Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Note added after first publication

This file replaces the version published on 16th January 2024. Since the first publication of this article, the Dalton Transactions Editorial Office became aware of some errors with the crystallographic data which this file rectifies, revised cif files have also been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC).

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton *Transactions*. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Supplementary Information for

Syntheses, crystal structures and MMCT properties of the

diruthenium-based cyanido-bridged Ru₂^{V/VI} -NC-Ru^{II} complexes

Ting-Ya Li, ^{a, b} Shao-Dong Su,^a Yong He, ^{a, b} Xin-Tao Wu^a and Tian-Lu Sheng ^{*a}

^a State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P.R. China
^b School of Chemical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences. Beijing, 100049, P.R. China

Table of Contents

1. Crystallographic data

Table S1: Selected bond lengths and angles of Ru₂ (ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)Cl and [Ru₂ (ap-4-

Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF₆]

Table S2: Selected bond lengths and angles of 2[PF₆] and 2[PF₆]₂

Table S3: Selected bond lengths and angles of 3[PF₆] and 3[PF₆]₂

Table S4: Crystallographic data and a summary of the structural refinements for Ru2(ap-4-Me)3(CH3COO)CI and

[Ru₂ (ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF₆]

Table S5: Crystallographic data and a summary of the structural refinements for $1[PF_6]$ and $1[PF_6]_2$ Table S6: Crystallographic data and a summary of the structural refinements for $2[PF_6]$ and $2[PF_6]_2$ Table S7: Crystallographic data and a summary of the structural refinements for $3[PF_6]_2$ and $3[PF_6]_2$

2. Magnetic properties

Fig. S1: The magnetic susceptibility plots of complexes Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)Cl and [Ru₂(ap-4-

Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF₆]

Fig. S2: The magnetic susceptibility plots of complexes 1[PF₆], 2[PF₆] and 3[PF₆]

Fig. S3: The magnetic susceptibility plots of complexes 1[PF₆]₂, 2[PF₆]₂ and 3[PF₆]₂

3. Electrochemical Properties

Fig. S4: Cyclic voltammograms properties of complex 2[PF₆] and 3[PF₆]

Fig. S5: Cyclic voltammograms properties of complex CpRu^{II}(dppe)CN and CpMeRu^{II}(dppe)CN

Fig. S6: Cyclic voltammograms properties of complex CpMe₅Ru^{II}(dppe)CN and Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)CI

4. The IR spectra

Fig. S7: The IR spectra of **2[PF₆]n**, **3[PF₆]n** (n=1, 2) at room temperature.

Fig. S8: The IR spectra of Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)Cl and [Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF₆] at room temperature.

5. UV-vis-NIR spectroscopy

Fig. S9: The UV-vis-NIR spectra of complexes Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)Cl and [Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF₆] in CH₂Cl₂ solution

Fig. S10: The Gaussian peak fitting of the UV/Vis/NIR spectra of 2[PF₆] and 3[PF₆] in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature.

Fig. S11: The Gaussian peak fitting of the UV/Vis/NIR spectra of **2[PF₆]₂** and **3[PF₆]₂** in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature.

6. TDDFT calculations

Fig. S12: Molecular orbital diagrams for MMCT transitions of 2[PF₆]

Fig. S13: Molecular orbital diagrams for MMCT transitions of 3[PF₆]

Fig. S14: Molecular orbital diagrams for MMCT transitions of 2[PF₆]₂

Fig. S15: Molecular orbital diagrams for MMCT transitions of $3[PF_6]_2$

Fig. S16: The computed spin density distribution of complexes $2[PF_6]$ and $2[PF_6]_2$

Fig. S17: The computed spin density distribution of complexes $3[PF_6]$ and $3[PF_6]_2$

