Electronic Supplementary Information

Carboxamide functionality grafted entangled Co(II) framework as a unique hydrogen-bond-donor catalyst in solvent-free tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel condensation with pore-fitting-mediated size-selectivity

Partha Pratim Mondal^a, Nilanjan Seal^{a,b}, Manpreet Singh^{a,b}, Subhadip Neogi^{a,b,*}

^aInorganic Materials & Catalysis Division, CSIR-Central Salt & Marine Chemicals Research Institute, Bhavnagar, Gujarat 364002, India

^bAcademy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad- 201002, India **E-mail address*: <u>sneogi@csmcri.res.in</u>, <u>subhadip79@gmail.com</u>

1	Materials and Physical measurements	S2
2	Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography	S2
3	Fig. S1 ¹ H NMR spectrum of 1,3-di(pyridin-4-yl)urea in DMSO-d ₆ .	S 3
4	Fig. S2 13 C NMR spectrum of 1,3-di(pyridin-4-yl)urea in DMSO-d ₆ .	S 3
5	Fig. S3 (a) Asymmetric unit of CSMCRI-MOF-25. (b) Two-	S4
	dimensional (2D) layer of the MOF. (c) Topological representation	
	of CSMCRI-MOF-25. (d) Structure of the un-functionalized MOF	
	(UN-MOF). ORTEP diagram of (e) CSMCRI-MOF-25, (f) 1C and	
	(g) 3C .	
6	Fig. S4 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of as-synthesized and	S5
	activated CSMCRI-MOF-25 (inset shows the trapped water).	
7	Fig. S5 FT-IR profile of as-synthesized and activated CSMCRI-	S5
	MOF-25.	
8	Fig. S6 Adsorption isotherm of activated CSMCRI-MOF-25 for (a)	S 6
	N ₂ at 77 K and (b) CO ₂ at 195 K.	
9	Fig. S7 Hot-filtration test for tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel	S 6
	condensation reaction.	
10	Fig. S8 Recyclability test of the catalyst up to five cycles in tandem	S 7
	deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction, showing negligible loss in	
	catalytic activity.	
11	Fig. S9 PXRD pattern of the MOF, obtained after tandem	S 7
	deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction.	
12	Fig. S10 FT-IR profile of the MOF, obtained after tandem	S 8
	deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction.	
13	Fig. S11 FE-SEM image of the MOF (a) before and (b) after tandem	S 8
	deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction.	
14	Fig. S12 XPS survey spectra of the MOF before and after tandem	S9
	deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction.	
15	Fig. S13 to S15 ¹ H NMR of the catalytic products of tandem	S9-S10
	deacetalization-Knoevenagel condensation.	
16	Fig. S16 to S18 13 C NMR of the catalytic products of tandem	S11-S12
	deacetalization-Knoevenagel condensation.	
17	Fig. S19 ¹ H NMR spectrum of the aliquot, withdrawn after 3 h of	S 13
	reaction.	

Table of contents

18	Fig. S20 Comparison of PXRD patterns of UN-MOF (simulated and	S14
	as-synthesized).	
19	Fig. S21 FT-IR profile of (MOF+1A) and (MOF+benzaldehyde).	S14
20	Table S1. Crystal data and refinement parameters for CSMCRI-	S14
	MOF-25 , 1C and 3C	
21	Table S2. A comparison of catalytic activity of CSMCRI-MOF-25	S16
	with various other systems in tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel	
	condensation reaction	
22	References	S17

Materials and Physical measurements

All the solvents and reagents were purchased from commercial sources (except H₃TCA) and used without further purification. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected using a PANalytical Empyrean (PIXcel 3D detector) system equipped with Cu K α (λ =1.54 Å) radiation. The Fourier Transform Infrared-spectra (IR) of the samples were recorded using the KBr pellet method on a Perkin–Elmer GX FTIR spectrometer in the region of 4000–400 cm⁻¹. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) (heating rate of 5 °C/min under N₂ atmosphere) were performed with a Mettler Toledo Star SW 8.10 system. Surface area measurement was carried out using Quantachrome Autosorb IQ instrument. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance-II 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM) and Transmission Electron Microscopic (TEM) images were obtained with a JEOL JSM 7100F and JEOL, JEM 2100 instrument, respectively. XPS analysis was carried out using a monochromatic Al K α X-ray as an excitation source. Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis was measured by Perkin Elmer, Optima 2000.

