experimental value and DFT calculated length are small and could be accepted. The M062 X show good performance and then we chose the M06-2X the check the basis set effects on the bond distances. As shown in Table S2, the increments of basis sets have only a little effect on the results. The larger basis set is, the shorter bond distances. Considering the good performance and low computational cost, we think that the results at the M062X/6-31G(d) level are good enough for the present system. Therefore, all quantum mechanical calculations in present paper were calculated at the M062X/6-31G(d) level.

Table S1. Calculated $t-\mathrm{Bu}^{+} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length in angstroms using different DFT methods with the 631G(d) basis set.

| Exptl. $^{\text {a }}$ | M06-2X | WB97XD | B3LYP | BLYP | PBE0 | PW91 | PBE | TPSSH | X3LYP | TPSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.442 | 1.465 | 1.465 | 1.469 | 1.478 | 1.462 | 1.468 | 1.469 | 1.470 | 1.468 | 1.474 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ experimental value from reference (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7240-7245.)

Table S2. Calculated $t-\mathrm{Bu}^{+} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond length in angstroms using M06-2X method with different basis sets.

| Exptl. | $\mathbf{6 - 3 1 G}(\mathbf{d})$ | $\mathbf{6 - 3 1 + + G ( d , p )}$ | $\mathbf{6 - 3 1 1 G}(\mathbf{d})$ | $\mathbf{6 - 3 1 1 + + G ( d , p )}$ | cc-pVTZ | aug-cc-pVTZ | def2-SVP | def2-TZVP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.442 | 1.465 | 1.464 | 1.462 | 1.462 | 1.459 | 1.459 | 1.462 | 1.459 |

To give deeper understanding solvent effect of the titled reaction, some more calculations were then carried out. Herein, due to CP 2 K suite of program lacks the capability to export the values of non-polar or polar interaction terms, we then simply calculated the solvent effects using the SMD solvation model of the Gaussian 09 program. The SMD model is new continuum solvation model based on the polarized continuous quantum mechanical charge density of the solute and the " D " in the name stands for "density" (see J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 6378-6396). We made further analysis of the contributions of electrostatic and non-electrostatic portion in the solvation free energy only for two transition states (TS-I-2 and TS-II-1). It should be emphasized that this treatment can only provide rough or qualitative analyses.

Table S3. Analyses of the free energies in solvent $n$-hexane for TSs.

|  | TS-I-2 in initiation step | TS-II-1 in propagation step |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Non-electrostatic energy $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -30.6 | -12.7 |
| Electrostatic energy $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -76.6 | -126.8 |
| Percentage of electrostatic portion in total | 71 | 91 |
| free energy in solution (\%) | -107.2 | -139.5 |
| Total free energy in solution (kJ/mol) |  |  |

## Cartesian coordinates in angstroms of the optimized structures of CEE, EADC•CEE, and EADC•CEE/t-BuCl.

## CEE

O,0,-0.0016005801,1.2336910015,0.4384444626
C,0,-1.2899422786,1.0844315901,-0.1141455643
Н,0,-1.2790913796,1.2106363498,-1.2054827888
H,0,-1.9150207618,1.8733548345,0.3119042386
C, $0,1.0402142255,0.6813368903,-0.3302693728$
H,0,0.6734890464,-0.1016768534,-1.0072854074
H,0,1.5136241651,1.4650698586,-0.9410380727
C,0,2.0804804392,0.1009585088,0.6025106354
H,0,2.9660546312,-0.2194865482,0.0520167823
H,0,2.3604916019,0.8337017006,1.3601169223
C,0,-1.8577507808,-0.2794096438,0.2565939451
H,0,-1.2508639986,-1.0950955406,-0.1403589555
H,0,-1.9209494995,-0.3840591842,1.3398486633
Cl,0,-3.5091400445,-0.4508804806,-0.4191271474
Cl,0,1.4354484642,-1.3322777934,1.4670342893