Table S8: Summary of TDDFT calculations

	Ru₂(ap-4- Me)₃(CH₃COO)Cl		[Ru₂(ap-4- Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF ₆]	
Ru1-Ru2	2.2853(4)		2.2862(6)	
Ru1- N1	2.032(2)	2.025	1.970(5)	1.972
Ru1- N3	2.009(2)		1.965(5)	
Ru1- N5	2.036(2)		1.980(6)	
Ru2- N2	2.115(2)	2.096	2.063(5)	2.063
Ru2- N4	2.061(2)		2.048(6)	
Ru2- N6	2.112(2)		2.078(6)	
Ru1-01	2.079(2)	2.083	2.092(5)	2.080
Ru2-O2	2.087(2)		2.068(5)	
Ru1-Cl1	2.4678(7)			
Ru1-Ru2 -Cl1	172.85(2)			

Table S1. Selected bond lengths (Å), the distance between the metal centers (Å)and bond angle (°) of Ru₂ (ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)Cl and [Ru₂ (ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF₆]

Table S2. Selected bond lengths (Å), the distance between the metal center (Å) and bond angle (°) of $2[PF_6], 2[PF_6]_2$

	2[PF ₆]	2 ⁺ average	2[PF ₆] ₂	2 ²⁺ average
Ru1-Ru2	2.2786(4)		2.3026(4)	
Ru1- N1	2.019(3)	2.013	1.977(4)	1.967
Ru1- N3	2.011(3)		1.955(4)	
Ru1- N5	2.009(3)		1.969(3)	
Ru2- N2	2.095(3)	2.085	2.090(4)	2.063
Ru2- N4	2.046(3)		2.029(4)	
Ru2- N6	2.116(3)		2.070(3)	
Ru1-O1	2.098(3)	2.096	2.097(3)	2.088
Ru2-O2	2.094(2)		2.080(3)	
Ru3-P1	2.2788(11)	2.2721	2.2676(11)	2.2775
Ru3-P2	2.2665(10)		2.2874(11)	
Ru3-C1	1.961(4)		1.923(4)	
Ru2- N7	2.166(3)		2.097(3)	
CEN	1.164(5)		1.183(6)	
Ru2-N7-C1	145.8(3)		146.8(3)	
Ru3-C1-N7	171.0(3)		172.3(4)	

	3[PF ₆]	3 ⁺ average	3[PF ₆] ₂	3 ²⁺ average
Ru1-Ru2	2.2859(4)		2.2978(4)	
Ru1- N1	2.044(3)	2.021	1.975(3)	1.978
Ru1-N3	2.002(3)		1.965(3)	
Ru1- N5	2.016(3)		1.993(3)	
Ru2- N2	2.097(3)	2.075	2.086(3)	2.072
Ru2- N4	2.042(3)		2.033(3)	
Ru2- N6	2.087(3)		2.096(3)	
Ru1-O1	2.077(2)	2.082	2.088(3)	2.080
Ru2-O2	2.087(3)		2.073(3)	
Ru3-P1	2.2911(10)	2.2886	2.2905(17)	2.2837
Ru3-P2	2.2862(10)		2.277(2)	
Ru3-C1	1.967(4)		1.968(4)	
Ru2- N7	2.155(3)		2.128(3)	
CEN	1.164(5)		1.153(5)	
Ru2-N7-C1	159.1(3)		161.5(3)	
Ru3-C1-N7	176.3(3)		176.9(4)	

Table S3. Selected bond lengths (A), the distance between the metal center (Å)and bond angle (°) of 3[PF₆]_3[PF₆]2
------------------------------------	--	---

	Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)Cl	[Ru₂ (ap-4- Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF ₆]
Empirical formula	$C_{38}H_{36}CIN_6O_2Ru_2$	$C_{38}H_{38.6}F_6N_6O_{3.8}PRu_2\\$
Formula weight	846.32	987.26
Temperature/K	298	293(2)
Crystal system	orthorhombic	triclinic
Space group	Pbca	<i>P</i> -1
a/Å	18.459(3)	10.5385(5)
b/Å	19.596(3)	14.2065(6)
c/Å	20.090(3)	14.4085(6)
α/°	90	93.838(3)
β/°	90	110.782(4)
γ/°	90	95.421(3)
Volume/Å3	7267(2)	1996.07(16)
Z	8	2
pcalcg/cm3	1.547	1.643
µ/mm⁻1	0.947	4.829
F(000)	3416.0	992.0
Crystal size/mm3	0.3 × 0.2 × 0.2	0.3 × 0.3 × 0.2
Radiation	ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)	GaKα (λ = 1.3405)
20 range for data collection/°	5.808 to 56.558	5.738 to 104.082
Index ranges	-24 ≤ h ≤ 24, -26 ≤ k ≤ 26, -26 ≤ l ≤ 26	-12 \leq h \leq 12, -14 \leq k \leq 16, -16 \leq l \leq 16
Reflections collected	86591	21253
Independent reflections	9003 [R _{int} = 0.0597, R _{sigma} = 0.0271]	6787 [Rint = 0.1195, Rsigma = 0.1074]
Data/restraints/parameters	9003/0/513	6787/24/520
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.184	1.043
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	R1 = 0.0386, wR2 = 0.0931	R1 = 0.0636, wR2 = 0.1667
Final R indexes [all data]	R1 = 0.0411, wR2 = 0.0950	R1 = 0.0921, wR2 = 0.1799
.argest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	0.78/-0.68	0.89/-1.13

Table S5.Crystallographic data for 1[PF6]n (n = 1, 2)				
	1[PF ₆]	1[PF ₆] ₂		
Empirical formula	$C_{73}H_{71}Cl_6F_6N_7O_2P_3Ru_3$	$C_{70}H_{65}F_{12}N_7O_2P_4Ru_3\cdot 2CH_2CI_2$		
Formula weight	1765	1861.23		
Temperature/K	100.00(10)	100(2)		
Crystal system	triclinic	triclinic		
Space group	<i>P</i> -1	<i>P</i> -1		
a/Å	10.88399(16)	10.36790(10)		
b/Å	17.8080(3)	13.51430(10)		
c/Å	19.4153(3)	27.3364(2)		
α/°	82.5338(14)	99.9230(10)		
β/°	80.6957(13)	92.2530(10)		
γ/°	89.2357(13)	103.0040(10)		
Volume/Å3	3682.01(10)	3663.99(6)		
Z	2	2		
pcalcg/cm3	1.591	1.687		
µ/mm-1	5.213	5.252		
F(000)	1776.0	1868.0		
Crystal size/mm3	$0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.2$	0.4 × 0.3 × 0.3		
Radiation	micro-focus metaljet (λ = 1.3405)	GaKα (λ = 1.3405)		
O range for data collection/°	4.044 to 105.854	5.726 to 107.708		
Index ranges	-12 ≤ h ≤ 12, -20 ≤ k ≤ 21, -23 ≤ l ≤ 23	-12 \leq h \leq 11, -14 \leq k \leq 16, -32 \leq l \leq 32		
Reflections collected	19940	38602		
Independent reflections	19940 [Rint = ?, Rsigma = 0.0099]	13438 [Rint = 0.0722, Rsigma = 0.0513]		
Data/restraints/parameters	19940/6/958	13438/89/1028		
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.030	1.045		
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	R1 = 0.0558, wR2 = 0.1541	R1 = 0.0581, wR2 = 0.1687		
Final R indexes [all data]	R1 = 0.0575, wR2 = 0.1563	R1 = 0.0617, wR2 = 0.1718		
argest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	2.06/-1.60	1.94/-1.83		

