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## Preparation of chemicals



Sheme 1. Methods for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 c} \mathbf{- 1 e}, \mathbf{1 g}, \mathbf{1 h}$ and $\mathbf{1 j}$.
The substrates styrene oxide derivatives $\mathbf{1 c} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{1 g}, \mathbf{1 h}$ and $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ were prepared from commercially available substituted styrene via reaction with meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $m$-CPBA) ${ }^{1}$.


Sheme 2. Methods for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{1 f}, \mathbf{1 i}, \mathbf{1 k}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$.
The substrates $\mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{1 f}, \mathbf{1 i}, \mathbf{1 k}$ and $\mathbf{1 l}$ were prepared from the corresponding substituted 2-bromo-1-phenylethan-1-one by two-step reactions ${ }^{2}$.


Sheme 3. Methods for the synthesis of ( $R / S$ )-2a-2l.
Racemic 2a-2l were synthesized from epoxides 1a-11 using $I c H h e G$. The reaction system consisted of 40 mL Tris- $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ), $50 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ E. coli (IcHheG), 50 mM substrate, 75 mM NaOCN and $2.5 \%$ DMSO as a co-solvent. The bacteria were re-suspended, mixed and reacted at 200 rpm at 30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , and the reaction was terminated by adding the same amount of petroleum ether $(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The remaining aqueous phase was extracted three times with the same volume of ethyl acetate. After the organic phase was combined, it was cleaned three times with saturated salt water and deionized water respectively. The organic phase was dried by anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and then concentrated to obtain oxazolidinone. The crude products were purified by preparative TLC with the spreading solvent (nhexane/ethyl acetate 1:1). These purified racemic compounds were identified by NMR analysis.

General procedure for the Synthesis of chiral oxazolidinones by enzymatic kinetic resolution
The reaction system consisted of 40 mL Tris- $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ), $50 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ E. coli (IcHheGI104F/N196W), $15-50 \mathrm{mM}$ substrate, 1.5 eq NaOCN and $2.5 \%$ DMSO as a co-solvent. The wet cells with HHDHs were re-suspended, mixed and reacted at 200 rpm at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , and the reaction was extracted by petroleum ether $(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combine organic phase and dry with anhydrous sodium sulfate. The chiral epoxide ( $S$ )-1a-11 was obtained by evaporating under reduced pressure and confirmed by GC analysis.

The remaining aqueous phase was extracted three times with the same volume of ethyl acetate. The combined organic phase was washed with saturated salt water 3 times and deionized water 3 times. The organic layer was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain chiral oxazolidinones (S)-2a-2l. The crude products were purified by preparative TLC with the spreading solvent (n-hexane/ethyl acetate 1:1). Chiral oxazolidinones were identified by NMR and HPLC analysis.

## (S)-4-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (2a)

White solid, $136 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ yield, $96 \% e e$; Chiralpak IC, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}=80 / 20$, flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2 a}=27.3 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2 a}=40.5 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.45-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 6.42 (br. s., 1H), $4.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.93,139.46,129.13,128.73,125.97,72.49,56.32$.

## (S)-4-(2-tolyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2b)

White solid, $99 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ yield, $81 \% \mathrm{ee}$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2 b}=67.2 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2 b}=44.5 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.49-7.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.27-6.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.96,137.46,134.56,130.87,128.28$, 126.92, 124.67, 71.55, 53.11, 19.01 .
(S)-4-(3-tolyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2c)

White solid, $128 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ yield, $58 \% e e$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}=95 / 5$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2 c}=89.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2 c}=86.4 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.99-5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18$ (dd, $J=7.2,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.63,139.39,139.06,129.55$, 129.06, 126.62, 123.09, 72.54, 56.30, 21.37.

## (S)-4-(4-tolyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2d)

White solid, $188 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield, $66 \% e e$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2 d}=60.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2 d}=46.4 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.11-6.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.75$, 138.66, 136.41, 129.78, 125.95, 72.61, 56.14, 21.09.

