

# Supplementary Materials: First-Principles Study of Li-Aluminosilicate Glass Scintillators

E. M. Ghardi<sup>a</sup>, A. Scrimshire<sup>b</sup>, R. Smith<sup>b</sup>, P. A. Bingham<sup>b</sup>, S. C. Middleburgh<sup>a</sup>, W. E. Lee<sup>a</sup>, and M. J. D. Rushton<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Nuclear Futures Institute, Bangor University, Gwynedd, LL57 2DG, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> Materials and Engineering Research Institute, College of Business, Technology and Engineering, Sheffield Hallam University, S1 1WB, Sheffield, UK

**Table S1:**

Calculated electronic band gap  $E_g$ , valence band maximum  $E_{VBM}$ , conduction band minimum  $E_{CBM}$  and obtained glass densities for 20 configurations of each glass compositions. In red, experimental values from Tyrrell [1].

Glass Model	GS2				GSR1				GSR2			
	$E_g$ (eV)	$E_{VBM}$ (eV)	$E_{CB}$ (eV)	$\rho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	$E_g$ (eV)	$E_{VBM}$ (eV)	$E_{CB}$ (eV)	$\rho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	$E_g$ (eV)	$E_{VBM}$ (eV)	$E_{CB}$ (eV)	$\rho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
<b>1</b>	1.924	4.008	5.932	2.77	3.041	2.088	5.129	2.35	2.272	2.747	5.019	2.61
<b>2</b>	1.468	4.014	5.482	2.74	2.563	2.637	5.2	2.34	2.209	3.562	5.771	2.57
<b>3</b>	2.569	3.181	5.75	2.72	2.897	2.945	5.842	2.56	3.195	2.465	5.66	2.57
<b>4</b>	2.889	3.045	5.934	2.73	2.274	2.622	4.896	2.40	2.577	2.579	5.156	2.54
<b>5</b>	2.076	3.879	5.955	2.76	2.55	3.037	5.587	2.44	2.731	2.383	5.114	2.49
<b>6</b>	2.403	2.837	5.24	2.59	2.718	2.799	5.517	2.48	2.363	2.978	5.341	2.43
<b>7</b>	2.389	3.916	6.305	2.85	2.576	3.378	5.954	2.56	2.689	3.043	5.732	2.58
<b>8</b>	2.738	3.293	6.031	2.80	2.389	3.301	5.69	2.49	2.38	3.135	5.515	2.48
<b>9</b>	2.569	3.369	5.938	2.76	2.572	3.176	5.748	2.50	3.023	2.898	5.921	2.63
<b>10</b>	2.578	3.261	5.839	2.70	2.218	3.129	5.347	2.40	3.028	2.36	5.388	2.46
<b>11</b>	2.404	3.073	5.477	2.65	2.24	3.203	5.443	2.51	2.585	1.864	4.449	2.41
<b>12</b>	1.733	3.911	5.644	2.66	2.244	3.005	5.249	2.48	2.716	2.826	5.542	2.54
<b>13</b>	2.065	3.481	5.546	2.67	2.711	2.952	5.663	2.49	2.221	3.376	5.597	2.55
<b>14</b>	3.09	3.018	6.108	2.82	2.557	2.849	5.406	2.45	2.699	2.593	5.292	2.47
<b>15</b>	2.223	3.389	5.612	2.67	2.755	2.639	5.394	2.50	3.018	2.326	5.344	2.48
<b>16</b>	2.58	3.287	5.867	2.75	2.073	2.93	5.003	2.46	2.839	2.985	5.824	2.60
<b>17</b>	2.103	3.599	5.702	2.71	2.708	2.661	5.369	2.39	3.169	2.382	5.551	2.51
<b>18</b>	1.918	3.833	5.751	2.71	2.362	3.047	5.409	2.43	1.955	2.987	4.942	2.62
<b>19</b>	1.916	3.963	5.879	2.74	2.74	3.121	5.861	2.54	2.227	3.275	5.502	2.49
<b>20</b>	1.929	3.948	5.877	2.75	2.773	2.265	5.038	2.48	2.331	2.671	5.212	2.52
<b>Average</b>	<b>2.281</b>	<b>3.515</b>	<b>5.793</b>	<b>2.73</b> <b>(2.66)</b>	<b>2.548</b>	<b>2.8892</b>	<b>5.437</b>	<b>2.46</b>	<b>2.611</b>	<b>2.771</b>	<b>5.393</b>	<b>2.53</b>
<b>Std dev.</b>	<b>0.092</b>	<b>0.087</b>	<b>0.055</b>	<b>0.014</b>	<b>0.057</b>	<b>0.074</b>	<b>0.067</b>	<b>0.014</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.093</b>	<b>0.078</b>	<b>0.014</b>

- [1] G.C. Tyrrell, Phosphors and scintillators in radiation imaging detectors, Nucl Instrum Methods Phys Res A. 546 (2005) 180–187.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2005.03.103>.