Table S6. Crystallographic data for 2[PF ₆]n (n = 1, 2)				
	2[PF ₆]	2[PF ₆] ₂		
Empirical formula	$C_{74.4}H_{74.8}CI_{0.8}F_6N_7O_2P_3Ru_3\\$	$C_{75}H_{76}CI_2F_{12}N_7O_2P_4Ru_3$		
Formula weight	1637.49	1833.41		
Temperature/K	300.01(14)	100(2)		
Crystal system	triclinic	triclinic		
Space group	P-1	<i>P</i> -1		
a/Å	10.9565(3)	10.59036(15)		
b/Å	15.0440(3)	13.36324(19)		
c/Å	22.5663(4)	27.4852(4)		
α/°	75.615(2)	100.1569(12)		
β/°	81.746(2)	91.5001(12)		
γ/°	86.590(2)	101.5263(12)		
Volume/Å ³	3564.56(14)	3743.96(10)		
Z	2	2		
pcalcg/cm ³	1.526	1.626		
μ/mm -1	4.405	4.705		
F(000)	1662.0	1850.0		
Crystal size/mm ³	0.4 imes 0.3 imes 0.2	0.5 × 0.2 × 0.1		
Radiation	Ga Kα (λ = 1.3405)	GaKα (λ = 1.3405)		
20 range for data collection/°	5.274 to 107.71	5.692 to 107.708		
Index ranges	-13 \leqslant h \leqslant 13, -18 \leqslant k \leqslant 18, -26 \leqslant l \leqslant 27	-12 ≤ h ≤ 12, -16 ≤ k ≤ 16, -33 ≤ l ≤ 32		
Reflections collected	46023	46448		
Independent reflections	13012 [Rint = 0.0424, Rsigma = 0.0362]	13705 [Rint = 0.0392, Rsigma = 0.0343]		
Data/restraints/parameters	13012/8/854	13705/0/918		
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.035	1.051		
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	R1 = 0.0421, wR2 = 0.1109	R1 = 0.0466, wR2 = 0.1106		
Final R indexes [all data]	R1 = 0.0477, wR2 = 0.1137	R1 = 0.0533, wR2 = 0.1132		
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	0.80/-0.78	1.87/-1.05		

Table S7. Crystallographic data for 3[PF ₆]n (n = 1,2)			
	3[PF ₆]	3[PF ₆] ₂	
Empirical formula	$C_{81}H_{89.5}CI_3F_6N_7O_{2.5}P_3Ru_3\\$	$C_{78}H_{82}F_{12}N_7O_2P_4Ru_3\\$	
Formula weight	1817.56	1804.59	
Temperature/K	100.00(10)	293(2)	
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	
Space group	P-1	C2/c	
a/Å	13.7545(2)	61.4094(4)	
b/Å	16.2220(2)	11.13090(10)	
c/Å	19.0188(2)	26.7856(2)	
α/°	92.2200(10)	90	
β/°	101.8150(10)	99.9850(10)	
γ/°	105.3120(10)	90	
Volume/Å3	3986.82(9)	18031.8(2)	
Z	2	8	
pcalcg/cm3	1.514	1.329	
µ/mm⁻1	4.412	3.490	
F(000)	1853.0	7320.0	
Crystal size/mm3	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$	0.4 imes 0.4 imes 0.3	
Radiation	Ga Kα (λ = 1.3405)	micro-focus metaljet (λ = 1.3405)	
20 range for data collection/°	4.146 to 107.71	5.938 to 107.708	
Index ranges	-16 \leq h \leq 16, -19 \leq k \leq 19, -22 \leq I \leq 15	-73 ≤ h ≤ 73, -13 ≤ k ≤ 13, -32 ≤ l ≤ 3:	
Reflections collected	41509	61780	
Independent reflections	14600 [Rint = 0.0562, Rsigma = 0.0366]	16407 [Rint = 0.0261, Rsigma 0.0196]	
Data/restraints/parameters	14600/141/892	16407/180/973	
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.046	1.075	
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	R1 = 0.0567, wR2 = 0.1521	R1 = 0.0564, wR2 = 0.1752	
Final R indexes [all data]	R1 = 0.0580, wR2 = 0.1537	R1 = 0.0607, wR2 = 0.1793	
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å-3	2.86/-2.27	0.72/-0.55	



Fig.S1 Temperature dependence (2–300 K) of magnetic moments of solid sample Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)CI (left) and [Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF₆] (right).



Fig. S2 Temperature dependence (2–300 K) of magnetic moments of solid sample 1[PF₆] (left), 2[PF₆] (middle) and 3[PF₆] (right).



Fig. S3 Temperature dependence (2–300 K) of magnetic moments of solid sample $1[PF_6]_2$ (left), $2[PF_6]_2$ (middle) and $3[PF_6]_2$ (right).