## (S)-4-(2-fluorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2e)

White solid, $46 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ yield, $97 \% e e$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2 e}=36.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{e}=47.5 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.44-7.33$
(m, 1H), $7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.04-5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3\right) \delta 164.41,161.95$, $159.43,142.04,141.97,130.99,130.92,121.60,115.98,115.77,113.16,112.94,72.23,55.87$.
(S)-4-(4-fluorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2f)

White solid, $161 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ yield, $99 \% \mathrm{ee}$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2 f}=39.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2 f}=45.7 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.38-7.22$ (m, 2H), $7.10(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.99$ (br. s., 1 H$), 4.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22$ - $4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 164.09,161.62,159.54,135.18,135.18,127.87,127.79$, 116.30, 116.09, 72.50, 55.76.

## (S)-4-(2-chlorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2g)

White solid, $56 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%$ yield, $98 \% \mathrm{ee}$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2 g}=42.5 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2 g}=60.4 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.45-7.25(m, 3H), 6.52-6.37(m, 1H), 5.38 (br. s., 1 H$), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.99,137.25,132.05,129.90,127.63,126.12,71.29,53.22$.
(S)-4-(3-chlorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2h)

White solid, $169 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ yield, $98 \%$ ee; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\operatorname{PrOH}=95 / 5$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2 h}=104.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2 h}=120.7 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.42-$ $7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.40,140.44,134.92,130.27,129.07,125.76,123.65,48.13$.

## (S)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2i)

White solid, $162 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ yield, $98 \% \mathrm{ee}$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2}=53.2 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2}=58.2 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s} ., 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.68,137.94,134.69,129.39,127.40,72.33,55.76$.
(S)-4-(3-bromophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2j)

White solid, $115 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ yield, $98 \% e e$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}=64.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}=59.6 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.83,141.84,131.86,130.76,129.10,124.59$, 123.17, 123.15, 72.19, 55.73.

## (S)-4-(4-bromophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2k)

White solid, $192 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ yield, $98 \% e e$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2 k}=61.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2 k}=69.4 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.29$ (br. s., 1H), 4.93 (t, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.61,138.45,132.36,127.71,122.81,72.25$, 55.82.
(S)-4-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (21)

White solid, $111 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ yield, $97 \% e e$; Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}=80 / 20$, flow rate 0.4 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2 l}=36.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2 l}=44.8 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.53-7.41$ (m, 2H), 7.19 (dd, $J=1.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69$ (br. s., 1 H ), $4.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74$ (t, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.78,137.74,133.39,132.94$, 131.23, 128.09, 125.24, 72.05, 55.35.

Table S1 Oligonucleotide sequences used in this study.

| Target sites | Oligonucleotide sequences |
| :---: | :---: |
| Y18A | GCAACCGGTGCAGTTGGTCCGGCAC |
| 18R | CCAGGCATGCACTATCCAGGCGACC |
| V100A | GTGCATGCCTGGCAACCGGCCTGAT |
| T101A | GCATGCCTGGTGGCAGGCCTGATTG |
| L103A | GCCTGGTGACCGGCGCAATTGTTAC |
| I104A | GGTGACCGGCCTGGCAGTTACCGGCAAA |
| 100-104R | CCACTGCGCGAACAATACCATTGGC |
| T151A | GTGTGTTGTGTTTGCAAGTGCCACCGG |
| T154A | GTTTACCAGTGCCGCAGGCGGTCGTC |
| 151-154R | GGAACCTGGGCTTCAATCATTGCGC |
| T195A | GCAATTGGTGCAAATTATATGGATTTCCCG |
| N196A | GCAATTGGTACCGCATATATGGATTTCCCG |
| Y197A | GCAATTGGTACCAATGCAATGGATTTCCCG |
| F200A | CCAATTATATGGATGCACCGGGCTTTCT |
| 195-200R | GAAAACGATTACTACCATCCAGCAGGCC |
| L103G/I104A | GGTGACCGGCGGTGCAGTTACCGGCAAA |
| L103G/I104-R | CCACTGCGCGAACAATACCATTGGC |

The above primers were used for site-specific mutagenesis. The amino acid at each site mutates into 19 other amino acids, and the corresponding codon preferred by E. coli was selected to replace the redmarked codon.