Single Crystal X-ray Crystallography

Single crystals with suitable dimensions were chosen under an optical microscope and mounted on a glass fibre for data collection. The crystal data for CSMCRI-MOF-25 were collected on a Bruker D8 Quest diffractometer, with CMOS detector in shutter less mode. The crystals were cooled to low temperature using an Oxford Cryostream liquid nitrogen cryostat. The instrument was equipped with a graphite monochromatized MoK α X-ray source ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), with Triumph[™] X-ray source optics. Data collection and initial indexing and cell refinement were handled using APEX II software.¹ Frame integration, including Lorentz-polarization corrections, and final cell parameter calculations were carried out using SAINT+ software.² The data were corrected for absorption using the SADABS program.³ Decay of reflection intensity was monitored by analysis of redundant frames. The structure was solved using Direct methods and difference Fourier techniques. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All H atoms were placed in calculated positions using idealized geometries (riding model) and assigned fixed isotropic displacement parameters. The SHELXL-2014 package within the OLEX2 crystallographic software⁴ was applied for structure refinement with several full-matrix least-squares/difference Fourier cycles.⁵ The disordered guest solvent molecules in the crystal lattice were treated with solvent mask option in OLEX2 software.⁴ The potential solvent accessible void space was calculated using the PLATON⁶ software. The crystal and refinement data for CSMCRI-MOF-25 is listed in Table S1. Topological analysis

was performed by using ToposPro software.⁷ Structures of reactant molecules were drawn and optimized using Avogadro software.⁸

Synthesis of the ligand. The ligand was prepared and characterized according to literature reported method.⁹



Fig. S1 ¹H NMR spectrum of 1,3-di(pyridin-4-yl)urea in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S2¹³C NMR spectrum of 1,3-di(pyridin-4-yl)urea in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S3 (a) Asymmetric unit of **CSMCRI-MOF-25**. (b) Two-dimensional (2D) layer of the MOF. (c) Topological representation of **CSMCRI-MOF-25**. (d) Structure of the unfunctionalized MOF (**UN-MOF**). ORTEP diagram of (e) **CSMCRI-MOF-25**, (f) **1C** and (g) **3C**.



Fig. S4 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of as-synthesized and activated **CSMCRI-MOF-25** (inset shows the trapped water).



Fig. S5 FT-IR profile of as-synthesized and activated CSMCRI-MOF-25.



Fig. S6 Adsorption isotherm of activated **CSMCRI-MOF-25** for (a) N_2 at 77 K (inset shows pore-size distribution) and (b) CO₂ at 195 K.



Fig. S7 Hot-filtration test for tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel condensation reaction.



Fig. S8 Recyclability test of the catalyst up to five cycles in tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction, showing negligible loss in catalytic activity.



Fig. S9 PXRD pattern of the MOF, obtained after tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction.



Fig. S10 FT-IR profile of the MOF, obtained after tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction.

a)



b)



Fig. S11 FE-SEM image of the MOF (a) before and (b) after tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction.



Fig. S12 XPS survey spectra of the MOF before and after tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel reaction.



Fig. S13 1 H NMR of the model product (1C) of tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel condensation.



Fig. S14 ¹H NMR of *p*-bromobenzyledine malononitrile (**2C**).



Fig. S15 ¹H NMR of *p*-methoxybenzyledine malononitrile (**3C**).



Fig. S16 13 C NMR of the model product (1C) of tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel condensation.



Fig. S17 ¹³C NMR of *p*-bromobenzyledine malononitrile (**2C**).



Fig. S18 13 C NMR of *p*-methoxybenzyledine malononitrile (3C).



Fig. S19 ¹H NMR spectrum of the aliquot, withdrawn after 3 h of reaction, showing the formation of intermediate benzaldehyde.



Fig. S20 Comparison of PXRD patterns of UN-MOF (simulated and as-synthesized).



