Supporting Information

Investigation of Dynamical flexibility of D5SIC-DNAM inside DNA duplex in Aqueous Solution: A Systematic Classical MD Approach Tanay Debnath¹, G. Andres Cisneros^{1,2}

¹Department of Physics, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, USA

²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX,

USA



Figure S1. 3D representation of the crystal structures of DS-DN incorporated DNA in Taq polymerase in the post-insertion site.



Figure S2. A. DS-NB (left), RMSD (right) B. NB-DS, C. < UB-NB-NB values obtained from AMOEBA force fields.



Figure S3. DS-DN distance and RMSD values for A. MUD_{SYN} , B. MUD_{ANTI} , C. MUD_{PAR} and D. MUDL structures obtained from 1µs simulation employing AMBER force fields.



Figure S4. A) d₄₋₆, B) O-S, C) DS-NB, D) DN-NB, E) <NB-DS-DN, F) <NB-DN-DS values for MUD_{SYN} obtained from AMBER force fields.



Figure S5. A) d₄₋₆, B) O-S, C) DS-NB, D) DN-NB, E) <NB-DS-DN, F) <NB-DN-DS values for MUD_{ANTI} obtained from AMBER force fields.



Figure S6. A) d_{4-6} , B) O-S, C) DS-NB, D) DN-NB, E) <NB-DS-DN, F) <NB-DN-DS values for MUD_{PAR} obtained from AMBER force fields



Figure S7. *A*) *d*₄₋₆ , *B*) O-S, *C*) *DS-NB*, *D*) *DN-NB*, *E*) <*NB-DS-DN*, *F*) <*NB-DN-DS* values for MUDL obtained from AMBER force fields.



Figure S8. A) RMSD (left), RMSF (middle), d_{DS-DN} and B) d_{DS-NB} values of UUD structures obtained from obtained from 1µs simulation employing AMBER force fields.



Figure S9. Different conformers obtained from long UBP-incorporated DNA duplex.

Table S1. Fragmentation of energies at $\omega B97xD/6-311++G(d,p)$ between DS and DN. Energy values are given in kcal/mol.

UBP	Electrostatic	Dispersion	Induction	Exchange	Total
SYN	-8.8	-17.5	-3.3	18.9	-10.8
ANTI	-7.2	-15.3	-3.0	16.4	-9.1