Table S2 Summary of HHDHs catalyzed SO for the synthesis of oxazolidinone from the recent

| literature ${ }^{3,4}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry ${ }^{a}$ | HHDH | Substrate | Relative activity ${ }^{b}$ [\%] | Ratio 2a:3a | 2a $e e^{c}$ [\%] |
| $1^{3}$ | Control ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | SO | $<1$ | ND | ND |
| $2^{3}$ | CsHheA | SO | 31 | 26:74 | $32(R)$ |
| $3^{3}$ | CsHheB | SO | 66 | 12:88 | 79 (R) |
| $4^{3}$ | GbHheB | SO | 49 | 57:43 | 69 (R) |
| $5^{3}$ | ArHheC | SO | 94 | 41:59 | 72 (S) |
| $6^{3}$ | SsHheD | SO | 80 | 95:5 | 38 (R) |
| $7^{3}$ | EbHheD | SO | 72 | 96:4 | $42(R)$ |
| $8^{3}$ | NiHheG | SO | 35 | 97:3 | 65 (R) |
| $9^{3}$ | $A c$ HheG | SO | 57 | 99:1 | 34 (S) |
| $10^{3}$ | $A b H h e G$ | SO | 135 | 98:2 | 30 (R) |
| $11^{3}$ | IcHheG | SO | 100 | 91:9 | 31 (S) |
| $12^{4}$ | $I c$ HheG $^{\text {ef }}$ | $R$-SO | ND | ND | >99 (S) |
| $13^{4}$ | $I c \mathrm{HheG}^{\text {ef }}$ | S-SO | ND | ND | >99 (R) |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: 1 mL Tris-SO $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ), $1 \mathrm{a}(10 \mathrm{mM}), 1 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$, $\mathrm{NaOCN}(15 \mathrm{mM})$, wet cells of $E$. coli $(\mathrm{HHDH})(25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}), 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ The amount of product performed at 2.5 h was used to indicate the activity. As the positive control, the activity of $I c$ HheG was defined as $100 \%$ and the selectivity data are from the references. ${ }^{c}$ Absolute configurations were determined by comparison with references. ${ }^{d}$ Host E. coli BL21(DE3) cells without the HHDH gene were used. ${ }^{e}$ Configurations were defined using commercial enantiopure $(R)-2 \mathrm{a}$ and $(S)-2 \mathrm{a}$. The ee values were determined by chiral HPLC. ${ }^{f}$ Reaction conditions: PB buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ) 30 mL , cell density 15 g cdw/L, epoxides conc. $15 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{NaOCN}$ conc. 45 mM , reaction temperature $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reaction time 12 h . $\mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. All reactions were performed in triplicate.

Table S3 Alanine-scanning mutagenesis of wild-type $I c$ HheG with 1a. ${ }^{a}$

| Mutant | Relative activity (\%) | 2a:3a | 2a ee(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | $100^{\text {b }}$ | $93: 7$ | $33(S)$ |
| Y18A | 0 | - | - |
| L103A | 133 | $99: 1$ | $50(S)$ |
| I104A | 0 | - | - |
| T151A | 0 | - | - |
| A153L | 0 | - | - |
| T154A | 0 | - | ND |
| T195A | 6 | $95: 5$ | $34(R)$ |
| N196A | 43 | - | - |
| Y197A | 0 | - | - |
| F200A | 0 | - | - |

[^0]Table S4 Relative activity, regio- and stereoselectivity of mutants towards $\mathbf{1 a}$ by using resting cells. ${ }^{a}$