Fig. S21 FT-IR profile of (**MOF-25a+1A**) and (**MOF-25a**+benzaldehyde), showing decrease in N-H band intensity along with its and shifts towards lower wavenumber, corroborating H-bonding interaction between N-H groups of **MOF-25a** and **1A** and/or benzaldehyde.

Identification code	CSMCRI-MOF-25	1C	3C
Empirical formula	C ₂₈ H ₂₅ CoN ₅ O ₆	$C_{10}H_6N_2O$	$C_{11}H_8N_2O$
Formula weight	586.47	154.17	184.20
Temperature/K	293(2)	301.15	298.0
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	P21/c	P2 ₁ /c	P2 ₁ /c
a/Å	10.7124(4)	9.3728(14)	3.9384(12)
b/Å	21.0705(9)	3.9812(7)	24.989(7)
c/Å	12.0672(4)	22.161(4)	9.674(3)
α/°	90	90	90
β/°	99.579(4)	93.573(5)	90.874(12)
γ/°	90	90	90
Volume/Å ³	2685.78(17)	825.3(2)	952.0(5)
Z	4	4	4
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.4503	1.2407	1.2850
µ/mm ⁻¹	0.691	0.077	0.085
F(000)	1214.3	320.1	384.2
Crystal size/mm ³	$0.14 \times 0.09 \times 0.02$	$0.78 \times 0.203 \times 0.06$	0.9 imes 0.5 imes 0.06
Radiation	Mo K α (λ = 0.71073)	Mo Kα (λ = 0.71073)	Mo Kα (λ = 0.71073)
2 Θ range for data collection/°	5.1 to 50	4.36 to 60.94	4.52 to 49.98
Index ranges	$-16 \le h \le 13, -25 \le k$	$-13 \le h \le 13, -5 \le k \le$	$-5 \le h \le 5, -34 \le k \le 34,$
	\leq 31, -17 \leq 1 \leq 18	5, $-31 \le 1 \le 31$	$-13 \le l \le 13$
Reflections collected	20283	11923	55753
Independent reflections	4720 [$R_{int} = 0.0346$,	2513 [$\mathbf{R}_{int} = 0.0772$,	1677 [$\mathbf{R}_{int} = 0.1089$,
	$R_{sigma} = 0.0507$]	$R_{sigma} = 0.0670$]	$R_{sigma} = 0.0428$]
Data/restraints/parameters	4720/82/410	2513/0/134	1677/0/128
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.053	1.062	2.157
Final R indexes $[I - 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0497, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.0610, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.1348, wR_2 =$
	0.1290	0.1511	0.4278
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0566, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.1354, wR_2 =$	$R_1 = 0.1367, wR_2 =$
	0.1382	0.2069	0.4305
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	1.20/-0.58	0.29/-0.27	0.54/-0.70

 Table S1. Crystal data and refinement parameters for CSMCRI-MOF-25, 1C and 3C

Determination of formula & solvent composition of CSMCRI-MOF-25 from PLATON Squeeze and Thermogravimetric analysis data

From the TGA plot of as-synthesized CSMCRI-MOF-25, the observed mass loss is 10.4 %

From PLATON Squeeze program void electron count / unit cell comes out to be 0

As DMF, and Methanol were used as solvents during the synthesis of the MOF, so the void space should be occupied by these lattice solvent molecules.

Now, formula of the asymmetric unit excluding guest solvents is [Co(BPDC)(L)] and mass of this asymmetric unit is 513.37.

Considering the above mentioned number of electrons, the best possible combination of solvent molecules for **CSMCRI-MOF-25** could be [Co(BPDC)(L)]·DMF

The aforementioned combination was further cross-checked from TGA analysis.

Mass loss due to lattice solvents is $[(73 \times 1)] = 73$

Therefore, total mass of CSMCRI-MOF-25 including lattice solvents is = 586.37

Mass loss due to lattice DMF molecules thereafter is $[(73\times1)/586.37] \times 100 \% = 12.45 \%$ which is in good agreement with that of the experimental TGA results.