Fig. S4 Cyclic voltammograms of $2[PF_6]$, $3[PF_6]$ in a 0.1 M dichloromethane solution of Bu₄NPF₆ at a scan rate of 100 mV S⁻¹ at room temperature.



Fig. S5 Cyclic voltammograms of CpRu^{II}(dppe)CN (left) and CpMeRu^{II}(dppe)CN (right) in a 0.1 M dichloromethane solution of Bu₄NPF₆ at a scan rate of 100 mV S⁻¹at room temperature.



Fig. S6 Cyclic voltammograms of $CpMe_5Ru^{II}(dppe)CN$ (left) and $Ru_2(ap-4-Me)_3(CH_3COO)CI$ (right) in a 0.1 M dichloromethane solution of Bu_4NPF_6 at a scan rate of 100 mV S⁻¹at room temperature.



Fig S7. IR spectra of 2[PF₆]n, 3[PF₆]n (n=1, 2) at room temperature.



Fig S8. IR spectra of Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)Cl and [Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF₆] at room temperature.



Fig. S9 UV/Vis/NIR spectra of complexes Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)Cl and [Ru₂(ap-4-Me)₃(CH₃COO)(OH)][PF₆] in CH₂Cl₂ solution.



Fig. S10 The Gaussian peak fitting of the UV/Vis/NIR spectra of 2[PF₆] and 3[PF₆] in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature.



Fig. S11 The Gaussian peak fitting of the UV/Vis/NIR spectra of $2[PF_6]_2$ and $3[PF_6]_2$ in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature.



Fig. S12 Molecular orbital diagrams of HOMO-5 (301B), HOMO-4 (302B), LUMO (307B) and LUMO+2 (309B) of 2[PF₆] in dichloromethane.



Fig. S13 Molecular orbital diagrams of HOMO-5 (317B), HOMO-2 (320B), LUMO (323B) and LUMO+2(325B) of **3[PF₆]** in dichloromethane.



Fig. S14 Molecular orbital diagrams of HOMO-2 (304B), HOMO-1(305B), LUMO+2 (309B) of $2[PF_6]_2$ in dichloromethane.



Fig. S15 Molecular orbital diagrams of HOMO-2 (320B), HOMO-1 (321B), and LUMO+2 (325B) of $3[PF_6]_2$ in dichloromethane.



Fig. S16 The spin density distribution of $2[PF_6]$ (left), $2[PF_6]_2$ (right).



Fig. S17 The spin density distribution of 3[PF₆] (left), 3[PF₆]₂ (right).

Complex N	Molecular orbital	f	v _{max} (exp)/cm ⁻¹	v _{max} (cal)/cm ⁻¹
1[PF 6] H H H	HOMO-7 (295B) → LUMO+2 (305B) HOMO-6 (296B) → LUMO+2 (305B) HOMO-5 (297B) → LUMO+1 (304B)	0.0185	14888	19801
1[PF ₆]₂ ⊦	HOMO-4 (298B) → LUMO+2 (305B) HOMO-2 (300B) → LUMO+2 (305B)	0.0189	12897	15015
2[PF ₆] ⊦	HOMO-5 (301B) → LUMO (307B) HOMO-4 (302B) → LUMO+2 (309B)	0.0329	14831	20000
2[PF ₆]₂ ⊦	HOMO-1 (305B) → LUMO+2 (309B) HOMO-2 (304B) → LUMO+2 (309B)	0.0382	12654	14858
3[PF6] ⊦	HOMO-2 (320B) → LUMO+2 (325B) HOMO-5 (317B) → LUMO (323B)	0.0227	14696	19011
3[PF 6]₂ ⊦	HOMO-2 (320B) → LUMO+2 (325B) HOMO-1 (321B) → LUMO+2 (325B)	0.0329	12134	13623

Table S8 Calculated and experimental electronic transition absorption bands of complexes $1[PF_6]n, 2[PF_6]n$ and $3[PE_1n(n-1)]$