## EADC•CEE

Al,0,1.6680527208,-0.0268022062,0.0229431687
O,0,-0.0877727242,-0.4286387013,-0.7376442217
C, $0,-0.6059833342,-1.7751533448,-0.8397353102$
Н, $0,-1.1002862459,-1.8715607166,-1.8106159973$
Н,0,0.2664211883,-2.429851609,-0.8163794391
C,0,-1.01613717,0.6490030333,-0.9850942571
$\mathrm{H}, 0,-1.8743245697,0.2386886785,-1.5247993106$
Н,0,-0.5023637907,1.3697244291,-1.6247587281
C,0,-1.4504950969,1.3043344275,0.3179177635
Н, $0,-0.6105585722,1.7776194377,0.8272714829$
Н,0,-1.9189489624,0.5949216339,1.0007429708
C,0,-1.5545782982,-2.0903995208,0.3044491396
Н,0,-1.0499947953,-1.9904460678,1.267164468
Н, $0,-2.4469832061,-1.4616851985,0.2827608101$
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-2.6435485955,2.5714122167,-0.0814420744$
Cl,0,-2.0981269255,-3.7798575234,0.1138376491
C,0,2.0763503793,1.7894447001,-0.556827912
Н, $0,2.0783346798,1.8263214763,-1.6554178762$
H,0,1.3232413529,2.5189130151,-0.2285107939
C,0,3.458336367,2.2249487095,-0.03348853
H,0,3.7270472201,3.2300378721,-0.3753030522
H,0,3.4839983808,2.2335254714,1.0615071005
H,0,4.2452241006, 1.543007082,-0.373413572

## tert-butyloxonium $\cdot$ EtAlCl $_{3}{ }^{-}$

Al,0,1.0084148413,-1.0214493673,-2.6268178092
Cl,0,1.908547077,0.7745133253,-1.7449963371
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-0.9972141614,-0.3673567514,-3.2863428324$
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,0.5847130745,-2.3267111458,-0.891232021$
C,0,2.0912944986,-1.8924408773,-3.99019601
H,0,1.5355971054,-2.7511292328,-4.3894185976
H,0,2.2273346696,-1.2032338379,-4.8337807821
C, $0,3.4587743179,-2.352175139,-3.4619164988$
H,0,3.3460879109,-3.0582738266,-2.631828774
H,0,4.0565257283,-2.8487514402,-4.2353590494
H,0,4.0451319091,-1.50518654,-3.0889495421
C,0,-3.1102300306,-0.3904741886,0.3754365338
C, $0,-2.9178261887,-1.7156658399,-0.3336918949$
Н,0,-2.602923533,-2.5128012151,0.3445648565
H,0,-3.8837470173,-2.0028395554,-0.7609946443
Н, $0,-2.1948462411,-1.6375140353,-1.1506194909$
C,0,-4.0760129798,-0.4364039717,1.5513694335
Н,0,-3.9337832929,-1.302459269,2.2009381997
Н,0,-4.0056117446,0.481734296,2.1419843949
Н,0,-5.0895630461,-0.5044148291,1.1471567232
C,0,-3.5139670581,0.7159795478,-0.5830179513
H,0,-3.6144026463,1.6740568969,-0.0655760102
H,0,-2.8424803563,0.7982360951,-1.4415932948
H,0,-4.4973579265,0.4444748059,-0.9766688994
O,0,-1.7511612571,0.0261574797,1.0016862311
C,0,-1.1652132358,-0.9455583192,1.9475334256
Н, $0,-0.4284861149,-1.5378513695,1.3987390424$
Н,0,-1.9788424438,-1.5847205288,2.2775077632
C,0,-0.7212874285,0.640010305,0.1222387245
H,0,0.2360975847,0.2956643663,0.5105193586
H,0,-0.8571110087,0.2305857859,-0.8786197025
C, $0,-0.797471344,2.148981483,0.1027831651$
H,0,0.1266664462,2.4956786255,-0.3644806213
Н, $0,-1.6432971805,2.5293769727,-0.4642175452$
C,0,-0.5825895268,-0.1950761969,3.1297814164
H,0,0.298580501,0.3895845888,2.8657099491
Н, $0,-1.3262638681,0.4591260789,3.5854766967$
$\mathrm{Cl}, 0,-0.0885892417,-1.4217031412,4.3286882552$
Cl,0,-0.8992451421,2.8498058252,1.7466120594