| Mutant | Relative activity (\%) | Ratio 2a:3a | 2a ee(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y18H | 82 | >99:1 | 82 (S) |
| Y18F | 122 | >99:1 | 65 (S) |
| L103G | 99 | >99:1 | 83 (S) |
| L103Q | 130 | >99:1 | 39 (S) |
| L103E | 121 | >99:1 | 39 (S) |
| L103T | 155 | 98:2 | $32(S)$ |
| L103W | 150 | 96:4 | 48 (S) |
| L103F | 67 | 98:2 | 71 (S) |
| L103V | 166 | 97:3 | $24(S)$ |
| L103Y | 148 | 99:1 | 40 (S) |
| L103D | 155 | 98:2 | 34 (S) |
| L103R | 127 | >99:1 | $51(S)$ |
| L103N | 129 | 98:2 | 56 (S) |
| L103M | 150 | 98:2 | 42 (S) |
| L103K | 137 | 97:3 | $50(S)$ |
| L103I | 125 | >99:1 | 34 (S) |
| L103S | 133 | >99:1 | 49 (S) |
| L103H | 134 | >99:1 | 44 (S) |
| I104N | 70 | 99:1 | 95 (S) |
| I104Y | 102 | 99:1 | $82(S)$ |
| I104T | 28 | 98:2 | 84 (S) |
| I104H | 105 | 97:3 | 75 (S) |
| I104L | 102 | 99:1 | 69 (S) |
| I104C | 100 | 99:1 | 65 (S) |
| I104Q | 70 | 99:1 | 83 (S) |
| I104M | 121 | >99:1 | 50 (S) |
| I104S | 44 | 96:4 | 91 (S) |
| I104E | 35 | 99:1 | 83(S) |
| I104F | 80 | 97:3 | 81 (S) |
| N196G | 102 | 95:5 | 30 (R) |
| N196C | 126 | 99:1 | 36 (S) |
| N196Y | 7 | ND | ND |
| N196Q | 2 | ND | ND |
| N196W | 82 | >99:1 | 75 (S) |
| N196L | 116 | 98:2 | 22 (S) |
| N196H | 67 | >99:1 | 60 (S) |
| N196F | 48 | >99:1 | 67 (S) |
| N196M | 15 | >99:1 | 22 (S) |
| N196S | 4 | ND | ND |

[^1]Table S5 Relative activity, regio- and stereoselectivity of multisite variants toward styrene oxide. ${ }^{a}$

| $I c$ HheG mutants | Relative activity(\%) | 2a:3a | 2a ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WT | 100 | 93:7 | 33 (S) |
| Y18F/L103K | 99 | >99:1 | 73 (S) |
| Y18F/L103F | 98 | >99:1 | 60 (S) |
| Y18F/L103H | 106 | >99:1 | 64 (S) |
| Y18F/L103D | 109 | >99:1 | 64 (S) |
| Y18F/L103R | 82 | >99:1 | 76 (S) |
| Y18F/L103E | 112 | >99:1 | $52(S)$ |
| Y18F/L103M | 97 | >99:1 | 72 (S) |
| Y18F/L103Q | 96 | >99:1 | 72 (S) |
| Y18F/L103N | 100 | >99:1 | 61 (S) |
| Y18F/L103C | 101 | >99:1 | 72 (S) |
| Y18F/L103G | 97 | >99:1 | 75 (S) |
| Y18W/N196W | 22 | 99:1 | 95 (S) |
| Y18H/N196W | 34 | 98:2 | 32 (R) |
| Y18M/N196W | 4 | ND | ND |
| Y18N/N196W | 26 | 97:3 | 96 (S) |
| Y18H/N196F | 23 | 96:4 | 12 (S) |
| Y18H/N196L | 13 | 94:6 | 15 (S) |
| Y18H/N196M | 55 | >99:1 | 37 (S) |
| Y18H/N196G | 31 | 95:5 | 67 (R) |
| L103G/L104N | 32 | 95:5 | 91 (S) |
| L103G/I104T | 61 | 95:5 | 88 (S) |
| L103G/I104F | 76 | 98:2 | 95 (S) |
| L103G/L104Y | 85 | 98:2 | 77 (S) |
| L103G/I104M | 125 | 96:4 | 62 (S) |
| L103G/I104R | 50 | 93:7 | 95 (S) |
| L103G/I104E | 25 | 90:10 | 87 (S) |
| L103G/I104G | 23 | 93:7 | 89 (S) |
| L103G/I104K | 35 | 92:8 | 89 (S) |
| L103G/T195S | 42 | 85:15 | 71 (S) |
| L103G/T195M | 30 | 99:1 | 94 (S) |
| L103G/T195W | 77 | 98:2 | 80 (S) |
| L103G/N196C | 204 | 98:2 | $49(S)$ |
| L103G/N196F | 54 | >99:1 | 76 (S) |
| L103G/N196H | 98 | >99:1 | 79 (S) |
| L103G/N196L | 150 | 97:3 | 49 (S) |
| L103G/N196M | 165 | 97:3 | 27 (S) |
| L103G/N196Q | 42 | 95:5 | 89 (S) |
| L103G/N196Y | 28 | 91:9 | 79 (S) |
| L103C/N196W | 136 | 97:3 | 79 (S) |
| L103D/N196W | 187 | 93:7 | 66 (S) |
| L103E/N196W | 154 | 97:3 | 57 (S) |