D.4			\$79.11	D
Entry	Catalyst	Solvent/temperature/time/		Keierences
		catalyst amount	(%)	
1.	$[Cd_3(L)_2(dpa)(DMA)(H)]$	Solvent-free/ 60 °C / 4 h/	>99	Mater. Today
	2 O)]	1.18 mol%		Chem. 2022 , 26,
				101064
2.	$(CH_3)_2NH_2 \cdot [Co_3(TCA)_2]$	DMSO-d ₆ /60 °C/ 6 h/ 1.26	97.08	ACS Appl. Mater.
	$(\mu_2-OH)(bpy)1.5(H_2O)_3$]	mol %		Interfaces 2021 , 13,
				28378-28389
3.	MIL-101(Cr)@CS in	Acetonitrile/ 80 °C/ 12 h/ 50	99	ACS Appl. Nano
	situ growth method	mg		<i>Mater</i> . 2020 , <i>3</i> ,
	[MIL-101(Cr)/CS =			6316-6320
	2.86]			
4.	MIL-101(Al/Fe)-	Toluene/ 90 °C/ 1.5 h/ 20	99.8	Catal. Sci. Technol.
	NH ₂ (20:1)	mg		2020 , <i>10</i> , 315–322
5.	(PCN-222-Co@TpPa-1)	DMSO-d ₆ /50 °C/ 10 h/ 5 mg	99.3	Chem. Commun.
				2019 , <i>55</i> , 6377
				6380
6.	$[Cd_3(C_8H_3SO_7)_2-$	DMF/ 90 °C/ 5 h/ 0.56	84	Cryst. Growth Des.
	$(C_{10}H_8N_4)_3(C_3H_7NO)_2]($	mol%		2019 , <i>19</i> , 747–755
	$C_3H_7NO)_2 \cdot (CH_3OH)_4$			
7.	UiO-66@SNW-1	DMSO-d ₆ /80 °C/ 12 h/ 50	99.6	Inorg. Chem. 2018,
		mg		57, 14467-14470

Table S2. A comparison of catalytic activity of **CSMCRI-MOF-25** with various other systems in tandem deacetalization-Knoevenagel condensation reaction

8.	Cu-HNUST-8	DMSO/50 °C/48 h/0.5	99	Inorg.
		mol%		Chem. Front. 2018,
				5, 2355-2363
9.	Sm-BDC-NH ₂	DMSO/ 50 °C/ 24 h/ 2	76	Inorg. Chem. 2018,
		mol%		57, 2193–2198
10.	PBSA/Cr-MIL-101 +	DMSO+water/ 70 °C/ 12 h/	89	Chem Eur. J.
	PMAP/Cr-MIL-101	5 mol%		2018 , <i>24</i> , 9903–
				9909
11.	JUC-199	1,4-Dioxane/90 °C/ 4 h/ 100	≥99.9	J. Mater. Chem. A
		mg		2016 , <i>4</i> , 15240–
				15246
12.	Cr-MIL-101-AB-x	DMF/ 90 °C/ 2 h/ 4 mol%	>91	Inorg. Chem. 2016,
				55, 5753–5755
13.	PCN-124	DMSO-d ₆ /50 °C/ 12 h/ 0.5	~100	Chem Comm. 2012,
		mol %		48, 9995
14.	CSMCRI-MOF-25	Solvent-free/ 60 °C / 6 h/ 10	>99	This work
		mol%		

References

- 1 APEX2, v. 2014.7-1; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2014.
- 2 SAINT, v. 8.34A; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2013.
- 3 SADABS, v. 2014/3; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2013.
- 4 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339–341.
- 5 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL Version 2014/7. https://shelx.uniac.gwdg.de/SHELX/index.php.
- 6 A. L. Spek, *PLATON*; The University of Utrecht: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1999.
- 7 http://www.topos.ssu.samara.ru; V. A. Blatov, IUCrCompCommNewsletter 2006, 7, 4.
- 8 M. D. Hanwell, D. E. Curtis, D. C. Lonie, T. Vandermeersch, E. Zurek and G. R. Hutchison, *J. Cheminform.*, 2012, **4**, 17 (DOI: 10.1186/1758-2946-4-17).
- 9 M. Singh and S. Neogi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2023, **62**, 871-884.