| L103H/N196W | 145 | $93: 7$ | $73(S)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L103M/N196W | 135 | $96: 4$ | $79(S)$ |
| L103N/N196W | 143 | $>99: 1$ | $76(S)$ |
| L103Q/N196W | 156 | $97: 3$ | $76(S)$ |
| L103S/N196W | 149 | $>99: 1$ | $77(S)$ |
| L103T/N196W | 146 | $>99: 1$ | $70(S)$ |
| L103Y/N196W | 112 | $>99: 1$ | $82(S)$ |
| L103A/N196W | 188 | $97: 3$ | $57(S)$ |
| L103G/N196W | 84 | $98: 2$ | $90(S)$ |
| I104H/N196W | 50 | $95: 5$ | $97(S)$ |
| I104Y/N196W | 72 | $97: 3$ | $96(S)$ |
| I104W/N196W | 87 | $99: 1$ | $91(S)$ |
| I104V/N196W | 92 | $99: 1$ | $85(S)$ |
| I104F/N196W | 73 | $>99: 1$ | $98(S)$ |
| I104C/N196W | 43 | $99: 1$ | $98(S)$ |
| I104G/N196W | 56 | $98: 2$ | $95(S)$ |

[^2]

1a


1 e

$1 i$


1b


1f


1j


1c


1 g


1k


1d


1h
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Fig. S1 Epoxide used as substrates in this study.


We established a large-scale biological reaction with epoxyethane $\mathbf{1 a}(1.2 \mathrm{~g})$ as the substrate. The reaction was continued for 6 hours with a substrate concentration of 50 mM . After treatment, the final yield was $38 \%(0.61 \mathrm{~g})$

The $e e$ was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak IC, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\operatorname{PrOH}=80 / 20$, flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $\lambda=210 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2 a}=27.3 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2 a}=40.5 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S2 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2a synthesized by $I c$ HheG, ( $S$ )-2a synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.


The ee was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2 b}=67.2 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2 b}=44.5 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S3 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2b synthesized by $I c$ HheG, ( $S$ )-2b synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.


The $e e$ was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\operatorname{PrOH}=95 / 5$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{c}=89.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{c}=86.4 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S4 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2c synthesized by $I c$ HheG, (S)-2c synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.

| 7010005000202020100 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{6} 5$ |  | 70 mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{15}{4}$ | 50 | ${ }_{65}$ | ${ }_{6}$ |  |  |  |
|  | ID\# | Ret.Time | Area | Height |  | Area \% |  |
|  | 1 | 46.399 | 4411.8 | 59.9 |  | 58.229 |  |
|  | 2 | 60.719 | 3164.8 | 32.8 |  | 41.771 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ 80 \\ 40 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45 | 50 | 55 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | ${ }^{65}$ |  | ${ }_{70} 70$ |
| ID\# |  | Ret.Time | Area | Height |  | Area \% |  |
| 1 |  | 46.362 | 1745.2 | 24.3 |  | 16.895 |  |
| 2 |  | 60.1 | 8584.5 | 87.3 |  | 83.105 |  |

The ee was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$-PrOH $=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2 d}=60.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2 d}=46.4 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S5 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2d synthesized by $I c$ HheG, ( $S$ )-2d synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.


| ID\# | Ret.Time | Area | Height | Area \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 36.803 | 716.9 | 11.1 | 62.358 |
| 2 | 46.742 | 432.7 | 5.8 | 37.642 |


| ID\# | Ret.Time | Area | Height | Area \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 36.739 | 2085.7 | 31.8 | 98.698 |
| 2 | 47.457 | 27.5 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1.302 |

The $e e$ was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\operatorname{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{e}=36.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{e}=47.5 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S6 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2e synthesized by $I c$ HheG, (S)-2e synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.


The $e e$ was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2 f}=39.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2 f}=45.7 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S7 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2f synthesized by $I c$ HheG, ( $S$ ) -2f synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.



| ID\# | Ret.Time | Area | Height | Area \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 42.492 | 29.5 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1.183 |
| 2 | 60.359 | 2462.7 | 26.1 | 98.817 |

The ee was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2 g}=42.5 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2 g}=60.4 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S8 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2g synthesized by IcHheG, (S)-2g synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.
(105.219

The ee was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i-\mathrm{PrOH}=95 / 5$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2 h}=104.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2 h}=120.7 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S9 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2h synthesized by $I c$ HheG, ( $S$ )-2h synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W


The ee was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{i}=53.2 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{i}=58.2 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S10 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2i synthesized by $I c$ HheG, $(S) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{i}$ synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.


The $e e$ was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{j}=64.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}=59.6 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S11 HPLC chromatograms of rac- $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}$ synthesized by $I c H h e G,(S) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{j}$ synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W


The $e e$ was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}=90 / 10$, flow rate 0.6 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2 k}=61.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2 k}=69.4 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S12 HPLC chromatograms of rac-2k synthesized by $I c$ HheG, ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 2 k}$ synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.


The ee was determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak OD-H, $n$-hexane $/ i$-PrOH $=80 / 20$, flow rate 0.4 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=220 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{t}(S)-\mathbf{2 l}=36.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}(R)-\mathbf{2 l}=44.8 \mathrm{~min})$.

Fig. S13 HPLC chromatograms of rac-21 synthesized by $I c$ HheG, ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 2 1}$ synthesized by mutant I104F/N196W.


| ID \# | RT (min) | Area \% | Area (pA•s) | Height (pA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.566 | 47.877 | 713.357 | 253.697 |
| 2 | 4.696 | 52.123 | 776.625 | 207.428 |



The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S14 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1a; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-1a by mutant I104F/N196W.


The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S15 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1b; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-1b by mutant I104F/N196W.


| ID \# | RT (min) | Area \% | Area (pA•s) | Height (pA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.989 | 48.897 | 232.551 | 42.449 |
| 2 | 8.256 | 51.103 | 243.046 | 38.559 |



The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S16 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1c; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-1c by mutant I104F/N196W.


| ID \# | RT (min) | Area $\%$ | Area (pA•s) | Height (pA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.028 | 49.569 | 1534.727 | 383.411 |
| 2 | 7.174 | 50.431 | 1561.407 | 286.935 |



| ID \# | RT (min) | Area \% | Area (pA•s) | Height (pA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.145 | 5.733 | 35.165 | 10.374 |
| 2 | 7.223 | 94.267 | 578.176 | 141.498 |

The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S17 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1d; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-1d by mutant I104F/N196W.


The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at 10 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S18 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1e; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-1e by mutant I104F/N196W.


| ID \# | RT (min) | Area $\%$ | Area (pA•s) | Height (pA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5.514 | 50.059 | 233.076 | 52.329 |
| 2 | 5.830 | 49.941 | 232.529 | 45.216 |



The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S19 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1f; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-1f by mutant I104F/N196W.


| ID \# | RT (min) | Area $\%$ | Area (pA $\cdot \mathrm{s})$ | Height $(\mathrm{pA})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.768 | 50.066 | 322.484 | 50.067 |
| 2 | 10.457 | 49.934 | 321.634 | 43.985 |



| ID \# | RT (min) | Area \% | Area (pA•s) | Height (pA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.809 | 45.413 | 54.530 | 8.337 |
| 2 | 10.519 | 54.587 | 65.546 | 9.648 |

The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S20 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1g; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-1g by mutant I104F/N196W.


The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S21 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1h; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-1h by mutant I104F/N196W.


The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S22 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1i; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-1i by mutant I104F/N196W.


The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S23 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1j; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of $\mathrm{rac} \mathbf{- 1 \mathbf { j }}$ by mutant I104F/N196W.


The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S24 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-1k; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of $\mathbf{r a c}-\mathbf{1 k}$ by mutant I104F/N196W.


The detection method is as follows: start at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, increase at $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ increase to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 minutes.

Fig. S25 Chiral GC chromatograms of rac-11; Chiral GC chromatogram analysis of biotransformation of rac-11 by mutant I104F/N196W.


Fig. S26 Docking analysis of $I c$ HheG with 1a.


Fig. S27 SDS-PAGE analysis of overexpression of the recombinant E. coli (IcHheG) and its mutants. Lane M: protein marker; Lane 1: the supernatant of E. coli (IcHheG); Lane 2: the deposit of E. coli (IcHheG); Lane 3: the supernatant of E. coli (N196W); Lane 4: the deposit of E. coli (N196W); Lane 5: the supernatant of $E$. coli $(\mathrm{L} 103 \mathrm{G})$; Lane 6: the deposit of $E$. coli (L103G); Lane 7: the supernatant of $E$. coli (I104F/N196W); Lane 8: the deposit of E. coli (I104F/N196W).


Fig. S28 SDS-PAGE analysis of the purification of $I c$ HheG and mutant I104F/N196W. Lane M: protein marker; Lane 1: purified mutant I104F/N196W; Lane 2: purified IcHheG.


Fig. S29: a: Structural comparison of $A b H h e G$ (sand) with $I c H h e G$ (deepblue). b: Structural comparison of HheA (green) with $I c$ HheG (deep blue). c: Protein sequence alignment of $I c \mathrm{HheG}, \mathrm{AbHheG}$ and HheA. Halide binding sites are marked with black box. The catalytic triad are marked by blue triangles. Residues $18(I c \mathrm{HheG}), 15(\mathrm{AbHheG})$ and $12(\mathrm{HheA})$ are marked by red triangle. Residues 104 (IcHheG), 90 ( AbHheG ) and 76 (HheA) are marked by red triangle. Residues 196 (IcHheG), 182 ( AbHheG ) and 178 (HheA) are marked by red triangle.


Fig. S30 Structural comparison of WT IcHheG (cyan) with mutant I104F/N196W (grey). Halide binding loop in WT IcHheG (Residues 195-201) is marked with deepblue. Halide binding loop in mutant I104F/N196W (Residues 195-201) is marked with yellow.


Fig. S31 NMR spectra copies of (S)-4-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (2a).


Fig. S32 NMR spectra copies of ( $S$ )-4-(o-tolyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2b).


Fig. S33 NMR spectra copies of ( $S$ )-4-(p-tolyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2c).
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Fig. S34 NMR spectra copies of ( $S$ )-4-( $p$-tolyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2d).



Fig. S35 NMR spectra copies of ( $S$ )-4-(2-fluorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2e).



Fig. S36 NMR spectra copies of ( $S$ )-4-(4-fluorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2f).


Fig. S37 NMR spectra copies of (S)-4-(2-chlorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2g).


Fig. S38 NMR spectra copies of (S)-4-(3-chlorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2h).


Fig. S39 NMR spectra copies of (S)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2i).


Fig. S40 NMR spectra copies of (S)-4-(3-bromophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2j).


4Br.001.esp


Fig. S41 NMR spectra copies of (S)-4-(4-bromophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2k).


Fig. S42 NMR spectra copies of (S)-4-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)oxazolidin-2-one (2I).

## References

(1) O. Mazimba, R. R. Majinda and I. B. Masesane, B. Chem. Soc. Ethiopia., 2011, 25, 299-304.
(2) (2) F. L. Li, Y. Y. Qiu, Y. C. Zheng, F. F. Chen, X. D. Kong, J. H. Xu and H. L. Yu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2020, 362, 4699-4706.
(3) C. H. Zhou, X. Chen, T. Lv, X. Han, J. H. Feng, W. D. Liu, Q. Q. Wu and D. M. Zhu, ACS. Catal., 2023, 13, 4768-4777.
(4) N. Wan, J. Tian, X. Zhou, H. Wang, B. Cui, W. Han and Y. Chen, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2019, 361, 4651-4655.


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Reactions were carried out in 1 mL Tris- $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ) containing E. coli (HHDH) wet cells $(50 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}), 1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ DMSO, $\mathbf{1 a}(10 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{NaOCN}(15 \mathrm{mM})$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200 \mathrm{rpm}$ for $3 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The activity of wild type $I c$ HheG towards $\mathbf{1 a}$ was defined as $100 \%$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ND means not detected.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Reactions were carried out in 1 mL Tris- $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ buffer $(50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5)$ containing $E$. coli (HHDH) wet cells $(50 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}), 1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ DMSO, $\mathbf{1 a}(10 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{NaOCN}(15 \mathrm{mM})$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 3 h .

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Reactions were carried out in 1 mL Tris-SO $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ buffer $(50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5)$ containing $E$. coli (HHDH) wet cells ( $50 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ DMSO, $\mathbf{1 a}(10 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{NaOCN}(15 \mathrm{mM})$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 3 h .

